

Holonomy groups and special geometric structures of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

doctor rerum naturalium

im Fach Mathematik

(endgültige Fassung)

eingereicht an der

Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät II

der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

von Dipl. Math. Anton Galaev

geb. am 02.02.1981 in Saratov

Der Präsident der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

Prof. Dr. Christoph Marksches

Der Dekan der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät II

Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Coy

Erste Gutachterin: Frau Prof. Dr. Helga Baum (Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin)

Zweiter Gutachter: Herr Prof. Dr. Dmitri Alekseevsky (University of Edinburg)

Dritter Gutachter: Herr Prof. Dr. Vicente Cortés (Universität Hamburg)

Tag der Verteidigung: 8. Dezember 2006

Abstract

The holonomy group of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, t.m. the group of parallel displacements along all loops at a fixed point, is an invariant of the Levi-Civita connection and gives much information about the special geometric structure of the manifold. The problem to classify all possible holonomy groups is still open. In the case of simply connected manifolds this problem reduces to the classification of possible holonomy algebras. The classification of *Riemannian* holonomy algebras is a classical result. The classification of *Lorentzian* holonomy algebras was achieved only recently.

In this thesis we classify holonomy algebras of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2 and consider some examples and applications.

Chapter I contains an introduction to the theory of holonomy groups. After fixing the necessary notation we give an outline of the methods and results which leads to the classification of the holonomy algebras for Riemannian and Lorentzian manifolds. Furthermore, for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, we reduce the classification problem to the classification of weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras.

In Chapter II we classify weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$ ($n \geq 0$).

Chapter III deals with the classification of weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras of pseudo-Kählerian and special pseudo-Kählerian manifolds. First we classify weakly-irreducible not irreducible *Berger* subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$. These algebras are generated by the images of their algebraic curvature tensors and are candidates for the holonomy algebras. We describe the spaces of curvature tensors for all of these algebras. After that, we construct a pseudo-Kählerian metric of index 2 for each of these Berger algebras, i.e. we show that all Berger subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$ are in fact holonomy algebras.

In Chapter IV we consider some examples and applications. In the first part we describe examples of 4-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant pseudo-Kählerian metrics and determine their holonomy algebras. In the second part we use our classification of holonomy algebras to give a new proof for the classification of simply connected pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2 with weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras. Finally we consider time-like cones over Lorentzian Sasaki manifolds. These cones are also pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2. We describe the local DeRham-Wu decomposition of the cone in terms of the initial Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and we describe all possible weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras of such cones.

Zusammenfassung

Die Holonomiegruppe einer pseudo-Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit, d.h. die Gruppe der Parallelverschiebungen entlang aller in einem fixierten Punkt geschlossenen Kurven, ist eine Invariante des Levi-Civita Zusammenhangs. Sie gibt viele Informationen über die spezielle geometrische Struktur der Mannigfaltigkeit. Das Problem, alle möglichen Holonomiegruppen zu klassifizieren ist nach wie vor offen. Im Falle einfach-zusammenhängender Mannigfaltigkeiten reduziert sich dieses Problem auf die Klassifikation der möglichen Holonomiealgebren. Die Klassifikation der *Riemannschen* Holonomiealgebren ist ein klassisches Resultat. Vor kurzem wurde die Klassifikation der *Lorentzschen* Holonomiealgebren abgeschlossen.

In dieser Dissertation klassifizieren wir die Holonomiealgebren von pseudo-Kählerschen Mannigfaltigkeiten vom Index 2 und betrachten einige Beispiele und Anwendungen.

Kapitel I enthält eine Einführung in die Theorie der Holonomiegruppen. Nach der Definition der nötigen Begriffe beschreiben wir die Methode und die Ergebnisse der Klassifikation der Holonomiealgebren von Riemannschen und Lorentzschen Mannigfaltigkeiten. Insbesondere reduzieren wir das Klassifikations-Problem auf den Fall von schwach-irreduziblen nicht irreduziblen Holonomiealgebren.

Im Kapitel II klassifizieren wir alle schwach-irreduziblen nicht irreduziblen Unteralegebren von $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$ ($n \geq 0$).

Das Kapitel III ist der Klassifikation der schwach-irreduziblen nicht irreduziblen Holonomiealgebren von pseudo-Kählerschen und speziellen pseudo-Kählerschen Mannigfaltigkeiten gewidmet. Dazu klassifizieren wir zuerst schwach-irreduzible nicht irreduzible *Berger*-Unteralegebren von $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$. Solche Algebren werden durch die Bilder ihrer algebraischen Krümmungstensoren erzeugt und sind Kandidaten für die Holonomiealgebren. Danach konstruieren wir für jede dieser Berger-Algebren eine pseudo-Kählersche Metrik vom Index 2, d.h. wir zeigen, dass alle Berger-Unteralegebren von $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$ tatsächlich Holonomiealgebren von Kähler-Metriken vom Index 2 sind.

Im Kapitel IV betrachten wir einige Beispiele und Anwendungen. Im 1. Teil beschreiben wir Beispiele 4-dimensionaler Lie-Gruppen mit links-invarianter pseudo-Kählerscher Metrik vom Index 2 und bestimmen ihre Holonomiealgebren. Im 2. Teil benutzen wir unsere Klassifikation der Holonomiealgebren, um einen neuen Beweis für die Klassifikation der einfach-zusammenhängenden symmetrischen pseudo-Kählerschen Mannigfaltigkeiten vom Index 2 mit schwach-irreduzibler nicht irreduzibler Holonomiealgebra anzugeben. Zum Abschluss betrachten wir den zeitartigen Kegel über Lorentz-Sasaki Mannigfaltigkeiten. Diese Kegel sind ebenfalls pseudo-Kählersche Mannigfaltigkeiten vom Index 2. Wir beschreiben die lokale DeRham-Wu-Zerlegung für den Kegel in Abhängigkeit von den Eigenschaften der Kegelbasis und bestimmen die möglichen schwach-irreduziblen nicht-irreduziblen Holonomiealgebren für solche Kegel.

Contents

Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	11
Chapter I. Holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds	13
1.1 Definitions and facts	13
1.2 Connected holonomy groups of Riemannian manifolds and connected irre- ducible holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds	22
1.3 Connected holonomy groups of Lorentzian manifolds	24
Chapter II. Weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n + 1)$	37
2.1 Classification of weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n + 1)$.	37
2.2 Transitive similarity transformation groups of Euclidian spaces	41
2.3 Similarity transformations of the Heisenberg spaces	43
2.4 The groups $U(1, n + 1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ and $U(1, n + 1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$, their Lie algebras and examples	45
2.5 Action of the group $U(1, n + 1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ on the boundary of the complex hyper- bolic space	50
2.6 Proof of Theorem 2.1.1	53
Chapter III. Classification of the holonomy algebras and constructions of metrics . .	63
3.1 Main results	63
3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1.1	71
3.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1.2	80
Chapter IV. Applications and examples	91
4.1 Examples of 4-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant pseudo-Kählerian metrics	91
4.2 Pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2	93
4.3 Holonomy of time-like cones over Lorentzian Sasaki manifolds	104
4.3.1 Definitions and results	104
4.3.2 Proof of Theorem 4.3.1	108

4.3.3	Proof of Theorem 4.3.2	114
4.3.4	Proof of Theorem 4.3.3	116
4.3.5	Proof of the statement of Example 4.3.2	129
	References	131

Introduction

The Levi-Civita connection of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) defines the *parallel displacement*, i.e. for any piecewise smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ we have an isomorphism $\tau_\gamma : T_{\gamma(a)}M \rightarrow T_{\gamma(b)}M$ preserving the metric g . The *holonomy group* of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of signature (r, s) at a point $x \in M$ is the Lie subgroup of the pseudo-orthogonal Lie group $O(T_x M, g_x) \simeq O(r, s)$ that consists of parallel displacements along all piecewise smooth loops at the point x . The corresponding subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(T_x M, g_x) \simeq \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ is called *the holonomy algebra* of the manifold (M, g) at the point x . The holonomy group is an invariant of the Levi-Civita connection of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. In particular, it allows to find all parallel geometric objects on the manifold (e.g. tensor fields or distributions, see Theorems 1.1.2, 1.1.4). Knowing the holonomy group of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold we can say whether the manifold is flat, orientable, pseudo-Kählerian, pseudo-Kählerian and Ricci-flat or locally decomposable (locally a product of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds). The holonomy groups allow also to find parallel spinors on pseudo-Riemannian spin manifolds [59, 7, 50]. Using the cone constructions, one can find Killing spinors on pseudo-Riemannian spin manifolds [10, 8, 44, 16]. Similarly, the holonomy algebra gives information about locally defined parallel objects and it gives global information if the manifold is simply connected (then the holonomy group is connected). The holonomy algebra gives also information about the curvature tensor of the manifold. Thus, pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with different holonomy groups have different kinds of geometries and to know all possible geometries we need a classification of holonomy groups. Note that this problem depends on the topology of the manifold and for simplicity we restrict ourself only to connected holonomy groups (equivalently, holonomy algebras).

In **Chapter I** we give an introduction to the theory of holonomy groups. We provide definitions, general facts, examples and ideas of some proofs that illustrate the methods of the holonomy. We recall the classifications of the holonomy algebras for Riemannian and Lorentzian manifolds.

The classification of connected holonomy groups of Riemannian manifolds is a classical result. First in 1952 A. Borel and A. Lichnerowicz proved that a Riemannian manifold is locally a product of Riemannian manifolds with irreducible holonomy groups, see [17]. In

1955 M. Berger gave a list of possible connected irreducible holonomy groups of Riemannian manifolds, see [14]. Later, in 1987 R. Bryant constructed metrics for the exceptional groups of this list, see [21]. See also Section 1.2.

In the case of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds appears the situation that the holonomy group preserves a degenerate vector subspace of the tangent space. In this situation the Borel-Lichnerowicz theorem does not work. A subgroup $G \subset SO(p, q)$ is called *weakly-irreducible* if it does not preserve any non-degenerate proper subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. The Wu theorem states that *a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is locally a product of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with weakly-irreducible holonomy groups*, see [60]. This reduces the problem of classification of the holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds to the weakly-irreducible case. If a holonomy group is irreducible, then it is weakly-irreducible. In [14] M. Berger gave also a list of possible connected irreducible holonomy groups for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. This list was refined and completed by several people, see [23, 29, 52].

Thus the first problem is to classify weakly-irreducible not irreducible subgroups of $SO(p, q)$. This was completely done only for connected groups in the Lorentzian case, i.e. for the signature $(1, n+1)$, in 1993 by L. Berard Bergery and A. Ikemakhen, who divided connected weakly-irreducible not irreducible subgroups of $SO(1, n+1)$ into 4 types, see [11]. In [33] a more geometric proof of this result was given, see Section 1.3. To each weakly-irreducible not irreducible subgroup of $G \subset SO(1, n+1)$ can be associated a subgroup of $SO(n)$, which is called the orthogonal part of G . Just recently T. Leistner showed that the orthogonal part of a weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy group of a Lorentzian manifold must be the holonomy group of a Riemannian manifold, see [47, 48, 49]. In [35] metrics for all possible connected holonomy groups of Lorentzian manifold were constructed. This completes the classification of connected holonomy groups for Lorentzian manifolds. See also Section 1.3. In 1998 A. Ikemakhen classified connected weakly-irreducible subgroups of $SO(2, N)$ that preserve an isotropic plane and satisfy an additional condition, see [41]. There are partial results for signature (n, n) , see [12].

In this thesis we study connected holonomy groups of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of signature $(2, 2n+2)$, i.e. holonomy groups contained in $U(1, n+1) \subset SO(2, 2n+2)$. From the Wu theorem it follows that any such group is a product of irreducible holonomy groups of Kählerian manifolds and of the weakly-irreducible holonomy group of a pseudo-Kählerian manifold of signature $(2, 2k+2)$.

First of all, we classify connected holonomy groups of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of in-

dex 2.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$ be a $2n+4$ -dimensional real vector space endowed with a complex structure J and with a J -invariant metric η of signature $(2, 2n+2)$ ($n \geq 0$). In **Chapter II** we classify (up to conjugacy) all connected subgroups of $SU(1, n+1)$ that act weakly-irreducibly and not irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$, that is equivalent to the classification of the corresponding subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$. Any such subgroup preserves a 2-dimensional isotropic J -invariant subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$. We use a generalization of the method from [33] (see Section 1.3).

As the first case, we consider all subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ that preserve a 2-dimensional isotropic J -invariant subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$ and show which of these subalgebras are weakly-irreducible.

Then we consider the case $n \geq 1$. We denote by $\mathbb{C}^{1,n+1}$ the $n+2$ -dimensional complex vector space given by $(\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}, J, \eta)$. Let g be the pseudo-Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}^{1,n+1}$ of signature $(1, n+1)$ corresponding to η . If a subgroup $G \subset U(1, n+1)$ acts weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$, then G acts weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}^{1,n+1}$, i.e. does not preserve any proper g -non-degenerate complex vector subspace.

We consider the boundary $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ of the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ and identify $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ with the $2n+1$ -dimensional sphere S^{2n+1} . We fix a complex isotropic line $l \subset \mathbb{C}^{1,n+1}$ and denote by $U(1, n+1)_l \subset U(1, n+1)$ the connected Lie subgroup that preserves the line l . Any connected subgroup $G \subset U(1, n+1)$ that acts on $\mathbb{C}^{1,n+1}$ weakly-irreducibly and not irreducibly is conjugated to a subgroup of $U(1, n+1)_l$.

We identify the set $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \setminus \{l\} = S^{2n+1} \setminus \{point\}$ with the Heisenberg space $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Any element $f \in U(1, n+1)_l$ induces a transformation $\Gamma(f)$ of $\mathcal{H}_n$, moreover, $\Gamma(f) \in \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n$, where $\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n$ is the group of the Heisenberg similarity transformations of $\mathcal{H}_n$. We show that $\Gamma : U(1, n+1)_l \rightarrow \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n$ is a surjective Lie group homomorphism with the kernel $\mathbb{T}$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the 1-dimensional subgroup generated by the complex structure $J \in U(1, n+1)_l$. In particular, $\mathbb{T}$ is the center of $U(1, n+1)_l$. Let $SU(1, n+1)_l = U(1, n+1)_l \cap SU(1, n+1)$. Then $U(1, n+1)_l = SU(1, n+1)_l \cdot \mathbb{T}$ and the restriction

$$\Gamma|_{SU(1, n+1)_l} : SU(1, n+1)_l \rightarrow \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n$$

is a Lie group isomorphism.

We consider the projection $\pi : \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \text{Sim } \mathbb{C}^n$, where $\text{Sim } \mathbb{C}^n$ is the group of similarity transformations of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The homomorphism π is surjective and its kernel is 1-dimensional.

We prove that *if a subgroup $G \subset U(1, n+1)_I$ acts weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$, then*

- (1) *the subgroup $\pi(\Gamma(G)) \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{C}^n$ does not preserve any proper complex affine subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$;*
- (2) *if $\pi(\Gamma(G)) \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{C}^n$ preserves a proper non-complex affine subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, then the minimal complex affine subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ containing L is $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

This is the key statement for our classification.

Since we are interested in connected Lie groups, it is enough to classify the corresponding Lie algebras. The classification is done in the following way:

- First we describe non-complex vector subspaces $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} L = \mathbb{C}^n$ (it is enough to consider only vector subspaces, since we do the classification up to conjugacy). Any such non-complex vector subspace has the form $L = \mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$, where $0 \leq m \leq n$. Here we have 3 types of subspaces: 1) $m = 0$ (L is a real form of $\mathbb{C}^n$); 2) $0 < m < n$; 3) $m = n$ ($L = \mathbb{C}^n$).
- We describe the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{f}$ of the connected Lie subgroups $F \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{C}^n$ preserving L . Without loss of generality, we can assume that each Lie group F does not preserve any proper affine subspace of L . This means that F acts irreducibly on L . By a theorem of D.V. Alekseevsky [2, 3], F acts transitively on L . In our recent paper [33] we divided transitive similarity transformation groups of Euclidean spaces into 4 types. Here we unify two of the types. The group F is contained in $(\mathbb{R}^+ \times SO(L) \times SO(L^{\perp})) \ltimes L$, where $\mathbb{R}^+$ is the group of real dilations of $\mathbb{C}^n$ about the origin and L is the group of all translations in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by vectors of L . In general situation we know only the projection of F on $\text{Sim } L = (\mathbb{R}^+ \times SO(L)) \ltimes L$, but in our case the projection of F on $SO(L) \times SO(L^{\perp})$ is also contained in $U(n)$ and we know the full information about F . On this step we obtain 9 types of Lie algebras.
- Then we describe subalgebras $\mathfrak{a} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ with $\pi(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{f}$. For each $\mathfrak{f}$ we have 2 possibilities: $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{f} + \ker \pi$ or $\mathfrak{a} = \{x + \zeta(x) | x \in \mathfrak{f}\}$, where $\zeta : \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \ker \pi$ is a linear map. Using the isomorphism $(\Gamma|_{\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_I})^{-1}$ we obtain a list of subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_I$. This gives us 12 types of Lie algebras.
- Finally we check which of the obtained subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_I \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ are weakly-irreducible. It turns out that some of the types contain Lie algebras that are not weakly-irreducible. Giving new definitions to these types we obtain 11 types of weakly-irreducible Lie algebras. Unifying some of the types we obtain 7 types of weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_I \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$.

The result can be stated as follows.

Let $n = 0$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_I$ is 2-dimensional nilpotent, we have $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_I = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ and $[(a, 0), (0, c)] = (0, 2ac)$. There are 2 weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_I$: $\{(0, c) | c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and the whole $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_I$.

Let $n > 0$. For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_I$ we have the Iwasawa decomposition

$$\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_I = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)) \ltimes \text{LA } \mathcal{H}_n,$$

where $\text{LA } \mathcal{H}_n = \mathbb{C}^n \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ is the Lie algebra of the Lie group $\mathcal{H}_n$ of the Heisenberg translations of the Heisenberg space $\mathcal{H}_n$.

Let $0 \leq m \leq n$ be an integer. Consider the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(n-m)$ be a subalgebra, here $\mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(n-m) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid B \in \mathfrak{so}(n-m) \right\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(n-m)$. The Lie algebras of one of the types of weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_I \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ have the form

$$\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, A^1} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ is a real form. The other types of weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_I \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ can be obtained from this one using some twisting and they have the following forms:

$$\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi} = \{\varphi(A) + A \mid A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear map with $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$;

$$\mathfrak{g}^{n, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l} = \{A + \psi(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-l}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

where k and l are integers such that $0 < k \leq l \leq n$, we have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{l-k} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-l}, \quad \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{u}(k) \text{ is a subalgebra with } \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n + l - 2k \text{ and}$$

$\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{l-k} \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{n-l}$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$;

$$\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l, r} = \{A + \psi(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{m-l} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

where k, l, r and m are integers such that $0 < k \leq l \leq m \leq r \leq n$ and $m < n$, we

have the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{l-k} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m-l} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r-m} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{u}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(r-m)$

is a subalgebra with $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n + m + l - 2k - r$ and $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{l-k} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-r} \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{m-l}$

is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$;

$$\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k} = \{A + \psi(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes (\mathbb{R}^k \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

where $0 < k < n$, we have the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(k)$ is a

subalgebra such that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n - k$, $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$;

$$\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\zeta} = \{A + \zeta(A) | A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(n)$ is a subalgebra with $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \neq \{0\}$, $\zeta : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \text{LA } \mathcal{H}_n$ is a non-zero linear map with $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} \neq 0$;

$$\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\psi,k,\zeta} = \{A + \psi(A) + \zeta(A) | A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^k,$$

where $1 \leq k < n$, we have the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(k)$ is a subalgebra with $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n - k$, $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$, $\zeta : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \text{LA } \mathcal{H}_n$ is a non-zero linear map with $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$.

Note that the last two types of weakly-irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_l \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ were not considered by A. Ikemakhen in [41].

For each $\mathfrak{f} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ as above and for each $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_l$ with $\pi(\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{f}$ we consider the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^J = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\xi = \{x + \xi(x) | x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$, where $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero linear map. As we claimed above, any weakly-irreducible subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ is of the form $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}^J$ or $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$. These subalgebras are candidates for the weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$. We associate with each of these subalgebras an integer $0 \leq m \leq n$. If $m > 0$, then the subalgebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}^J$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\xi \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$ are weakly-irreducible. We have inclusions $\mathfrak{u}(m) \subset \mathfrak{u}(n) \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$ and projection maps $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(m)} : \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(m)$, $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} : \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$.

In **Chapter III** we classify weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$. These subalgebras are candidates for the holonomy algebras. Then we show that all these Berger algebras are holonomy algebras.

More precisely, for any subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$ consider the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ of curvature tensors of type $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ R \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}, \mathfrak{g}) \left| \begin{array}{l} R(u \wedge v)w + R(v \wedge w)u + R(w \wedge u)v = 0 \\ \text{for all } u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Denote by $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by $R(u \wedge v)$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$,

$$L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \text{span}\{R(u \wedge v) | R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}), u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}\}.$$

A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$ is called a *Berger algebra* if $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$. From the Ambrose-Singer theorem [5] it follows that *if $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$ is the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Kählerian manifold, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra* (here we identify the tangent space to the manifold at some point with $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$).

First we consider all subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, 1)_l$ and show which of these subalgebras are weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebras.

Then we consider the case $n \geq 1$. For any integer $0 \leq m \leq n$ and subalgebra $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m+1, \dots, n)$ we consider a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{u}} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$ and describe the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{u}})$. The Lie algebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{u}}$ contain all candidates for the weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$. For any subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{u}}$ the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be found from the following condition

$$R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ if and only if } R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{u}}) \text{ and } R(\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}) \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

Using this, we easily find all weakly-irreducible not irreducible Berger subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$.

As the last step of the classification, we construct metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$ that realize all Berger algebras obtained above as holonomy algebras. The coefficients of the metrics are polynomial functions, hence the corresponding Levi-Civita connections are analytic and in each case the holonomy algebra at the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$ is generated by the operators

$$R(X, Y)_0, \nabla_{Z_1} R(X, Y)_0, \nabla_{Z_2} \nabla_{Z_1} R(X, Y)_0, \dots$$

where $X, Y, Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ are vectors at the point 0. We explicitly compute for each metric the components of the curvature tensor and its derivatives. Then using the induction, we find the holonomy algebra for each of the metrics.

Thus we obtain the classification of weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras contained in $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$. The result can be stated as follows.

Let $n = 0$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(1, 1)_l$ is a 3-dimensional nilpotent real Lie algebra, we have $\mathfrak{u}(1, 1)_l = \mathbb{C} \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ and $[(a + ib, 0), (0, c)] = (0, 2ac)$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. There are three weakly-irreducible holonomy algebras contained in $\mathfrak{u}(1, 1)_l$:

$$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^1 = \mathfrak{u}(1, 1)_l, \quad \mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} = \mathbb{R}(\gamma_1 + i\gamma_2) \ltimes \mathbb{R} (\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2 = \mathbb{C}.$$

Let $n > 0$. For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$ we have the Iwasawa decomposition

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l = (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)) \ltimes \text{LA } \mathcal{H}_n.$$

Consider the following type of weakly-irreducible holonomy algebras contained in $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$:

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \mathcal{A}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}(i + J_{n-m}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}) \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$ is an integer, we have the decompositions $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$, $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ is a subalgebra, $\mathbb{R}^{n-m} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$ is a real form and $J_{n-m} \subset \mathfrak{u}(n-m) \subset \mathfrak{u}(n) \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l$ is the complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^{n-m}$.

The other types of weakly-irreducible holonomy algebras contained in $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_l \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ can be obtained from this type using some twisting. Let $\varphi, \phi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be linear maps with $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = \phi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$. The other types have the following forms:

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \mathcal{A}^1, \phi} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \{\phi(A)(i + J_{n-m}) + A | A \in \mathfrak{u}\}) \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \varphi, \phi} = \{\varphi(A) + \phi(A)(i + J_{n-m}) + A | A \in \mathfrak{u}\} \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2} = (\mathbb{R}(i + J_{n-m}) \oplus \{\varphi(A) + A | A \in \mathfrak{u}\}) \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \lambda} = (\mathbb{R}(1 + \lambda(i + J_{n-m})) \oplus \mathfrak{u}) \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}), \text{ where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{n, \mathfrak{u}, \psi, k, l} = \{A + \psi(A) | A \in \mathfrak{u}\} \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-l}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

where k and l are integers such that $0 < k \leq l \leq n$, we have the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{l-k} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-l}$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(k)$ is a subalgebra with $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}) \geq n + l - 2k$ and $\psi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{l-k} \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{n-l}$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$,

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \psi, k, l, r} = \{A + \psi(A) | A \in \mathfrak{u}\} \ltimes ((\mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{m-l} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r-m}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}),$$

where k, l, r and m are integers such that $0 < k \leq l \leq m \leq r \leq n$ and $m < n$, we have the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{l-k} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m-l} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{r-m} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(k)$ is a subalgebra with $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}) \geq n + m + l - 2k - r$ and $\psi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{l-k} \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{m-l} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$.

As a corollary, we get the classification of weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras contained in $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$ (i.e. of the holonomy algebras of special pseudo-Kählerian manifolds). For $n = 0$ these algebras are exhausted by $\{(0, c) | c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_l$. For $n > 0$ these algebras are the following:

$\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\mathcal{A}^1,\phi}, \mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\varphi,\phi}$ with $\phi(A) = -\frac{1}{n-m+2} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}} A$;

$\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l}, \mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l,r}$ with $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k)$.

The above result together with the Wu theorem and the classification of irreducible holonomy algebras of M. Berger gives us the classification of holonomy algebras (or equivalently, of connected holonomy groups) for pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of signature $(2, 2n + 2)$.

Remark that we do not have any additional condition on the $\mathfrak{u}(m)$ -projection of a holonomy algebra, while in the Lorentzian case an analogous subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ associated to a holonomy algebra must be the holonomy algebra of a Riemannian manifold. This shows the principal difference between our case and the case of Lorentzian manifolds.

In **Chapter IV** we consider some examples and applications.

In Section 4.1 we give examples of 4-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant pseudo-Kählerian metrics that have holonomy algebras $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2$ and $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=1}$.

In [15] M. Berger obtained a classification of simply connected semi-simple pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces. The holonomy algebra of any such manifold is either irreducible or it is weakly-irreducible and it preserves two complementary isotropic subspaces (in the last case the manifold has signature (n, n)). In particular, there are three such spaces with weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras of signature $(2, 2)$. In [45] I. Kath and M. Olbrich obtained a classification of simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces of index 2 that are not semi-simple. In particular, the holonomy algebras of these spaces are weakly-irreducible and not irreducible. In Section 4.2 we use our classification of holonomy algebras to give a new proof for the classification of simply connected pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2 with weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras. We use the fact that any simply connected pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2 is uniquely defined by a pair $(\mathfrak{hol}, R)$, where $\mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n + 1)$ is a holonomy algebra and $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol})$ is a curvature tensor that is annihilated by the natural representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ in the vector space $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol})$ and such that $R(\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}) = \mathfrak{hol}$. We find all such pairs and check which of them define isometric simply connected symmetric spaces. We show that all possible weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras of locally symmetric pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2 are exhausted by the following Lie algebras: $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2$, $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=0}$, $\mathfrak{hol}^{m=n-1=0, \{0\}, \varphi=0, \phi=0}$ and $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \{\mathbb{R}J_m\}, \varphi=0, \phi=2}$.

Finally in Section 4.3 we consider Lorentzian manifold (M, g) such that the time-like cone

$(\tilde{M}^- = \mathbb{R}^+ \times M, \tilde{g}^- = -dr^2 + r^2g)$ over (M, g) is a pseudo-Kählerian manifold of index 2. Such Lorentzian manifold is called a *Lorentzian Sasaki manifold*.

First we describe the local DeRham-Wu decomposition of the cone in terms of the initial Lorentzian Sasaki manifold. We show that *if the cone $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ is locally decomposable, then M locally has the form*

$$((a, b) \times N_1 \times N_2, ds^2 + \cosh^2(s)g_1 + \sinh^2(s)g_2), \quad (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where (N_1, g_1) is a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and (N_2, g_2) is a Riemannian Sasaki manifold (i.e. the space-like cone $(\tilde{N}_2^+ = \mathbb{R}^+ \times N_2, \tilde{g}^+ = dr^2 + r^2g_2)$ over (N_2, g_2) is a Kählerian manifold).

Then we study Lorentzian Sasaki manifold (M, g) such that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{M}^-)$ of its time-like cone $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ (with a pseudo-Kählerian structure $\tilde{J}$) preserves a 2-dimensional $\tilde{J}$ -invariant isotropic subspace. We show that *in this situation $\mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{M}^-)$ annihilates this subspace, i.e. there exist locally on $\tilde{M}^-$ two isotropic parallel vector fields p_1 and $p_2 = \tilde{J}p_1$. Furthermore, (M, g) locally has the form*

$$((a, b) \times N, ds^2 + e^{-2s}g_N), \quad (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R},$$

where (N, g_N) is a Lorentzian manifold with a parallel isotropic vector field. There exist local coordinates $x, y, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$ on $\tilde{M}^-$ such that $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$ are coordinates on N and

$$\tilde{g}^- = 2dx dy + y^2 g_N = 2dx dy + y^2(2d\hat{x}d\hat{y} + g_1),$$

where g_1 is a $\hat{y}$ -family of Kählerian metrics on the integral manifolds corresponding to the coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$. In these coordinates, $p_1 = \partial_x$ and $p_2 = \hat{y}\partial_x - \frac{1}{y}\partial_{\hat{x}}$.

Moreover, if the local holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{M}^-)$ of $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ is weakly-irreducible, then the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(N)$ of (N, g_N) is weakly-irreducible and

- 1) if $\mathfrak{hol}(N)$ is of type $\mathfrak{g}^{2, \mathfrak{u}}$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(n)$ (see Section 1.3 below), then $\mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{M}^-)$ is of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{n, \mathfrak{u}, \varphi=0, \phi=0}$;
- 2) if $\mathfrak{hol}(N)$ is of type $\mathfrak{g}^{4, \mathfrak{u}, k, \psi}$, then $\mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{M}^-)$ is of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{n, \mathfrak{u}, \psi, k, l}$.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express sincere gratitude to my advisor Helga Baum for all-round help, guidance, support and encouragement.

I wish to acknowledge Dmitri Vladimirovich Alekseevsky for introducing me to the theory of holonomy groups and many useful suggestions.

I am deeply grateful to Mark Volfovich Losik for all he taught me at Saratov University and for his constant encouragement since 1998.

I thank Ines Kath and Martin Olbrich, who helped me to find many mathematical mistakes in this thesis.

I thank Lionel Berard Bergery, Vicente Cortés, Thomas Leistner and Thomas Neukirchner for useful discussions.

This work was supported by DFG International Research Training group “Arithmetic and Geometry” (GRK 870) at Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin. I am thankful to the speaker of GRK 870 Jürg Kramer, secretary Marion Thomma and all fellows of GRK 870 for support, good atmosphere and opportunity to work.

Chapter I. Holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

This chapter contains an introduction to the theory of holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. In Section 1.1 we provide definitions, general facts, examples and ideas of some proofs that illustrate the methods of the holonomy. In Section 1.2 we recall the classification of connected holonomy groups for Riemannian manifolds and of connected irreducible holonomy groups for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. In Section 1.3 we explain the classification of connected holonomy groups for Lorentzian manifolds.

1.1 Definitions and facts

All definitions and statements of this section can be found in [46] or in [13]. We assume that all manifolds are connected.

Definition. *A pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (r, s) is a differential manifold M equipped with a smooth field g of symmetric non-degenerate bilinear forms of signature (r, s) at each point. We assume that r is the number of minuses and we call it the index of (M, g) . If $r = 0$, then (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold; if $r = 1$, then (M, g) is a Lorentzian manifold.*

On any pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) exists the Levi-Civita connection ∇ which is defined by two conditions: g is parallel ($\nabla g = 0$) and the torsion is zero ($Tor = 0$). The Levi-Civita connection ∇ is explicitly given by the Koszul formulae

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = & Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(X, Z) - Zg(X, Y) \\ & + g([X, Y], Z) + g([Z, X], Y) + g(X, [Z, Y]), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where X, Y and Z are vector fields on M .

It is known that for any smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ and any vector $X_0 \in T_{\gamma(a)}M$ there exists a unique vector field X defined along the curve γ and satisfying the differential equation $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(s)} X = 0$ with the initial condition $X_{\gamma(a)} = X_0$. Consequently, for any smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ we obtain the isomorphism $\tau_\gamma : T_{\gamma(a)}M \rightarrow T_{\gamma(b)}M$ defined by $\tau_\gamma : X_0 \mapsto X_{\gamma(b)}$. The isomorphism τ_γ is called *the parallel displacement along the curve γ* . The parallel displacement can be defined in the obvious way also for piecewise

smooth curves. Note that for the curve $\gamma_- : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ ($\gamma_-(t) = \gamma(a + b - t)$) the isomorphism $\tau_{\gamma_-} : T_{\gamma(b)}M \rightarrow T_{\gamma(a)}M$ is the inverse to τ_γ ; for any piecewise smooth curve $\gamma' : [b, c] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma'(b) = \gamma(b)$ we have $\tau_{\gamma' * \gamma} = \tau_{\gamma'} \circ \tau_\gamma$, where $\gamma' * \gamma$ is the curve given by $\gamma' * \gamma(t) = \gamma(t)$ if $a \leq t \leq b$ and $\gamma' * \gamma(t) = \gamma'(t)$ if $b \leq t \leq c$. For the constant curve $\gamma(t) \equiv x \in M$ we have $\tau_\gamma = \text{id}_{T_x M}$.

Let $x \in M$. We denote by Hol_x the set of parallel displacements along all piecewise smooth loops at the point $x \in M$. Let Hol_x^0 be the set of parallel displacements along all piecewise smooth null-homotopic loops at the point $x \in M$. From the properties of the parallel displacements it follows that Hol_x and Hol_x^0 are groups. Obviously, $Hol_x^0 \subset Hol_x$ is a subgroup. If the manifold M is simply connected, then $Hol_x^0 = Hol_x$.

Definition. The group Hol_x is called the holonomy group of the manifold (M, g) at the point x . The group Hol_x^0 is called the restricted holonomy group of the manifold (M, g) at the point x .

Let $O(T_x M, g_x)$ be the Lie group of isomorphisms of the vector space $T_x M$ that preserve the form g_x and $\mathfrak{so}(T_x M, g_x)$ the corresponding Lie algebra. Since g is parallel, we see that $Hol_x \subset O(T_x M, g_x)$.

Theorem 1.1.1. The group Hol_x is a Lie subgroup of the Lie group $O(T_x M, g_x)$. The group Hol_x^0 is the connected identity component of the Lie group Hol_x .

By $\mathfrak{hol}_x$ we denote the Lie algebra of the Lie group Hol_x (and of Hol_x^0).

Definition. The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x \subset \mathfrak{so}(T_x M, g_x)$ is called the holonomy algebra of the manifold (M, g) at the point x .

Remark that by the holonomy group (resp. holonomy algebra) we understand not just the Lie group Hol_x (resp. Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x$), but the Lie group Hol_x with the representation $Hol_x \hookrightarrow O(T_x M, g_x)$ (resp. the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x$ with the representation $\mathfrak{hol}_x \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(T_x M, g_x)$). This representation is called *the holonomy representation*.

Let γ be a piecewise smooth curve in M beginning at the point x and ending at a point $y \in M$. Then we have $Hol_y = \tau_\gamma \circ Hol_x \circ \tau_\gamma^{-1}$, $Hol_y^0 = \tau_\gamma \circ Hol_x^0 \circ \tau_\gamma^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{hol}_y = \tau_\gamma \circ \mathfrak{hol}_x \circ \tau_\gamma^{-1}$. This means that the holonomy groups at all points of the manifold are isomorphic and we can speak about the holonomy group Hol of the manifold (M, g) (or about the restricted holonomy group Hol^0 of (M, g) or about the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ of (M, g)). The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ and the restricted holonomy group Hol^0 uniquely define each other. If the manifold M is simply connected, then the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$

uniquely defines the holonomy group Hol .

Denote by $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ the $(r+s)$ -dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space endowed with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form η of signature (r,s) . For a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of signature (r,s) we identify the tangent space $(T_x M, g_x)$ at a point $x \in M$ with the space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Then the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x$ can be identified with a subalgebra of the pseudo-orthogonal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ and the holonomy group Hol_x can be identified with a Lie subgroup of the pseudo-orthogonal Lie group $O(r,s)$. We may write $\mathfrak{hol}_x \subset \mathfrak{so}(r,s)$ and $Hol_x \subset O(r,s)$. As we claimed above, these representations are defined up to conjugacy.

Let A be a tensor field of type (p,q) on the manifold (M, g) . Recall that A is called parallel if $\nabla A = 0$. This is equivalent to the condition that for any piecewise smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ holds $\tau_{\gamma q}^p A_{\gamma(a)} = A_{\gamma(b)}$, where

$$\tau_{\gamma q}^p = \underbrace{\tau_\gamma \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_\gamma}_{p \text{ times}} \otimes \underbrace{(\tau_\gamma^*)^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (\tau_\gamma^*)^{-1}}_{q \text{ times}} : T_{\gamma(a)q}^p M \rightarrow T_{\gamma(b)q}^p M$$

is the tensorial extension of the isomorphism $\tau_\gamma : T_{\gamma(a)} M \rightarrow T_{\gamma(b)} M$ to the isomorphism of the spaces of tensors of type (p,q) . A distribution $E \subset TM$ is called parallel if for any vector field X with values in E and any vector field Y on M the vector field $\nabla_Y X$ is also with values in E . This is equivalent to the condition that for any piecewise smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ holds $\tau_\gamma E_{\gamma(a)} = E_{\gamma(b)}$.

The importance of the holonomy groups shows the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.2. (Fundamental principle 1.) *For a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *There exists a parallel tensor field A of type (p,q) on (M, g) .*
- 2) *For some $x \in M$ there exists a tensor A_x of type (p,q) on $T_x M$ that is invariant under the tensorial extension of the holonomy representation of the holonomy group $Hol_x \subset O(T_x M, g_x)$.*

The idea of the proof is the following. For a given parallel tensor field A take the value A_x at a chosen point $x \in M$. Since A is invariant under the parallel displacements, we see that the tensor A_x is invariant under the parallel displacements along the loops at the point x , i.e. under the tensorial extension of the holonomy representation. Conversely, for a given tensor A_x define the tensor field A on M such that at any point $y \in M$ holds

$A_y = \tau_{\gamma q}^p A_x$, where γ is any curve beginning at x and ending at y . From the condition 2) it follows that A_y does not depend on the curve γ . $\square$

The local analog of the previous theorem is the following

Theorem 1.1.3. (Fundamental principle 1'.) *For a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *There exists a parallel tensor field A of type (p, q) on an open neighbourhood of a point $x \in M$.*
- 2) *There exists a tensor A_x of type (p, q) on $T_x M$ that is invariant under the tensorial extension of the holonomy representation of the restricted holonomy group $\text{Hol}_x^0 \subset O(T_x M, g_x)$.*
- 3) *There exists a tensor A_x of type (p, q) on $T_x M$ that is annihilated by the tensorial extension of the holonomy representation of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x \subset \mathfrak{so}(T_x M, g_x)$.*

Analog results hold for distributions.

Theorem 1.1.4. (Fundamental principle 2.) *For a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *There exists a parallel distribution E of rang p on (M, g) .*
- 2) *For some $x \in M$ there exists a vector subspace $E_x \subset T_x M$ of dimension p that is invariant under the tensorial extension of the holonomy representation of the holonomy group $\text{Hol}_x \subset O(T_x M, g_x)$.*

Theorem 1.1.5. (Fundamental principle 2'.) *For a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *There exists a parallel distribution E of rang p on an open neighbourhood of a point $x \in M$.*
- 2) *There exists a vector subspace $E_x \subset T_x M$ of dimension p that is invariant under the tensorial extension of the holonomy representation of the restricted holonomy group $\text{Hol}_x^0 \subset O(T_x M, g_x)$.*
- 3) *There exists a vector subspace $E_x \subset T_x M$ of dimension p that is invariant under to the tensorial extension of the holonomy representation of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x \subset O(T_x M, g_x)$.*

Note that parallel distributions are involutive. Indeed, since the torsion of the Levi-Civita connection is zero, for any vector fields X and Y with values in a parallel distribution E we have $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X \in E$.

Thus if we know the holonomy group of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, then the geometric problem to find parallel tensor fields or parallel distributions on the manifold can be reduced to the algebraic problem to find invariant subspaces for some representations of the holonomy group.

Consider several examples of using of the above theorems.

Example 1.1.1. *A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of signature (r, s) is orientable if and only if $Hol \subset SO(r, s)$.*

Proof. Recall that the manifold M is orientable if and only if there exists a nowhere vanishing differential form of degree $n = \dim M$ on M . Furthermore, $SO(r, s) = \{A \in O(r, s) \mid \det A = 1\}$. Let $x \in M$. Suppose that $Hol_x \subset SO(T_x M, g_x)$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be a basis of the vector space $T_x M$. Consider the differential form $w_x = e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*$. For $A \in SO(T_x M, g_x)$ we have $A \cdot w_x = (Ae_1)^* \wedge \dots \wedge (Ae_n)^* = (\det A)w_x = w_x$. From Theorem 1.1.2 it follows that w_x defines a parallel nowhere vanishing n -form w on M . Conversely, suppose that M is orientable, then there exists a nowhere vanishing differential n -form w on M . Consider the differential form $\bar{w} = fw$, where f is a function on M . The condition $\nabla \bar{w} = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $(Xf)w + f\nabla_X w = 0$ for all $X \in TM$. Since w is nowhere vanishing, we have $Xf = -f \frac{\nabla_X w}{w}$. This system of differential equations has a unique global solution satisfying $f(x) = 1$. From Theorem 1.1.2 it follows that the group Hol_x preserves the form $\bar{w}_x$. Thus for any $A \in Hol_x$ we have $\det A = 1$, i.e. $Hol_x \subset SO(T_x M, g_x)$. $\square$

Recall that a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is called flat if it admits parallel local fields of frames. From Theorem 1.1.3 we obtain

Example 1.1.2. *A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is flat if and only if $Hol^0 = \{\text{id}\}$.*

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *pseudo-Kählerian* if there exists a parallel smooth field of endomorphisms J of the tangent bundle of M that satisfies $J^2 = -\text{id}$ and $g(JX, Y) + g(X, JY) = 0$ for all vector fields X and Y on M .

Example 1.1.3. *A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of signature $(2r, 2s)$ is pseudo-Kählerian if and only if $Hol \subset U(r, s)$.*

Proof. The inclusion $Hol_x \subset U(r, s)$ is equivalent to the existence of an endomorphism J_x of the vector space $T_x M$ that satisfies $J_x^2 = -\text{id}_{T_x M}$ and $g_x(J_x X, Y) + g(X, J_x Y) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in T_x M$. Now the statement follows from Theorem 1.1.2. $\square$

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *special pseudo-Kählerian* if it is pseudo-Kählerian and Ricci-flat. The following statement is well known.

Example 1.1.4. *A pseudo-Kählerian manifold (M, g) of signature $(2r, 2s)$ is special pseudo-Kählerian if and only if $\mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{su}(r, s)$.*

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. The curvature tensor R of (M, g) has the following properties:

- a) R is a tensor field of type $(1, 3)$, i.e. for any vector fields X, Y and Z on M , $R(X, Y)Z$ is a vector field;
- b) R is skew-symmetric with respect to the first two variables, i.e. $R(X, Y) = -R(Y, X)$;
- c) $R(X, Y)Z + R(Y, Z)X + R(Z, X)Y = 0$ (the Bianchi identity).

The next theorem gives relation between the holonomy algebra and the curvature tensor of the manifold. It was proved by W. Ambrose and I. M. Singer in 1953 in [5].

Theorem 1.1.6. *Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and $x \in M$. The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x$ is spanned by the following endomorphisms*

$$\tau_\gamma^{-1} \circ R(\tau_\gamma U, \tau_\gamma V) \circ \tau_\gamma : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M,$$

where $U, V \in T_x M$ and γ is a piecewise smooth curve beginning at the point x .

Using Example 1.1.2 and Theorem 1.1.6, we obtain

Example 1.1.5. *A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is flat if and only if $R = 0$.*

Definition. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ be a subalgebra. The space of curvature tensors $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ of type $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ R \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \mathfrak{g}) \left| \begin{array}{l} R(u \wedge v)w + R(v \wedge w)u + R(w \wedge u)v = 0 \\ \text{for all } u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Denote by $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by the elements $R(u \wedge v)$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$. It is known that any $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies

$$\eta(R(u \wedge v)z, w) = \eta(R(z \wedge w)u, v) \quad (2)$$

for all $u, v, z, w \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$.

The next proposition follows from Theorem 1.1.6.

Proposition 1.1.1. *If a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ is the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, then $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$.*

Definition. *A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ is called a Berger algebra if $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$.*

The condition $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$ is quite strong and by Proposition 1.1.1, the Berger algebras can be considered as candidates for the holonomy algebras. We use the notion of Berger algebras, as such irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ were classified by M. Berger (see Theorem 1.2.1 below).

Theorem 1.1.6 in general does not allow to find the holonomy algebra, since it involves all parallel displacements. The following theorem can be used to find the holonomy algebra of an analytic pseudo-Riemannian manifold.

Theorem 1.1.7. *If a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is analytic, then the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x$ is generated by the following operators*

$$R(X, Y)_x, \nabla_{Z_1} R(X, Y)_x, \nabla_{Z_2} \nabla_{Z_1} R(X, Y)_x, \dots \in \mathfrak{so}(T_x M, g_x),$$

where $X, Y, Z_1, Z_2, \dots \in T_x M$.

We say that a subspace $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ is non-degenerate if the restriction of the pseudo-Euclidean metric η to U is non-degenerate.

Definition. *A Lie subgroup $G \subset O(r, s)$ (or a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$) is called irreducible if it does not preserve any proper vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$; G (or $\mathfrak{g}$) is called weakly-irreducible if it does not preserve any proper non-degenerate vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$.*

It is clear that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ is irreducible (resp. weakly-irreducible) if and only if the corresponding connected Lie subgroup $G \subset SO(r, s)$ is irreducible (resp. weakly-irreducible). If a subgroup $G \subset O(r, s)$ is irreducible, then it is weakly-irreducible. The converse holds only for positively and negatively definite metrics η .

Let (M, g) and (N, h) be pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Let $x \in M$, $y \in N$ and G_x , H_y be the corresponding holonomy groups. The product $M \times N$ is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with the metric $g + h$. Denote by $F_{(x,y)}$ the holonomy group of the manifold $M \times N$ at the point (x, y) .

Theorem 1.1.8. *We have $F_{(x,y)} = G_x \times H_y$.*

Definition. *A pseudo-Riemannian manifold is called locally indecomposable if its restricted holonomy group is weakly-irreducible.*

Theorem 1.1.8 has the following inversion.

Theorem 1.1.9. *Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and $x \in M$. Then there exists a decomposition of $T_x M$ into an orthogonal direct sum of non-degenerate vector subspaces*

$$T_x M = E_0 \oplus E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_t$$

such that Hol_x^0 acts trivially on E_0 , $Hol_x^0(E_i) \subset E_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$), Hol_x^0 acts weakly-irreducibly on E_i ($i = 1, \dots, t$) and

$$Hol_x^0 = \{\text{id}\} \times H_1 \times \cdots \times H_t,$$

where $H_i = Hol_x^0|_{E_i} \subset SO(E_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$).

Furthermore, if (M, g) is simply connected and complete, then exist a flat pseudo-Riemannian submanifold $N_0 \subset M$ and locally indecomposable pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds $N_1, \dots, N_t \subset M$ such that $T_x N_i = E_i$ ($i = 0, \dots, t$) and (M, g) is isometric to the product

$$(N_0 \times N_1 \times \cdots \times N_t, g|_{N_0} + g|_{N_1} + \cdots + g|_{N_t}).$$

In general, such isometry of (M, g) exists locally.

The local version of Theorem 1.1.9 for Riemannian manifolds was proved in 1952 by A. Borel and A. Lichnerowicz in [17]. The global version for Riemannian manifolds was proved in the same year by G. DeRham in [30]. For pseudo-Riemannian manifolds Theorem 1.1.9 was proved by H. Wu in 1967 in [60].

The following proposition is important for us.

Proposition 1.1.2. *The Lie algebras of the Lie groups H_i from Theorem 1.1.9 are Berger algebras.*

We explain shortly the ideas of the proofs of the local part of Theorem 1.1.9 and of proposition 1.1.2. Suppose that Hol_x^0 preserves a non-degenerate proper vector subspace $E_x \subset T_x M$. The vector subspace $E_x^\perp \subset T_x M$ is also non-degenerate and preserved. We obtain the orthogonal direct sum $T_x M = E_x \oplus E_x^\perp$ of Hol_x^0 -invariant vector subspaces. From Theorem 1.1.5 it follows that in a neighbourhood of the point x exist parallel distributions E and $E^\perp$. As it was remarked, these distributions are involutive. Let M_1 and M_2 be the corresponding maximal connected integral submanifolds of M through the point x . Since the distributions E and $E^\perp$ are parallel, the submanifolds M_1 and M_2 are totally geodesic. Let $V \subset T_x M$ be an open neighbourhood of the point 0 such that the restriction of the exponential map to V is a diffeomorphism, then $\exp(V) = \exp(E \cap V) \times (E^\perp \cap V)$, where $\exp(E \cap V) \subset M_1$ and $\exp(E^\perp \cap V) \subset M_2$ are open submanifolds.

Consider now the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{hol}_x | \xi(E^\perp) = \{0\}\} \subset \mathfrak{hol}_x$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{hol}_x | \xi(E) = \{0\}\} \subset \mathfrak{hol}_x$. Obviously $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ are ideals and $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}_2 = \{0\}$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol}_x)$, $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in E_x$ and $Y_1, Y_2 \in E_x^\perp$. Since $R(X_1 \wedge X_2)Y_1 + R(X_2 \wedge Y_1)X_1 + R(Y_1 \wedge X_1)X_2 = 0$, we have $R(X_1 \wedge X_2)Y_1 = 0$, i.e. $R(X_1 \wedge X_2) \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. Similarly we can show that $R(Y_1 \wedge Y_2) \in \mathfrak{g}_2$. Using (2), we get $g(R(X_1 \wedge Y_1)X_2, X_3) = g(R(X_2 \wedge X_3)X_1, Y_1) = 0$. Therefore, $R(X_1 \wedge Y_1) = 0$. Hence, $R(E \wedge E^\perp) = 0$, $R(E \wedge E) \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $R(E^\perp \wedge E^\perp) \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$. Clearly, $R|_{E_x \wedge E_x} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ and $R|_{E_x^\perp \wedge E_x^\perp} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$. All this yields that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol}_x) = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_1) \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{hol}_x = L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol}_x)) = L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_1)) \oplus L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_2)) \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{hol}_x$, we have $\mathfrak{hol}_x = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_1)) = \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}_2)) = \mathfrak{g}_2$. $\square$

All the above shows that to get a classification of connected holonomy groups (equivalently of holonomy algebra) for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature (r, s) one must solve the following problems

Problem 1) classify weakly-irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$;

Problem 2) check which Lie algebras of Problem 1) are Berger algebras;

Problem 3) find a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ for each weakly-irreducible Berger algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$.

In the next two sections we will recall the solution of these problems for Riemannian and Lorentzian manifolds.

1.2 Connected holonomy groups of Riemannian manifolds and connected irreducible holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

Consider now Riemannian manifolds. Weakly-irreducible subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ are irreducible and Problem 1) is equivalent to the problem of classification of irreducible representations of compact Lie algebras, this problem was solved by E. Cartan in [24, 25].

Recall that for any locally symmetric Riemannian manifold there exists a simply connected Riemannian symmetric space with the same restricted holonomy group (see Section 4.2 for definitions). Simply connected Riemannian symmetric spaces were classified by E. Cartan ([27, 13, 39]). If the holonomy group of such space is irreducible, then it coincides with the isotropy representation. Thus connected irreducible holonomy groups of locally symmetric Riemannian spaces are known.

In 1955 M. Berger obtained a list of possible connected irreducible holonomy groups of Riemannian manifolds, [14].

Theorem 1.2.1. *Let $G \subset SO(n)$ be a connected irreducible Lie subgroup such that its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ satisfies $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$, then either G is the holonomy group of a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold, or G is one of the following groups:*

$SO(n)$;

$U(m)$, $SU(m)$, $n = 2m$;

$Sp(m)$, $Sp(m) \cdot Sp(1)$, $n = 4m$;

$Spin(7)$, $n = 8$;

G_2 , $n = 7$.

The initial list of M. Berger contained also the Lie group $Spin(9) \subset SO(16)$. In [1] D. V. Alekseevsky showed that Riemannian manifolds with the holonomy group $Spin(9)$ are locally symmetric. The list of Theorem 1.2.1 coincides with the list of connected Lie groups $G \subset SO(n)$ acting transitively on the sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (if we exclude from the last list the Lie groups $Spin(9)$ and $Sp(m) \cdot T$, where T is the circle). Using this, in 1962 J. Simons gave in [54] a geometric proof of Theorem 1.2.1.

To prove Theorem 1.2.1, M. Berger used the classification of irreducible representations of compact Lie algebras. Each such representation can be obtained using tensor products of the fundamental representations. Berger showed that most of these representations can not appear as holonomy representations: if the representation contains more than one tensorial factor, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$. Using complicated computations, Berger showed

that for the fundamental representations that are not in Theorem 1.2.1 from the Bianchi identity it follows $\nabla R = 0$ or $R = 0$.

Thus Problem 2) for Riemannian manifolds is solved.

Examples of Riemannian manifolds with the holonomy groups $U(\frac{n}{2})$, $SU(\frac{n}{2})$, $Sp(\frac{n}{4})$ and $Sp(\frac{n}{4}) \cdot Sp(1)$ were constructed by E. Calabi, S. T. Yau and D. V. Alekseevsky. In 1987 in [21] R. Bryant constructed examples of Riemannian manifolds with the holonomy groups $Spin(7)$ and G_2 . Many constructions are given in the book of D. Joyce [42]. This finishes the classification of connected holonomy groups of Riemannian manifolds.

Consider some special geometric structures on Riemannian manifolds with the holonomy groups from Theorem 1.2.1.

$SO(n)$: This is the holonomy group of Riemannian manifolds of "general position". There are no geometric structures induced by the holonomy group on such manifolds.

$U(m)$ ($n = 2m$): Riemannian manifolds with this holonomy group are Kählerian, they admit parallel Hermitian structures (Example 1.1.3).

$SU(m)$ ($n = 2m$): Riemannian manifolds with this holonomy group are called special Kählerian or Calabi-Yau manifolds, they are Kählerian and Ricci-flat (Example 1.1.4).

$Sp(m)$ ($n = 4m$): Riemannian manifolds with this holonomy group are called hyper-Kählerian, they are Ricci-flat, each such manifold admits a parallel quaternionic structure, i.e. parallel Hermitian structures I , J and K such that $IJ = -JI = K$.

$Sp(m) \cdot Sp(1)$ ($n = 4m$): Riemannian manifolds with this holonomy group are called quaternionic-Kählerian, each such manifold admits a parallel subbundle of the bundle of the endomorphisms of the tangent spaces that locally is generated by a quaternionic structure.

$Spin(7)$ ($n = 8$), G_2 ($n = 7$): Riemannian manifolds with these holonomy groups are Ricci-flat. On a manifold with the holonomy group $Spin(7)$ exists a parallel 4-form, on a manifold with the holonomy group G_2 exists a parallel 3-form.

The next theorem gives a classification of possible connected irreducible holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. The first version of this theorem was obtained by M. Berger in 1955 in [14]. Later, the initial list of M. Berger was refined and completed by R. Bryant, Q.-S. Chi, S. Merkulov and L. Schwachhöfer, see [23, 29, 52].

Theorem 1.2.2. *Let $G \subset SO(r, s)$ is a connected irreducible Lie subgroup such that its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ satisfies $L(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{g}$, then either G is the holonomy group of a locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian manifold, or G is one of the following groups:*

$SO(r, s);$

$U(p, q), SU(p, q), r = 2p, s = 2q;$

$Sp(p, q), Sp(p, q) \cdot Sp(1), r = 4p, s = 4q;$

$SO(r, \mathbb{C}), s = r;$

$Sp(p) \cdot SL(2, \mathbb{R}), r = s = 2p;$

$Sp(p, \mathbb{C}) \cdot SL(2, \mathbb{C}), r = s = 4p;$

$Spin(7), r = 0, s = 8;$

$Spin(4, 3), r = s = 4;$

$Spin(7)^\mathbb{C}, r = s = 8;$

$G_2, r = 0, s = 7;$

$G_{2(2)}^*, r = 4, s = 3;$

$G_2^\mathbb{C}, r = s = 7.$

In 1957 in [15] M. Berger got a classification of simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces with irreducible holonomy groups, thus the holonomy groups of these spaces are known.

Thus in the pseudo-Riemannian case we are left with the problem of classification of connected weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy groups.

1.3 Connected holonomy groups of Lorentzian manifolds

In this section we explain the classification of the holonomy algebras of Lorentzian manifolds. We assume that the dimensions of the Lorentzian manifolds are $n + 2$, where $n \geq 0$. From Berger's classification of irreducible holonomy algebras of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds it follows that *the only irreducible holonomy algebra of Lorentzian manifolds is $\mathfrak{so}(1, n + 1)$* , see [31] and [20] for direct proofs of this fact.

Consider weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras. First set some notation.

Let $(\mathbb{R}^{1, n+1}, \eta)$ be the Minkowski space of dimension $n + 2$, where η is a metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ of signature $(1, n + 1)$. We fix a basis $p, e_1, \dots, e_n, q$ of $\mathbb{R}^{1, n+1}$ such that the Gram matrix

of η has the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & E_n & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where E_n is the n -dimensional identity matrix. We will denote by $E = \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$ the Euclidean subspace spanned by the vectors $e_1, \dots, e_n$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ that preserves the isotropic line $\mathbb{R}p$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ can be identified with the following matrix algebra

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & X^t & 0 \\ 0 & A & -X \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in \mathbb{R}, X \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in \mathfrak{so}(n) \right\}.$$

We identify the above matrix with the triple (a, A, X) . Define the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(a, 0, 0) | a \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \mathcal{K} = \{(0, A, 0) | A \in \mathfrak{so}(n)\}, \quad \mathcal{N} = \{(0, 0, X) | X \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

We see that $\mathcal{A}$ commutes with $\mathcal{K}$, and $\mathcal{N}$ is a commutative ideal. We also see that

$$[(a, A, 0), (0, 0, X)] = (0, 0, aX + AX).$$

We obtain the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p} = (\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{K}) \ltimes \mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If a weakly-irreducible subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ preserves a degenerate proper subspace $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$, then it preserves the isotropic line $U \cap U^\perp$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to a weakly-irreducible subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$.

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ be a subalgebra. Recall that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a compact Lie algebra and we have the decomposition $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$, where $\mathfrak{h}'$ is the commutant of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ is the center of $\mathfrak{h}$, see for example [57].

The first step towards the classification of weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras of Lorentzian manifolds was done by L. Berard Bergery and A. Ikemakhen who proved in 1993 in [11] the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.1. *A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ is weakly-irreducible if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ belongs to one of the following types*

type 1. $\mathfrak{g}^{1,\mathfrak{h}} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & X^t & 0 \\ 0 & A & -X \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$, where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a subalgebra;

type 2. $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^t & 0 \\ 0 & A & -X \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\};$

type 3. $\mathfrak{g}^{3,\mathfrak{h},\varphi} = \{(\varphi(A), A, 0) | A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(A) & X^t & 0 \\ 0 & A & -X \\ 0 & 0 & -\varphi(A) \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\},$
 where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a subalgebra with $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \neq \{0\}$, and $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero linear map with $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$;

type 4. $\mathfrak{g}^{4,\mathfrak{h},m,\psi} = \{(0, A, X + \psi(A)) | A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$
 $= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^t & \psi(A)^t & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & -X \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\psi(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{R}^m \right\},$ where $0 < m < n$ is an integer,
 $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(m)$ is a subalgebra with $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n - m$, and $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$.

Definition. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ associated to a weakly-irreducible subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ in Theorem 1.3.1 is called the *orthogonal part* of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3.1 given by L. Berard Bergery and A. Ikemakhen was purely algebraic. We describe now another more geometric proof of this theorem from [33], since in Chapter II we will generalize this idea in order to classify weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$.

Let (E, η) be an Euclidean space. A map $f : E \rightarrow E$ is called a *similarity transformation* of E if there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that $\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| = \lambda\|x_1 - x_2\|$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in E$, where $\|x\|^2 = \eta(x, x)$. If $\lambda = 1$, then f is called an *isometry*. Denote by $\text{Sim } E$ and $\text{Isom } E$ the groups of all similarity transformations and isometries of E , respectively. A subgroup $G \subset \text{Sim } E$ is called *irreducible* if it preserves no proper affine subspace of E .

Let $p, e_1, \dots, e_n, q$ be a basis of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$ as above. Consider the basis $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$, where $e_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(p - q)$ and $e_{n+1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(p + q)$. With respect to this basis the Gram matrix of η has the form $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$, where E_{n+1} is the $n+1$ -dimensional identity matrix.

The vector model of the $n+1$ -dimensional *hyperbolic space* is defined in the following way:

$$H^{n+1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \mid \eta(x, x) = -1, x_0 > 0\},$$

where x_0 is the first coordinate with respect to the basis $e_0, \dots, e_{n+1}$. Recall that H^{n+1} is an $n+1$ -dimensional Riemannian submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$. The tangent space at a point

$x \in H^{n+1}$ is identified with the vector subspace $(x)^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$ and the restriction of the form η to this subspace is positively definite.

Let $O'(1, n+1) \subset O(1, n+1)$ be the subgroup preserving the space H^{n+1} . Then for any $f \in O'(1, n+1)$, the restriction $f|_{H^{n+1}}$ is an isometry of H^{n+1} and any isometry of H^{n+1} can be obtained in this way. Hence we have the isomorphism

$$O'(1, n+1) \simeq \text{Isom } H^{n+1},$$

where $\text{Isom } H^{n+1}$ is the group of all isometries of H^{n+1} .

Consider the *light-cone* of $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$,

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \mid \eta(x, x) = 0\}.$$

The subset of the $n+1$ -dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$ that consists of all *isotropic lines* $l \subset C$ is called the *boundary of the hyperbolic space* H^{n+1} and is denoted by ∂H^{n+1} .

We identify ∂H^{n+1} with the n -dimensional unit sphere S^n in the following way. Consider the vector subspace $E_1 = E \oplus \mathbb{R}e_{n+1}$. Each isotropic line intersects the hyperplane $e_0 + E_1$ at a unique point. The intersection $(e_0 + E_1) \cap C$ is the set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,n+1} \mid x_0 = 1, x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1\},$$

which is the n -dimensional sphere S^n . This gives us the identification $\partial H^{n+1} \simeq S^n$.

Denote by $\text{Conf } S^n$ the group of all conformal transformations of S^n . Any transformation $f \in SO(1, n+1)$ takes isotropic lines to isotropic lines. Moreover, under the above identification, we have $f|_{\partial H^{n+1}} \in \text{Conf } \partial H^{n+1}$ and any transformation from $\text{Conf } \partial H^{n+1}$ can be obtained in this way. Hence we have the isomorphism

$$SO(1, n+1) \simeq \text{Conf } \partial H^{n+1}.$$

Denote by $SO(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ the subgroup of $SO(1, n+1)$ that preserves the isotropic line $\mathbb{R}p$. Suppose that $f \in SO(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$. The corresponding element $f \in \text{Conf } S^n$ preserves the point $p_0 = \mathbb{R}p \cap (e_0 + E_1)$. Clearly, $p_0 = \sqrt{2}p$. Denote by s_0 the stereographic projection $s_0 : S^n \setminus \{p_0\} \rightarrow e_0 + E$. Since $f \in \text{Conf } S^n$, we see that the element $\Gamma(f)$ defined by $\Gamma(f) = s_0 \circ f \circ s_0^{-1} : E \rightarrow E$ (here we identify $e_0 + E$ with E) is a similarity transformation of the Euclidean space E . Conversely, any similarity transformation of E can be obtained in this way. Thus we have the isomorphism

$$\Gamma : SO(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p} \rightarrow \text{Sim } E.$$

Then we prove that a connected subgroup $G \subset SO(V)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ acts weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$ if and only if $\Gamma(G) \subset \text{Sim } E$ acts transitively on the Euclidean space E . From this and Theorem 2.2.1 below we obtain

Theorem 1.3.2. *Let G be a connected subgroup of $SO(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$. Then G acts weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$ if and only if $\Gamma(G)$ acts transitively on the Euclidean space $E = \partial H^{n+1} \setminus \{\mathbb{R}p\}$.*

Using results of [2] and [3], we divide transitively acting connected subgroups of $\text{Sim } E$ into 4 types (see Theorem 2.2.2 below) and show that the Lie algebras of the corresponding subgroups of $SO(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ have the same types introduced by L. Berard Bergery and A. Ikemakhen. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.1. $\square$

In order to get a classification of weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebras of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$, in [32] we study the spaces $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ for the Lie algebras of Theorem 1.3.1 in terms of their orthogonal parts $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$.

Definition. *The vector space*

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}) = \left\{ P \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{h}) \left| \begin{array}{l} \eta(P(u)v, w) + \eta(P(v)w, u) + \eta(P(w)u, v) = 0 \\ \text{for all } u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

is called the space of weak-curvature tensors of type $\mathfrak{h}$. A subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is called a weak-Berger algebra if $L(\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})) = \mathfrak{h}$, where

$$L(\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})) = \text{span}\{P(u) | P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}), u \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

is the vector subspace of $\mathfrak{h}$ spanned by $P(u)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In [32] we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.3. *A weakly-irreducible subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ is a Berger algebra if and only if its orthogonal part $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a weak-Berger algebra.*

Thus we need a classification of weak-Berger algebras. In [47, 48, 49, 50] T. Leistner proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.4. *A subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a weak-Berger algebra if and only if $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Berger algebra.*

First note that any weak-Berger algebra is a direct sum of irreducible weak-Berger algebras. To prove Theorem 1.3.4, T. Leistner used the classification of irreducible representations of compact Lie algebras and complicated computations. Recall that from the classification of Riemannian holonomy algebras it follows that *a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a Berger algebra if and only if $\mathfrak{h}$ is the holonomy algebra of a Riemannian manifold.*

Thus *a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ is a weakly-irreducible not irreducible Berger algebra if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to one of the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1,\mathfrak{h}}, \mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathfrak{h}}, \mathfrak{g}^{3,\mathfrak{h},\varphi}, \mathfrak{g}^{4,\mathfrak{h},m,\psi} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$, where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is the holonomy algebra of a Riemannian manifold.*

The last step to complete the classification of Lorentzian holonomy algebras is to realize all weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ as the holonomy algebras of Lorentzian manifolds. This was completely done in [35]. First consider two examples.

Example [11]. In 1993 L. Berard Bergery and A. Ikemakhen realized the weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$ of type 1 and 2 as the holonomy algebras of Lorentzian manifolds. They constructed the following metrics. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ be the holonomy algebra of a Riemannian manifold. Let $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1}$ be the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, h be a metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, and $f(x^0, \dots, x^{n+1})$ be a function with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1} \neq 0, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^n} \neq 0$. If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^0} \neq 0$, then the holonomy algebra of the metric

$$g = 2dx^0 dx^{n+1} + h + f \cdot (dx^{n+1})^2$$

is $\mathfrak{g}^{1,\mathfrak{h}}$. If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^0} = 0$, then the holonomy algebra of the metric g is $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathfrak{h}}$.

The idea of the general constructions came from the following example of A. Ikemakhen.

Example [40]. Let $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^5, x^6$ be the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^7$. Consider the following metric

$$g = 2dx^0 dx^6 + \sum_{i=1}^5 (dx^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^5 u^i dx^i dx^6,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u^1 &= -(x^3)^2 - 4(x^4)^2 - (x^5)^2, & u^2 &= u^4 = 0, \\ u^3 &= -2\sqrt{3}x^2x^3 - 2x^4x^5, & u^5 &= 2\sqrt{3}x^2x^5 + 2x^3x^4. \end{aligned}$$

The holonomy algebra of this metric at the point 0 is $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\rho(\mathfrak{so}(3))} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, 6)$, where $\rho : \mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(5)$ is the representation given by the highest irreducible component of the representation $\otimes^2 \text{id} : \mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow \otimes^2 \mathfrak{so}(3)$. The image $\rho(\mathfrak{so}(3)) \subset \mathfrak{so}(5)$ is spanned by the

matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = A_1$, $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = A_2$, $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^5}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = A_3$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = 0$.

Note the following. Let $P \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{h})$ be a linear map defined by: $P(e_1) = P(e_2) = 0$, $P(e_3) = A_1$, $P(e_4) = A_2$ and $P(e_5) = A_3$. Then $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$, $P(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = P(e_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Now we explain the general construction. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ be the holonomy algebra of a Riemannian manifold. Theorem 1.1.9 states that we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_s} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n_{s+1}} \quad (3)$$

and the corresponding decomposition of $\mathfrak{h}$ into the direct sum of ideals

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{h}_s \oplus \{0\} \quad (4)$$

such that $\mathfrak{h}$ annihilates $\mathbb{R}^{n_{s+1}}$, $\mathfrak{h}_i(\mathbb{R}^{n_j}) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $\mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(n_i)$ is an irreducible subalgebra for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Moreover, the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_i$ are the holonomy algebras of Riemannian manifolds. In [32] it was proved that

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}_s). \quad (5)$$

We choose the basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ compatible with the above decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $n_0 = n_1 + \dots + n_s = n - n_{s+1}$. Obviously, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n_0)$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ does not annihilate any proper subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n_0}$. Note that in the case of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{4, \mathfrak{h}, m, \psi}$ we have $0 < n_0 \leq m$.

We will always assume that the indices b, c, d, f run from 0 to $n+1$, the indices i, j, k, l run from 1 to n , the indices $\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}, \hat{l}$ run from 1 to n_0 , the indices $\hat{\hat{i}}, \hat{\hat{j}}, \hat{\hat{k}}, \hat{\hat{l}}$ run from n_0+1 to n , and the indices α, β, γ run from 1 to N . In case of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{4, \mathfrak{h}, m, \psi}$ we will also assume that the indices $\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{l}$ run from n_0+1 to m and the indices $\tilde{\tilde{i}}, \tilde{\tilde{j}}, \tilde{\tilde{k}}, \tilde{\tilde{l}}$ run from $m+1$ to n . We will use the Einstein rule for sums.

Let $(P_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^N$ be linearly independent elements of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that the subset $\{P_\alpha(u) | 1 \leq \alpha \leq N, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_0}\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ generates the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. For example, it can be any basis of

the vector space $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$. We have $P_\alpha|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_s+1}} = 0$ and P_α can be considered as linear maps $P_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{n_0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n_0)$. For each P_α define the numbers $P_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}}$ such that $P_\alpha(e_{\hat{i}})e_{\hat{j}} = P_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}}e_{\hat{k}}$. Since $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h})$, we have

$$P_{\alpha\hat{k}\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} = -P_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} + P_{\alpha\hat{k}\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} + P_{\alpha\hat{i}\hat{k}}^{\hat{j}} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Define the following numbers

$$a_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} = \frac{1}{3 \cdot (\alpha - 1)!} \left(P_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} + P_{\alpha\hat{i}\hat{j}}^{\hat{k}} \right). \quad (7)$$

Then

$$a_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} = a_{\alpha\hat{i}\hat{j}}^{\hat{k}}. \quad (8)$$

From (6) it follows that

$$P_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} = (\alpha - 1)! \left(a_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} - a_{\alpha\hat{k}\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} + a_{\alpha\hat{k}\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} + a_{\alpha\hat{i}\hat{k}}^{\hat{j}} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Let $x^0, \dots, x^{n+1}$ be the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. Consider the metric

$$g = 2dx^0 dx^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n (dx^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} u^{\hat{i}} dx^{\hat{i}} dx^{n+1} + f \cdot (dx^{n+1})^2, \quad (10)$$

where

$$u^{\hat{i}} = a_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{k}}^{\hat{i}} x^{\hat{j}} x^{\hat{k}} (x^{n+1})^{\alpha-1} \quad (11)$$

and f is a function which depends on the type of the holonomy algebra that we wish to obtain.

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{3,\mathfrak{h},\varphi}$ (if it exists) define the numbers

$$\varphi_{\alpha\hat{i}} = \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)!} \varphi(P_\alpha(e_{\hat{i}})). \quad (12)$$

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{4,\mathfrak{h},m,\psi}$ (if it exists) define the numbers $\psi_{\alpha\hat{i}\hat{i}}^{\hat{z}}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)!} \psi(P_\alpha(e_{\hat{i}})) = \sum_{\hat{z}=m+1}^n \psi_{\alpha\hat{i}\hat{i}}^{\hat{z}} e_{\hat{z}}. \quad (13)$$

If we choose f such that $f(0) = 0$, then $g_0 = \eta$ and we can identify the tangent space to $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ at 0 with the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$.

Theorem 1.3.5. *The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ of the metric g at the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ depends on the function f in the following way*

f	$\mathfrak{hol}_0$
$(x^0)^2 + \sum_{\hat{i}=n_0+1}^n (x^{\hat{i}})^2$	$\mathfrak{g}^{1,\mathfrak{h}}$
$\sum_{\hat{i}=n_0+1}^n (x^{\hat{i}})^2$	$\mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathfrak{h}}$
$2x^0\varphi_{\alpha\hat{i}}x^{\hat{i}}(x^{n+1})^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{\hat{i}=n_0+1}^n (x^{\hat{i}})^2$	$\mathfrak{g}^{3,\mathfrak{h},\varphi}$ (if $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \neq \{0\}$)
$2\psi_{\alpha\tilde{i}}x^{\hat{i}}x^{\tilde{i}}(x^{n+1})^{\alpha-1} + \sum_{\tilde{i}=n_0+1}^m (x^{\tilde{i}})^2$	$\mathfrak{g}^{4,\mathfrak{h},m,\psi}$ (if $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n - m$)

In order to prove Theorem 1.3.5, we computed in [35] the components of all covariant derivatives of the curvature tensors of the metrics (10) with the above chosen f , then we used Theorem 1.1.7.

As the corollary we obtain the classification of the weakly-irreducible not irreducible Lorentzian holonomy algebras.

Theorem 1.3.6. *A weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ is the holonomy algebra of a Lorentzian manifold if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to one of the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}^{1,\mathfrak{h}}, \mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathfrak{h}}, \mathfrak{g}^{3,\mathfrak{h},\varphi}, \mathfrak{g}^{4,\mathfrak{h},m,\psi} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p}$, where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is the holonomy algebra of a Riemannian manifold.*

From Theorem 1.3.6, Wu's theorem and Berger's list it follows that *the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, N+1)$ of any Lorentzian manifold of dimension $N+2$ has the form $\mathfrak{hol} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{h}_r$, where either $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ or $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra from Theorem 1.3.6, and $\mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{so}(n_i)$ are the irreducible holonomy algebras of Riemannian manifolds ($N = n + n_1 + \dots + n_r$).*

Now we compare our method of constructions of the metric (10) with the example of A. Ikemakhen. Let us construct the metric for $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\rho(\mathfrak{so}(3))} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, 6)$ by our method. Take $P \in \mathcal{P}(\rho(\mathfrak{so}(3)))$ defined as $P(e_1) = P(e_2) = 0$, $P(e_3) = A_1$, $P(e_4) = A_2$ and $P(e_5) = A_3$. By our constructions,

$$g = 2dx^0dx^6 + \sum_{i=1}^5 (dx^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^5 u^i dx^i dx^6,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u^1 &= -\frac{2}{3}((x^3)^2 + 4(x^4)^2 + (x^5)^2), & u^2 &= \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}((x^3)^2 - (x^5)^2), \\ u^3 &= \frac{2}{3}(x^1x^3 - \sqrt{3}x^2x^3 - 3x^4x^5 - (x^5)^2), & u^4 &= \frac{8}{3}x^1x^4, \\ u^5 &= \frac{2}{3}(x^1x^5 + \sqrt{3}x^2x^5 + 3x^3x^4 + x^3x^5). \end{aligned}$$

We still have $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = A_1$, $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = A_2$,
 $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^5}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = A_3$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} \right)_0 \right) = 0$.

The reason why we obtain another metric is the following. The idea of our constructions is to find the constants $a_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}} \right)_0 \right) &= P_1(e_i), \\ \dots, \\ \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \left(\nabla^{N-1} R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}}; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}} \right)_0 \right) &= P_N(e_i). \end{aligned}$$

These conditions give us the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha - 1)! \left(a_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} - a_{\alpha\hat{k}\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}} \right) = P_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}}, \\ a_{\alpha\hat{j}\hat{i}}^{\hat{k}} - a_{\alpha\hat{i}\hat{j}}^{\hat{k}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

One of the solutions of this system is given by (7), but this system can have other solutions. We use the solution given by (7), taking another solution of the above system, we can obtain the metric constructed by A. Ikemakhen.

Thus the choice of the functions $u^{\hat{i}}$ given by (11) guarantees us that the orthogonal part of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ coincides with the given Riemannian holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ (the other values of $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}(\nabla^r R)$ does not give us anything new). This also guarantees us the inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{n_0} \subset \mathfrak{hol}_0$. The reason why we choose the function f as in Theorem 1.3.5 can be easily understood from the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}} \right)_0 \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial x^0)^2} \text{ (we use this for } \mathfrak{g}^{1,\mathfrak{h}}), \\ \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\nabla^{\alpha-1} R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\hat{i}}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}}; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}} \right)_0 \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{\alpha+1} f}{\partial x^0 \partial x^{\hat{i}} (\partial x^{n+1})^{\alpha-1}} \\ &\text{(we use this for } \mathfrak{g}^{3,\mathfrak{h},\varphi}), \\ \text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\nabla^{\alpha-1} R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\hat{i}}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}}; \dots; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+1}} \right)_0 \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\hat{j}=n_0+1}^n \frac{\partial^{\alpha+1} f}{\partial x^{\hat{i}} \partial x^{\hat{j}} (\partial x^{n+1})^{\alpha-1}} e_{\hat{j}} \\ &\text{(for } \alpha = 0 \text{ we use this for all algebras, for } \alpha \geq 0 \text{ we use this for } \mathfrak{g}^{4,\mathfrak{h},m,\psi}). \end{aligned}$$

As application let us construct metrics for the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathfrak{g}_2} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1,8)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\text{spin}(7)} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1,9)$.

Example (Metric with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathfrak{g}_2} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1,8)$). Consider the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(7)$. The vector subspace $\mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(7)$ is spanned by the following matrices ([7]):

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= E_{12} - E_{34}, & A_2 &= E_{12} - E_{56}, & A_3 &= E_{13} + E_{24}, & A_4 &= E_{13} - E_{67}, \\ A_5 &= E_{14} - E_{23}, & A_6 &= E_{14} - E_{57}, & A_7 &= E_{15} + E_{26}, & A_8 &= E_{15} + E_{47}, \\ A_9 &= E_{16} - E_{25}, & A_{10} &= E_{16} + E_{37}, & A_{11} &= E_{17} - E_{36}, & A_{12} &= E_{17} - E_{45}, \\ A_{13} &= E_{27} - E_{35}, & A_{14} &= E_{27} + E_{46}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{so}(7)$ ($i < j$) is the skew-symmetric matrix such that $(E_{ij})_{ij} = 1$, $(E_{ij})_{ji} = -1$ and $(E_{ij})_{kl} = 0$ for other k and l .

Consider the linear map $P \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^7, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} P(e_1) &= A_6, & P(e_2) &= A_4 + A_5, & P(e_3) &= A_1 + A_7, & P(e_4) &= A_1, \\ P(e_5) &= A_4, & P(e_6) &= -A_5 + A_6, & P(e_7) &= A_7. \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$. Moreover, the elements $A_1, A_4, A_5, A_6, A_7 \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ generate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_2$.

The holonomy algebra of the metric

$$g = 2dx^0 dx^8 + \sum_{i=1}^7 (dx^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^7 u^i dx^i dx^8,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u^1 &= \frac{2}{3}(2x^2 x^3 + x^1 x^4 + 2x^2 x^4 + 2x^3 x^5 + x^5 x^7), \\ u^2 &= \frac{2}{3}(-x^1 x^3 - x^2 x^3 - x^1 x^4 + 2x^3 x^6 + x^6 x^7), \\ u^3 &= \frac{2}{3}(-x^1 x^2 + (x^2)^2 - x^3 x^4 - (x^4)^2 - x^1 x^5 - x^2 x^6), \\ u^4 &= \frac{2}{3}(-(x^1)^2 - x^1 x^2 + (x^3)^2 + x^3 x^4), \\ u^5 &= \frac{2}{3}(-x^1 x^3 - 2x^1 x^7 - x^6 x^7), \\ u^6 &= \frac{2}{3}(-x^2 x^3 - 2x^2 x^7 - x^5 x^7), \\ u^7 &= \frac{2}{3}(x^1 x^5 + x^2 x^6 + 2x^5 x^6), \end{aligned}$$

at the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}^9$ is $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathfrak{g}_2} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1,8)$.

Example (Metric with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\text{spin}(7)} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1,9)$). Consider the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{spin}(7) \subset \mathfrak{so}(8)$. The vector subspace $\mathfrak{spin}(7) \subset \mathfrak{so}(8)$ is spanned by the

following matrices ([7]):

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= E_{12} + E_{34}, & A_2 &= E_{13} - E_{24}, & A_3 &= E_{14} + E_{23}, & A_4 &= E_{56} + E_{78}, \\
A_5 &= -E_{57} + E_{68}, & A_6 &= E_{58} + E_{67}, & A_7 &= -E_{15} + E_{26}, & A_8 &= E_{12} + E_{56}, \\
A_9 &= E_{16} + E_{25}, & A_{10} &= E_{37} - E_{48}, & A_{11} &= E_{38} + E_{47}, & A_{12} &= E_{17} + E_{28}, \\
A_{13} &= E_{18} - E_{27}, & A_{14} &= E_{35} + E_{46}, & A_{15} &= E_{36} - E_{45}, & A_{16} &= E_{18} + E_{36}, \\
A_{17} &= E_{17} + E_{35}, & A_{18} &= E_{26} - E_{48}, & A_{19} &= E_{25} + E_{38}, & A_{20} &= E_{23} + E_{67}, \\
A_{21} &= E_{24} + E_{57}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the linear map $P \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^8, \mathfrak{spin}(7))$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
P(e_1) &= 0, & P(e_2) &= -A_{14}, & P(e_3) &= 0, & P(e_4) &= A_{21}, \\
P(e_5) &= A_{20}, & P(e_6) &= A_{21} - A_{18}, & P(e_7) &= A_{15} - A_{16}, & P(e_8) &= A_{14} - A_{17}.
\end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{spin}(7))$. Moreover, the elements $A_{14}, A_{15} - A_{16}, A_{17}, A_{18}, A_{20}, A_{21} \in \mathfrak{spin}(7)$ generate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{spin}(7)$.

The holonomy algebra of the metric

$$g = 2dx^0 dx^9 + \sum_{i=1}^8 (dx^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^8 u^i dx^i dx^9,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
u^1 &= -\frac{4}{3}x^7 x^8, & u^2 &= \frac{2}{3}((x^4)^2 + x^3 x^5 + x^4 x^6 - (x^6)^2), \\
u^3 &= -\frac{4}{3}x^2 x^5, & u^4 &= \frac{2}{3}(-x^2 x^4 - 2x^2 x^6 - x^5 x^7 + 2x^6 x^8), \\
u^5 &= \frac{2}{3}(x^2 x^3 + 2x^4 x^7 + x^6 x^7), & u^6 &= \frac{2}{3}(x^2 x^4 + x^2 x^6 + x^5 x^7 - x^4 x^8), \\
u^7 &= \frac{2}{3}(-x^4 x^5 - 2x^5 x^6 + x^1 x^8), & u^8 &= \frac{2}{3}(-x^4 x^6 + x^1 x^7),
\end{aligned}$$

at the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}^9$ is $\mathfrak{g}^{2, \mathfrak{spin}(7)} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, 9)$.

Chapter II. Weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$

In this chapter we classify weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$. The result is stated in Section 2.1. In the other sections we give preliminaries and the proof of the main theorem.

2.1 Classification of weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$

Let $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$ be a $2n+4$ -dimensional real vector space endowed with a complex structure $J \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$, $J^2 = -\text{id}$ and with a J -invariant metric η of signature $(2, 2n+2)$, i.e. $\eta(Jx, Jy) = \eta(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$. We fix a basis $p_1, p_2, e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n, q_1, q_2$ of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$ such that the Gram matrix of the metric η and the complex structure J have the forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & E_{2n} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$ that preserves the J -invariant 2-dimensional isotropic subspace $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ can be identified with the following matrix algebra

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>} = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & -z_1^t & -z_2^t & 0 & -c \\ a_2 & a_1 & z_2^t & -z_1^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & -C & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & C & B & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} a_1, a_2, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(n) \end{array} \right) \right\}.$$

Recall that

$$\mathfrak{u}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \mid B \in \mathfrak{so}(n), C \in \mathfrak{gl}(n), C^t = C \right\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(n) \mid \text{tr } C = 0 \right\}.$$

We identify the above element of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ with the 7-tuple $(a_1, a_2, B, C, z_1, z_2, c)$.

Define the following vector subspaces of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^1 &= \{(a_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) | a_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}, & \mathcal{A}^2 &= \{(0, a_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) | a_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ \mathcal{N}^1 &= \{(0, 0, 0, 0, z_1, 0, 0) | z_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, & \mathcal{N}^2 &= \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, z_2, 0) | z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, c) | c \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We consider $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$.

We see that $\mathcal{C}$ is a commutative ideal, which commutes with $\mathcal{A}^2$, $\mathcal{N}^1$, $\mathcal{N}^2$ and $\mathfrak{u}(n)$, and $\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2$ is a commutative subalgebras, which commutes with $\mathfrak{u}(n)$.

Furthermore, for $a_1, a_2, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[(a_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, z_1, z_2, c)] &= (0, 0, 0, 0, a_1 z_1, a_1 z_2, 2a_1 c), \\ [(0, a_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, z_1, z_2, 0)] &= (0, 0, 0, 0, a_2 z_2, -a_2 z_1, 0), \\ [(0, 0, B, C, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, z_1, z_2, 0)] &= (0, 0, 0, 0, B z_1 - C z_2, C z_1 + B z_2, 0), \\ [(0, 0, 0, 0, z_1, z_2, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, w_1, w_2, 0)] &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2(-z_1 w_2^t + z_2 w_1^t)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the decomposition¹

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)) \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}^2 + \mathcal{C}).$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$ that preserves the subspace $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = \{(a_1, a_2, B, C, z_1, z_2, c) \in \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} | 2a_2 + \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}} C = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} \oplus \mathbb{R}J.$$

Therefore we obtain the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathbb{R}I_0) \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}^2 + \mathcal{C}),$$

where

$$I_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{n}{n+2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{n}{n+2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{n+2}E_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{n+2}E_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{n}{n+2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{n}{n+2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

¹We denote by $\ltimes$ and $\ltimes$ the semi-direct product and semi-direct sum for Lie groups and Lie algebras, respectively. If a Lie algebra is decomposed into a direct sum of vector subspaces, then we use $+$.

Note that

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, 1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & 0 & -c \\ a_2 & a_1 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| a_1, a_2, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2) \ltimes \mathcal{C}$$

and $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = \mathcal{A}^1 \ltimes \mathcal{C}$.

If a weakly-irreducible subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$ preserves a degenerate proper subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the J -invariant 2-dimensional isotropic subspace $W_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$, where $W_1 = (W \cap JW) \cap (W \cap JW)^\perp$ if $W \cap JW \neq \{0\}$ and $W_1 = (W \oplus JW) \cap (W \oplus JW)^\perp$ if $W \cap JW = \{0\}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to a weakly-irreducible subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle \mathbb{R}p_1, \mathbb{R}p_2 \rangle}$.

Let $E = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$, $E^1 = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $E^2 = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$. For any integers k and l with $1 \leq k \leq l \leq n$ we consider the following subspaces:

$$E_{k, \dots, l}^1 = \text{span}\{e_k, \dots, e_l\} \subset E^1, E_{k, \dots, l}^2 = \text{span}\{f_k, \dots, f_l\} \subset E^2, E_{k, \dots, l} = E_{k, \dots, l}^1 \oplus E_{k, \dots, l}^2 \subset E,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{k, \dots, l}^1 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, z_1, 0, 0) | z_1 \in E_{k, \dots, l}^1\} \subset \mathcal{N}^1$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{k, \dots, l}^2 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, z_2, 0) | z_2 \in E_{k, \dots, l}^2\} \subset \mathcal{N}^2.$$

Clearly, $E^1 = E_{1, \dots, n}^1$, $E^2 = E_{1, \dots, n}^2$, $E = E_{1, \dots, n}$, $\mathcal{N}^1 = \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, n}^1$ and $\mathcal{N}^2 = \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, n}^2$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{u}(e_k, \dots, e_l)$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ that preserves the vector subspace $E_{k, \dots, l} \subset E$ and annihilates the orthogonal complement to this subspace. We denote $\mathfrak{u}(1, \dots, l)$ just by $\mathfrak{u}(l)$. Furthermore, let $J_{k, \dots, l}$ be the element of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle \mathbb{R}p_1, \mathbb{R}p_2 \rangle}$ defined by $J_{k, \dots, l}|_{E_{k, \dots, l}} = J|_{E_{k, \dots, l}}$ and $J_{k, \dots, l}|_{E_{k, \dots, l}^\perp} = 0$. We denote $J_{1, \dots, l}$ just by J_l . Consider the following Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(k, \dots, l) = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| B \in \mathfrak{so}(l-k+1) \right\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k, \dots, l),$$

where the matrices of the operators are written with respect to the decomposition

$$E = E_{1, \dots, k-1}^1 \oplus E_{k, \dots, l}^1 \oplus E_{l+1, \dots, n}^1 \oplus E_{1, \dots, k-1}^2 \oplus E_{k, \dots, l}^2 \oplus E_{l+1, \dots, n}^2.$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(k, \dots, l) \subset \mathfrak{u}(n)$ annihilates the orthogonal complement to $E_{k, \dots, l}^1 \oplus E_{k, \dots, l}^2$ and acts diagonally on $E_{k, \dots, l}^1 \oplus E_{k, \dots, l}^2$.

For any $0 \leq m \leq n$ define the following vector space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 = \{(0, a_2, 0, 0, 0, 0) + a_2 J_{m+1, \dots, n} | a_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Clearly, if $m = n$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 = \mathcal{A}^2$; if $m = 0$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 = \mathbb{R}J$.

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{u}(n)$ be a subalgebra. Recall that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a compact Lie algebra and we have the decomposition $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$, where $\mathfrak{h}'$ is the commutant of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ is the center of $\mathfrak{h}$, see for example [57].

Theorem 2.1.1. 1) *A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, 1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2)$ is weakly-irreducible and not irreducible if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to the subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ or to $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$.*

2) *Let $n \geq 1$. Then a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ is weakly-irreducible and not irreducible if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to one of the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$:*

$$\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}^1} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \{(0, -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } C, B, C, 0, 0, 0) | \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}\}) \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^2 + \mathcal{C}),$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus (J_m - \frac{m}{n+2} J_n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)$ is a subalgebra;

$$\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi} = \{(\varphi(B, C), -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } C, B, C, 0, 0, 0) | \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^2 + \mathcal{C}),$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus (J_m - \frac{m}{n+2} J_n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)$ is a subalgebra and $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear map with $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$;

$$\mathfrak{g}^{n, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l} = \{(0, -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } C, B, C, \psi_1(B, C), \psi_2(B, C) + \psi_3(B, C), 0) | \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}\} \\ \ltimes (\mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, k}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, k}^2 + \mathcal{N}_{l+1, \dots, n}^1 + \mathcal{C}),$$

where k and l are integers such that $0 < k \leq l \leq n$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(J_k - \frac{k}{n+2} J_n)$ is a subalgebra with $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n + l - 2k$, $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1 + E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2 + E_{l+1, \dots, n}^1$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$, $\psi_1 = \text{pr}_{E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1} \circ \psi$, $\psi_2 = \text{pr}_{E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2} \circ \psi$ and $\psi_3 = \text{pr}_{E_{l+1, \dots, n}^1} \circ \psi$;

$$\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l, r} = \{(0, -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } C, B, C, \psi_1(B, C) + \psi_4(B, C), \psi_2(B, C) + \psi_3(B, C), 0) | \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}\} \\ \ltimes (\mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, k}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, k}^2 + \mathcal{N}_{l+1, \dots, m}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{m+1, \dots, r}^1 + \mathcal{C}),$$

where k, l, r and m are integers such that $0 < k \leq l \leq m \leq r \leq n$ and $m < n$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(J_k - \frac{k}{n+2} J_n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, r)$ is a subalgebra with $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n + m + l - 2k - r$, $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1 + E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2 + E_{l+1, \dots, m}^1 + E_{r+1, \dots, n}^1$ is a surjective

linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$, $\psi_1 = \text{pr}_{E_{k+1,\dots,l}^1} \circ \psi$, $\psi_2 = \text{pr}_{E_{k+1,\dots,l}^2} \circ \psi$, $\psi_3 = \text{pr}_{E_{l+1,\dots,m}^2} \circ \psi$ and $\psi_4 = \text{pr}_{E_{r+1,\dots,n}^1} \circ \psi$;

$\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\psi,k} = \{(0, 0, B, 0, \psi(B), 0, 0) | \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes (\mathcal{N}_{1,\dots,k}^1 + \mathcal{C})$,
where $0 < k < n$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, k)$ is a subalgebra such that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n - k$,
 $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow E_{k+1,\dots,n}^1$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$;

$\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\zeta} = \{(0, 0, B, 0, 0, 0, \zeta(B)) | \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}\} \ltimes \mathcal{N}^1$,
where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, n)$ is a subalgebra with $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \neq \{0\}$ and $\zeta : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero linear map with $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} \neq 0$;

$\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\psi,k,\zeta} = \{(0, 0, B, 0, \psi(B), 0, \zeta(B)) | \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}, \}$ $\ltimes \mathcal{N}_{1,\dots,k}^1$,
where $1 \leq k < n$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, k)$ is a subalgebra with $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq n - k$, $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow E_{k+1,\dots,n}^1$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ and $\zeta : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero linear map with $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$.

Remark. In [41] A. Ikemakhen classified weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{so}(2, N + 2)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ that contain the ideal $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. the last two types of Lie algebras from the above theorem were not considered in [41].

2.2 Transitive similarity transformation groups of Euclidian spaces

Let (E, η) be an Euclidean space, $\|x\|^2 = \eta(x, x)$. A map $f : E \rightarrow E$ is called a *similarity transformation* of E if there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that $\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| = \lambda\|x_1 - x_2\|$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in E$. If $\lambda = 1$, then f is called an *isometry*. Denote by $\text{Sim } E$ and $\text{Isom } E$ the groups of all similarity transformations and isometries of E , respectively. A subgroup $G \subset \text{Sim } E$ such that $G \not\subset \text{Isom } E$ is called *essential*. A subgroup $G \subset \text{Sim } E$ is called *irreducible* if it does not preserve any proper affine subspace of E .

The following theorem is due to D.V. Alekseevsky (see [2] or [3]).

Theorem 2.2.1. *A subgroup $G \subset \text{Sim } E$ acts irreducibly on E if and only if it acts transitively.*

We denote by E the group of all translations in E and by $A^1 = \mathbb{R}^+$ the identity component of the group of all dilations of E about the origin. For the connected identity component

of the Lie group $\text{Isom } E$ we have the decomposition

$$\text{Isom}^0 E = SO(E) \ltimes E,$$

where E is a normal subgroup of $\text{Isom}^0 E$. For $\text{Sim}^0 E$ we obtain

$$\text{Sim}^0 E = A^1 \ltimes \text{Isom}^0 E = (A^1 \times SO(E)) \ltimes E,$$

where E is a normal subgroup of $\text{Sim}^0 E$ and A^1 commutes with $SO(E)$.

In [33] we deduced from results of [2] and [3] the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2.2. *Let $G \subset \text{Sim } E$ be a transitively acting connected subgroup. Then G belongs to one of the following types*

type 1. $G = (A \times H) \ltimes E$, where $H \subset SO(E)$ is a connected Lie subgroup;

type 2. $G = H \ltimes E$;

type 3. $G = (A^\Phi \times H) \ltimes E$, where $\Phi : A \rightarrow SO(E)$ is a non-trivial homomorphism and

$$A^\Phi = \{a \cdot \Phi(a) \mid a \in A\} \subset A \times SO(E)$$

is a group of screw dilations of E that commutes with H ;

type 4. $G = (H \times U^\Psi) \ltimes W$, where $H \subset SO(W)$ is a connected Lie subgroup, $E = W \oplus U$ is an orthogonal decomposition, $\Psi : U \rightarrow SO(W)$ is a homomorphism with $\ker d\Psi = \{0\}$, and

$$U^\Psi = \{\Psi(u) \cdot u \mid u \in U\} \subset SO(W) \times U$$

is a group of screw isometries of E that commutes with H .

To the above decomposition of the Lie group $\text{Sim } E$ corresponds the following decomposition of its Lie algebra $\text{LA}(\text{Sim } E)$:

$$\text{LA}(\text{Sim } E) = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{so}(E)) \ltimes E,$$

where $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathbb{R}$ is the Lie algebra of the Lie group A^1 and E is the Lie algebra of the Lie group E . We see that $\mathcal{A}^1$ commutes with $\mathfrak{so}(E)$, and E is a commutative ideal in $\text{LA}(\text{Sim } E)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Lie algebra. We denote by $\mathcal{B}'$ the commutant of $\mathcal{B}$ and by $\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})$ the center of $\mathcal{B}$. If $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is a compact Lie algebra and we have $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})$.

The Lie algebras of the Lie groups from the above theorem have the following forms (see [33]):

type 1. $\mathfrak{g}^{1,\mathcal{B}} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes E$, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}(E)$ is a subalgebra;

type 2. $\mathfrak{g}^{2,\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B} \ltimes E$;

type 3. $\mathfrak{g}^{3,\mathcal{B},\varphi} = (\mathcal{B}' \oplus \{(\varphi(x) + x) | x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})\}) \ltimes E$, where $\varphi : \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1$ is a non-zero linear map;

type 4. $\mathfrak{g}^{4,k,\mathcal{B},\psi} = (\mathcal{B}' \oplus \{(\psi(x) + x) | x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})\}) \ltimes W$, where we have a non-trivial orthogonal decomposition $E = W \oplus U$ such that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}(W)$, and $\psi : \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow U$ is a surjective linear map; $k = \dim W$.

It is convenient for us to extend the maps φ and ψ to $\mathcal{B}$ and to unify the Lie algebras of type 2 and 3 assuming that $\varphi = 0$ for the Lie algebras of type 2. We obtain the following

Theorem 2.2.3. *Let $G \subset \text{Sim } E$ be a transitively acting connected subgroup. Then the Lie algebra of G belongs to one of the following types*

type $\mathcal{A}^1$. $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}^1} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes E$, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}(E)$ is a subalgebra;

type φ . $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B},\varphi} = \{\varphi(x) + x | x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes E$, where $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1$ is a linear map with $\varphi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$;

type ψ . $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B},\psi,k} = \{\psi(x) + x | x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes W$, where we have a non-trivial orthogonal decomposition $E = W \oplus U$ such that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}(W)$, and $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow U$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$; $k = \dim W$.

For each Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ from Theorem 2.2.3 we call $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}(E)$ the orthogonal part of $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.3 Similarity transformations of the Heisenberg spaces

In this section we explain notation from [37], which we will use later.

Let $\tilde{E}$ be a complex vector space of dimension n endowed with a Hermitian metric g .

By definition, the Heisenberg space associated to n is the direct sum $\mathcal{H}_n = \tilde{E} \oplus \mathbb{R}$. The line $\mathbb{R}$ is called the *vertical axis*.

We consider $\mathcal{H}_n$ also as a group with respect to the operation

$$(z, u) \cdot (w, v) = (z + w, u + v + 2 \text{Im } g(z, w)),$$

where $z, w \in \tilde{E}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. The group $\mathcal{H}_n$ is nilpotent and $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ is a normal subgroup.

We consider the action of the group $\mathcal{H}_n$ on itself by left translations. These transformations are called *the Heisenberg translations*.

The unitary group $U(\tilde{E})$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_n$ by

$$A : (z, u) \mapsto (Az, u),$$

where $A \in U(\tilde{E})$. These transformations are called *the Heisenberg rotations about the vertical axis*.

The group $\mathbb{C}^*$ of non-zero complex numbers acts on $\mathcal{H}_n$ by

$$\lambda : (z, u) \mapsto (\lambda z, |\lambda|^2 u),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. These transformations are called *the complex Heisenberg dilations about the origin*.

The intersection of the group of the Heisenberg rotations about the vertical axis and the group of the complex Heisenberg dilations about the origin is the group of scalar multiplications by unit complex numbers $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}^* \cap U(\tilde{E})$. The groups $\mathbb{C}^*$ and $U(\tilde{E})$ generate the group $\mathbb{R}^+ \times U(\tilde{E})$, where $\mathbb{R}^+$ is the group of *the real Heisenberg dilations about the origin*, i.e. with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

All the above transformations generate *the Heisenberg similarity transformation group*

$$\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n = (\mathbb{R}^+ \times U(\tilde{E})) \ltimes \mathcal{H}_n,$$

where the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_n \subset \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n$ is normal.

By definition, *the similarity transformation group of the Hermitian space $\tilde{E}$* is

$$\text{Sim } \tilde{E} = (\mathbb{R}^+ \times U(\tilde{E})) \ltimes \tilde{E},$$

where $\tilde{E} \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ is a normal subgroup that consists of translations in $\tilde{E}$.

We have the natural projection

$$\pi : \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \text{Sim } \tilde{E}.$$

The kernel of π is 1-dimensional and consists of the Heisenberg translations $(z, u) \mapsto (z, u + c)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

To the above decomposition of the Lie group $\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n$ corresponds the following decomposition of its Lie algebra

$$\text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n) = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)) \ltimes \text{LA}(\mathcal{H}_n),$$

where $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathbb{R}$ is the Lie algebra of the Lie group of the real Heisenberg dilations about the origin.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the Lie algebra of the group of the Heisenberg translations $(0, u) : (w, v) \mapsto (w, u + v)$. Let $\tilde{E} \subset \text{LA}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ be the tangent space to the submanifold $\tilde{E} \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ at the unit. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is an ideal in $\text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ and $\tilde{E}$ is a vector subspace of $\text{LA}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ with $[\tilde{E}, \tilde{E}] = \mathcal{C}$. We have $\text{LA}(\mathcal{H}_n) = \tilde{E} + \mathcal{C}$. Thus,

$$\text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n) = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)) \ltimes (\tilde{E} + \mathcal{C}).$$

For the Lie algebra $\text{LA}(\text{Sim } \tilde{E})$ of the Lie group $\text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ we obtain the decomposition

$$\text{LA}(\text{Sim } E) = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)) \ltimes \tilde{E},$$

where $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathbb{R}$ is the Lie algebra of the group of real dilations about the origin, $\tilde{E}$ is the Lie algebra of the Lie group $\tilde{E}$. We see that $\mathcal{A}^1$ commutes with $\mathfrak{u}(n)$, and $\tilde{E}$ is an ideal in $\text{LA}(\text{Sim } E)$.

We denote the differential of the projection $\pi : \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ also by π . Obviously, the linear map

$$\pi : \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n) \rightarrow \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \tilde{E})$$

is surjective with the 1-dimensional kernel $\mathcal{C}$.

2.4 The groups $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ and $U(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$, their Lie algebras and examples

Let S be a complex vector space. Denote by $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ the real vector space underlying S and by J the complex structure on $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. The correspondence $S \mapsto (S_{\mathbb{R}}, J)$ gives an isomorphism of categories of complex vector spaces and real vector spaces with complex structures. For a real vector space S with a complex structure J we denote by $\tilde{S}$ the complex vector space given by (S, J) .

Let S be a complex vector space. A subspace $S_1 \subset S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *complex* if $JS_1 = S_1$, where J is the complex structure on $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. A subspace $S_1 \subset S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *a real form* of S if $JS_1 \cap S_1 = \{0\}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S$.

Suppose that S is endowed with a pseudo-Hermitian metric g . For $x, y \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$ let $\eta(x, y) = \text{Re } g(x, y)$. Then η is a metric on $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and we have

$\eta(Jx, Jy) = \eta(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in S_{\mathbb{R}}$, i.e. η is J -invariant. Conversely, for a given real vector space S with a complex structure J and an J -invariant non-degenerate metric η , let $g(z, w) = \eta(z, w) + i\eta(z, Jw)$ for all $z, w \in \tilde{S}$. Then g is a pseudo-Hermitian metric on $\tilde{S}$. This gives us an isomorphism of categories of pseudo-Hermitian spaces and real vector spaces endowed with complex structures and invariant non-degenerate metrics.

A vector subspace $L \subset S$ (resp. $\tilde{S}$) is called *degenerate* if the restriction of η (resp. g) to L is degenerate. A vector subspace $L \subset S$ (resp. $\tilde{S}$) is called *isotropic* if the restriction of η (resp. g) to L is zero.

Let S be a complex vector space of dimension $n+2$, where $n \geq 0$, and let g be a pseudo-Hermitian metric on S of signature $(1, n+1)$. By definition, the pseudo-unitary group $U(1, n+1)$ is the real Lie group of g -invariant automorphisms of S , i.e.

$$U(1, n+1) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(S) | g(fz, fw) = g(z, w) \text{ for all } z, w \in S\}.$$

The corresponding Lie algebra consists of g -skew symmetric endomorphisms of S , i.e.

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1) = \{\xi \in \text{End}(S) | g(\xi z, w) + g(z, \xi w) = 0 \text{ for all } z, w \in S\}.$$

Consider the action of the group $U(1, n+1)$ on $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then

$$U(1, n+1) = \{f \in SO(2, 2n+2) | Jf = fJ\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2) | [\xi, J] = 0\}.$$

Here $SO(2, 2n+2)$ is the Lie group of η -orthogonal automorphisms of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ is the corresponding Lie algebra, which consists of η -skew symmetric endomorphisms of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ be a $2n+4$ -dimensional real vector space endowed with a complex structure $J \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ and with a J -invariant metric η of signature $(2, 2n+2)$. Let $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ be the $n+2$ -dimensional complex vector space given by $(\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}, J, \eta)$. Denote by g the pseudo-Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ of signature $(1, n+1)$ corresponding to η .

We say that a subgroup $G \subset U(1, n+1) \subset SO(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ acts *weakly-irreducibly* on $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ if it does not preserve any non-degenerate proper subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$. We say that a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ is *weakly-irreducible* if it does not preserve any non-degenerate proper subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$.

Proposition 2.4.1. *If a subgroup $G \subset U(1, n+1)$ acts weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$, then G acts weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G \subset U(1, n+1)$ acts weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ and G preserves a non-degenerate proper subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$. Hence G preserves the subspace $L_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. We claim that $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ is non-degenerate. Indeed, let $x \in L_{\mathbb{R}} \cap (L_{\mathbb{R}})^{\perp_{\eta}}$. For any $y \in L$ we have $g(x, y) = \eta(x, y) + i\eta(x, Jy) = 0$, since $JL = L$. Hence, $x \in L \cap L^{\perp_g}$ and $x = 0$. Thus the subspace $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ is non-degenerate and we have a contradiction. $\square$

The converse to Proposition 2.4.1 is not true, see Example 2.4.3 below.

For the group $U(1, n+1)$ we have the local decomposition $U(1, n+1) = SU(1, n+1) \cdot \mathbb{T}$, where $SU(1, n+1) = U(1, n+1) \cap SL(2+n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{T}$ is the center of $U(1, n+1)$, which is the 1-dimensional subgroup generated by the complex structure $J \in U(1, n+1)$.

We fix a basis $p_1, e_1, \dots, e_n, q_1$ of $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ such that the Gram matrix of g has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & E_n & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_n is the n -dimensional identity matrix. Let $\tilde{E} \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ be the vector subspace spanned by $e_1, \dots, e_n$. We will consider $\tilde{E}$ as Hermitian space with the metric $g|_{\tilde{E}}$.

Denote by $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ the Lie subgroup of $U(1, n+1)$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ and preserving the complex isotropic line $\mathbb{C}p_1$. Since $J \in U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$, we have the decomposition $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} = SU(1, n+1) \cdot \mathbb{T}$, where $SU(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} = U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} \cap SL(2+n, \mathbb{C})$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ of the Lie group $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ can be identified with the following matrix algebra

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{z}^t & ic \\ 0 & A & z \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in \mathbb{C}, c \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \tilde{E}, A \in \mathfrak{u}(n) \right\}.$$

Here $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ is the unitary Lie algebra of the Hermitian space $\tilde{E}$. We identify the above matrix with the quadruple (a, A, z, c) and define the following vector subspaces of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(a, 0, 0, 0) | a \in \mathbb{C}\}, \quad \mathcal{N} = \{(0, 0, z, 0) | z \in \tilde{E}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C} = \{(0, 0, 0, c) | c \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We consider $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ with the obvious inclusion. Note that $\mathcal{C}$ is a commutative ideal, which commutes with $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ and $\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative subalgebra, which commutes with $\mathfrak{u}(n)$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $z, w \in \tilde{E}$ and $A \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$ we

obtain

$$[(a, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, z, c)] = (0, 0, \bar{a}z, 2 \operatorname{Re} a \cdot c), \quad [(0, A, 0, 0), (0, 0, z, 0)] = (0, 0, Az, 0)$$

and

$$[(0, 0, z, 0), (0, 0, w, 0)] = (0, 0, 0, -2 \operatorname{Im} g(z, w)).$$

We obtain the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} = (\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)) \ltimes (\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{C}).$$

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ of the Lie group $SU(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} &= \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} \cap \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} \mid \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}} \xi = 0\} = \\ &= \{(a, A, z, c) \in \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} \mid a - \bar{a} + \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}} A = 0\} \text{ and } \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} = \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}J. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1) \subset \mathfrak{so}(n+2, \mathbb{C})$ be a weakly-irreducible and not irreducible subalgebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a non-degenerate proper subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the orthogonal complement $L^{\perp_g}$ and the intersection $L \cap L^{\perp_g}$, which is an isotropic complex line. Hence $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to a weakly-irreducible subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$.

Now we consider the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. Let $p_2 = Jp_1$, $f_1 = Je_1, \dots, f_n = Je_n$ and $q_2 = Jq_1$. Consider the basis $p_1, p_2, e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n, q_1, q_2$ of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. With respect to this basis the Gram matrix of the metric η and the complex structure J have the forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & E_{2n} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

We consider the vector space $E = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ as an Euclidian space with the metric $\eta|_E$. Let $E^1 = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $E^2 = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$.

We denote by $U(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ the subgroup of $U(1, n+1)$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ and preserving the isotropic 2-dimensional vector subspace $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. The group $U(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is just $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. Denote by $SU(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ the group $SU(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. We have $U(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = SU(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} \cdot \mathbb{T}$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ of the Lie group $U(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ can be identified with the matrix algebra as in Section 2.1. The correspondence between $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ and $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is given by the identities $a = a_1 + ia_2$, $A = B + iC$ and $z = z_1 + iz_2$.

Now we consider some examples (we use denotation of Section 2.1).

Example 2.4.1. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is weakly-irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a proper vector subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. Let $v = (a_1, a_2, \alpha, \beta, b_1, b_2) \in L$, where we use the decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} = \mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2 \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \oplus \mathbb{R}q_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}q_2.$$

Applying an element $(0, 0, 0, 0, z_1, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{N}^1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ with $z_1^t z_1 = 1$ twice to v , we see that $b_1 p_1 + b_2 p_2 \in L$. Applying the element $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ to v , we get $-b_2 p_1 + b_1 p_2 \in L$. Hence if the projection of L to $\mathbb{R}q_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}q_2$ is non-zero, then L contains $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2$ and the projection of $L^{\perp_n}$ to $\mathbb{R}q_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}q_2$ is zero, moreover, $L^{\perp_n}$ is also preserved. Thus we can assume that the projection of L to $\mathbb{R}q_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}q_2$ is zero. Let $v = (a_1, a_2, \alpha, \beta, 0, 0) \in L$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then applying the element $(0, 0, 0, 0, \alpha, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{N}^1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ to v , we see that $0 \neq \alpha^t \alpha p_1 + \alpha^t \beta p_2 \in L$, hence L is degenerate. The similar statement is for $\beta \neq 0$. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ for all $v \in L$, then L is degenerate. $\square$

Example 2.4.2. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{N}^1 \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is not weakly-irreducible. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{N}^1 \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ is weakly-irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a proper subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$. Let $v = (a_1, \alpha, b_1) \in L$ (here we use the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1} = \mathbb{C}p_1 \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \oplus \mathbb{C}q_1$). Applying an element $(0, 0, z_1, 0) \in \mathcal{N}^1$ with $\bar{z}_1^t z_1 = 1$ twice to v , we see that $b_1 p_1 \in L$. As in Example 2.4.1, we can assume that the projection of $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathbb{C}q_1$ is zero and show that L is degenerate. The vector subspaces $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p_1 + p_2, e_1 + f_1, \dots, e_n + f_n, q_1 + q_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ and $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p_1 - p_2, e_1 - f_1, \dots, e_n - f_n, q_1 - q_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ are non-degenerate and preserved by $\mathfrak{g}$. $\square$

Example 2.4.3. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{N}^1 \oplus \mathbb{R}J \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is weakly-irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a proper vector subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. Let $v = (a_1, a_2, \alpha, \beta, b_1, b_2) \in L$. As in Example 2.4.1, we see that $b_1 p_1 + b_2 p_2 \in L$. Applying the element $J \in \mathfrak{g}$ to $b_1 p_1 + b_2 p_2$, we get $-b_2 p_1 + b_1 p_2 \in L$. The end of the proof is as in Example 2.4.1. $\square$

Let A^1, A^2, N^1, N^2 and C be the connected Lie subgroups of $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ corresponding to the subalgebras $\mathcal{A}^1, \mathcal{A}^2, \mathcal{N}^1, \mathcal{N}^2$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$. These groups can be identified with the following groups of matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
A^1 &= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a_1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| \begin{array}{l} a_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ a_1 > 0 \end{array} \right\}, & A^2 &= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{ia_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{ia_2} \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \\
N^1 &= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z_1^t & -\frac{1}{2}z_1^t z_1 \\ 0 & \text{id} & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| z_1 \in E^1 \right\}, & N^2 &= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_2^t & \frac{1}{2}z_2^t z_2 \\ 0 & \text{id} & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| z_2 \in E^2 \right\}, \\
C &= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & ic \\ 0 & \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the group $U(n)$ as a Lie subgroup of $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ with the inclusion

$$A \in U(n) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}.$$

We have the decomposition $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} = (A^1 \times A^2 \times U(n)) \ltimes (N \cdot C)$.

2.5 Action of the group $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ on the boundary of the complex hyperbolic space

As above, let $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ be a complex vector space of dimension $n+2$ and g be a pseudo-Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ of signature $(1, n+1)$. A complex line $l \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ is called *negative* if $g(z, z) < 0$ for all $z \in l \setminus \{0\}$. The $n+1$ -dimensional *complex hyperbolic* space $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ is the subset of the projective space $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ consisting of all negative lines.

The *boundary* $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ of the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ is the subset of the projective space $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ consisting of all complex isotropic lines.

We identify $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ with a $2n+1$ -dimensional real sphere in the following way. Let $p_1, e_1, \dots, e_n, q_1$ be the basis of $\mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$ as above. We also consider the basis $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}$, where $e_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(p_1 - q_1)$ and $e_{n+1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(p_1 + q_1)$. With respect to this basis the Gram matrix of g has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the vector subspace $\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{n+1} \subset \tilde{E}$. Each isotropic line intersects the affine subspace $e_0 + \tilde{E}_1$ at an unique point and we see that

$$(e_0 + \tilde{E}_1) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{1,n+1} | g(z, z) = 0\} = \\ \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} | x_0 = 1, y_0 = 0, x_1^2 + y_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 + y_{n+1}^2 = 1\}$$

is a $2n+1$ -dimensional unite sphere S^{2n+1} . Here $(x_0 + iy_0, \dots, x_{n+1} + iy_{n+1})$ are the coordinates of a point z with respect to the basis $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}$.

Let $G \subset U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ be a subgroup. We identify $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \setminus \{\mathbb{C}p_1\}$ with a Heisenberg space $\mathcal{H}_n$ and consider an action of G on $\mathcal{H}_n$. For this let $E_2 = \tilde{E} \oplus i\mathbb{R}e_{n+1}$ and $p_0 = \mathbb{C}p_1 \cap (e_0 + \tilde{E}_1) = \sqrt{2}p_1$. Denote by s_0 the stereographic projection $s_0 : S^{2n+1} \setminus \{p_0\} \rightarrow E_2$ (here we identify E_2 and $e_0 + E_2$). Note that E_2 is just a Heisenberg space $\mathcal{H}_n$ with the vertical axis $i\mathbb{R}e_{n+1}$. Any $f \in U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ takes complex isotropic lines to complex isotropic lines, hence it acts on $S^{2n+1} \setminus \{p_0\} = \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \setminus \{\mathbb{C}p_1\}$, and we get the transformation $\Gamma(f) = s_0 \circ f \circ s_0^{-1}$ of $E_2 = \mathcal{H}_n$. Moreover, we will see that this transformation is a Heisenberg similarity transformation.

Now we consider the basis $p_1, e_1, \dots, e_n, q_1$ and the matrices of elements of $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ with respect to this basis. Computations show that

$$\text{for } a_1 \in \mathbb{R}, a_1 > 0, \text{ the element } \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a_1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ corresponds to the real Heisenberg dilation} \\ (z, iu) \mapsto (a_1 z, a_1^2 iu),$$

where $z \in \tilde{E}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$;

$$\text{for } a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ the element } \begin{pmatrix} e^{ia_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{ia_2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ corresponds to the complex Heisenberg dilation} \\ (z, iu) \mapsto (e^{-ia_2} z, iu);$$

$$\text{for } A \in U(n) \text{ the element } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ corresponds the Heisenberg rotation} \\ (z, iu) \mapsto (Az, iu);$$

for $z_1 \in E^1$, $z_2 \in E^2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z_1^t & -\frac{1}{2}z_1^t z_1 \\ 0 & \text{id} & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_2^t & \frac{1}{2}z_2^t z_2 \\ 0 & \text{id} & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & ic \\ 0 & \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

correspond to the Heisenberg translations

$$(z, iu) \mapsto (z + z_1, iu + i2 \operatorname{Im} g(z, z_1)), \quad (z, iu) \mapsto (z + z_2, iu + i2 \operatorname{Im} g(z, z_2))$$

and $(z, iu) \mapsto (z, iu + ic)$, respectively.

Thus we have a surjective Lie group homomorphism

$$\Gamma : U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} \rightarrow \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n.$$

The kernel of Γ is the center $\mathbb{T}$ of $U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$, hence the restriction

$$\Gamma|_{SU(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}} : SU(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1} \rightarrow \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n$$

is a Lie group isomorphism.

We say that an affine subspace $L \subset E$ is *complex* if the corresponding vector subspace is complex, otherwise we say that L is *non-complex*.

Let $\pi : \text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ be the obvious projection. The homomorphism π is surjective and its kernel is 1-dimensional and consists of the Heisenberg translations $(z, iu) \mapsto (z, iu + ic)$.

Theorem 2.5.1. *Let $G \subset U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$ be a weakly-irreducible subgroup. Then*

- (1) *The subgroup $\pi(\Gamma(G)) \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ does not preserve any proper complex affine subspace of E .*
- (2) *If $\pi(\Gamma(G)) \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ preserves a proper non-complex affine subspace $L \subset E$, then the minimal complex affine subspace of $\tilde{E}$ containing L is $\tilde{E}$.*

Proof. (1) First we prove that $\pi(\Gamma(G)) \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ does not preserve any proper complex vector subspace of E . Suppose that $\pi(\Gamma(G))$ preserves a proper complex vector subspace $L \subset \tilde{E}$. Then we have $\pi(\Gamma(G)) \subset (\mathbb{R}^+ \times U(L) \times U(L^{\perp_g})) \ltimes U$, where $L^{\perp_g}$ is the orthogonal complement to L in $\tilde{E}$. We see that the group G preserves the proper non-degenerate vector subspace $L^{\perp_g} \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$.

Suppose that $\pi(\Gamma(G)) \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ preserves a proper complex affine subspace $L \subset E$. Let $w \in L$ and let $L_0 = -w + L$ be the corresponding to L complex vector subspace of $\tilde{E}$.

Let $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{w}^t & -\frac{1}{2}\bar{w}^t w \\ 0 & \text{id} & w \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$. Consider the subgroup $G_1 = f^{-1}Gf \subset$

$U(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{C}p_1}$. We have $\pi(\Gamma(G_1)) = -w \cdot \pi(\Gamma(G)) \cdot w$ and $\pi(\Gamma(G_1))$ preserves the complex vector subspace $L_0 \subset \tilde{E}$. Hence G_1 preserves the complex vector subspace $L_0^{\perp_g} \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$, which is non-degenerate. Thus G preserves the proper complex subspace $f(L_0^{\perp_g}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$, which is also non-degenerate. This gives us a contradiction.

(2) Suppose that $\pi(\Gamma(G)) \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ preserves a non-complex affine subspace $L \subset E$. By the same argument, G preserves the proper complex subspace $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} L_0)^{\perp_g} \subset \mathbb{C}^{1, n+1}$, which is non-degenerate. Hence, $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} L_0 = \tilde{E}$. This proves the theorem. $\square$

2.6 Proof of Theorem 2.1.1

1) First consider the case $n = 0$. There are four subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = \mathcal{A}^1 \ltimes \mathcal{C}$:

$$\mathcal{A}^1 \ltimes \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{A}^1, \quad \{(c\gamma, c) \in \mathcal{A}^1 \ltimes \mathcal{C} \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\}, \text{ where } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \neq 0.$$

The last subalgebra preserves the non-degenerate proper subspace $\text{span}\{p_1 + p_2 + \gamma q_1 + \gamma q_2, 2p_2 - \gamma q_1 - \gamma q_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. The subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^1 \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ preserves the non-degenerate proper subspace $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}q_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$.

We claim that the subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is weakly-irreducible. Indeed, suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ preserves a proper subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. We may assume that $\dim L = 1$ or $\dim L = 2$ (if $\dim L = 3$, then we consider $L^\perp$). Let $\alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_2 + \beta_1 q_1 + \beta_2 q_2 \in L$ be a non-zero vector. Applying the element $(0, 1) \in \mathcal{C}$, we get $\beta_1 p_2 - \beta_2 p_1 \in L$. If $\dim L = 1$, then $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ and $L = \mathbb{R}(\alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_2)$. If $\dim L = 2$, then $L = \text{span}\{\alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_2 + \beta_1 q_1 + \beta_2 q_2, \beta_1 p_2 - \beta_2 p_1\}$. In both cases L is degenerate.

Thus the subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is weakly-irreducible. Hence the subalgebra $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = \mathcal{A}^1 \ltimes \mathcal{C}$ is also weakly-irreducible. Part 1) of Theorem 2.1.1 is proved.

2) Suppose that $n \geq 1$. Theorem 2.5.1 shows us that we must find all connected Lie subgroups $F \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ that satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 2.5.1. Then for each subgroup F find all connected subgroups $G \subset SU(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ with $\pi(\Gamma(G)) = F$ and check which of these groups act weakly-irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. Since all groups are connected, we may do some steps in terms of their Lie algebras.

Step 1. First we describe non-complex vector subspaces $L \subset E$ with $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} L = \tilde{E}$. Let $L \subset E$ be such a subspace and $L_0 = L \cap JL$. Let L_1 be the orthogonal complement to L_0 in L . Obviously, L_0 is a complex subspace and $L_1 \cap JL_1 = \{0\}$. Since $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} L = \tilde{E}$, we see that $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} L_1$ is the orthogonal complement to L_0 in $\tilde{E}$. Thus L_1 is a real form of the complex vector space $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} L_1$. We choose the above basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$ in such a way that $L_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ and $L_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\}$, where $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} L - n$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$. We have three cases:

Case $m = n$. $L = \tilde{E}$ is the whole space;

Case $m = 0$. $L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a real form of $\tilde{E}$;

Case $0 < m < n$. $L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_m\} \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\}$.

Step 2. Now we describe Lie algebras $\mathfrak{f}$ of connected Lie subgroups $F \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ preserving L . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the Lie group F does not preserve any

proper affine subspace of L , i.e. F acts irreducibly on L , hence F acts transitively on L (Theorem 2.2.1). And we can describe all such groups and their Lie algebras.

Case $m = n$. The Lie algebras corresponding to the transitive similarity transformation groups of type $\mathcal{A}^1$ and φ are

$$\mathfrak{f}^{n, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}^1} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes E, \text{ where } \mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{u}(n) \text{ is a subalgebra;}$$

$$\mathfrak{f}^{n, \mathcal{B}, \varphi} = \{x + \varphi(x) | x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes E, \text{ where } \varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1 \text{ is a linear map with } \varphi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0.$$

Now consider the Lie algebras of type ψ . Here we have an orthogonal decomposition $E = W \oplus U$. Let $W_1 = W \cap JW$, $U_1 = U \cap JU$ and let W_2 and U_2 be the orthogonal complements to W_1 and U_1 in W and U , respectively. Obviously, W_1 and U_1 are complex subspaces of E , and W_2 and U_2 are mutually orthogonal real forms of the complex vector space $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} W_2 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} U_2$. We obtain the orthogonal decomposition of E : $E = W_1 \oplus U_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus U_2$. Let $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_1$ and $l = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (W_1 \oplus U_1)$. We choose the basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$ in such a way that

$$W_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_k, f_1, \dots, f_k\}, U_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{k+1}, \dots, e_l, f_{k+1}, \dots, f_l\} \text{ and}$$

$$W_2 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{l+1}, \dots, e_n\}. \text{ Then } U_2 = JW_2 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_{l+1}, \dots, f_n\}.$$

The orthogonal part of a Lie algebra of type ψ is contained in $\mathfrak{so}(W) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n)$. Suppose

$A = \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(W) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n)$, then with respect to the decomposition

$$E = E_{1, \dots, k}^1 \oplus E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1 \oplus E_{l+1, \dots, n}^1 \oplus E_{1, \dots, k}^2 \oplus E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2 \oplus E_{l+1, \dots, n}^2$$

the matrix A has the form
$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & 0 & -C_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_1 & 0 & 0 & B_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Consequently, } \mathfrak{so}(W) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n) =$$

$$\mathfrak{u}(W_1) = \mathfrak{u}(k).$$

Thus the Lie algebras corresponding to transitively acting groups of type ψ have the form

$$\mathfrak{f}^{n, \mathcal{B}, \psi, k, l} = \{x + \psi(x) | x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes (E_{1, \dots, k}^1 + E_{1, \dots, k}^2 + E_{l+1, \dots, n}^1),$$

where $0 \leq k \leq l \leq n$, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{u}(k)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1 + E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2 + E_{l+1, \dots, n}^1$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$.

Case $m = 0$. We have $L = L_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} = E^1$. If a subgroup $F \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ preserves L , then F is contained in $(A^1 \times SO(L) \times SO(L^{\perp})) \ltimes L$. If F acts transitively on L , then we can describe the projection of F to $(A^1 \times SO(L)) \ltimes L$, but the projection of

F to $SO(L) \times SO(L^{\perp_\eta})$ is also contained in $U(n)$. We have

$$(\mathfrak{so}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L^{\perp_\eta})) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid B \in \mathfrak{so}(L) \right\} = \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, n).$$

Hence, $(SO(L) \times SO(L^{\perp_\eta})) \cap U(n) = SOD(1, \dots, n)$, where $SOD(1, \dots, n)$ is the connected Lie subgroup of $U(n)$ corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, n)$. Thus the projection of F to $(A^1 \times SO(L)) \ltimes L$ gives us the full information about F .

The Lie algebras corresponding to the transitive similarity transformation groups of type $\mathcal{A}^1$ and φ have the form

$$\mathfrak{f}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}^1} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes E^1, \text{ where } \mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, n) \text{ is a subalgebra;}$$

$$\mathfrak{f}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \varphi} = \{x + \varphi(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes E^1, \text{ where } \varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1 \text{ is a linear map with } \varphi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0.$$

For a Lie algebra of type ψ we have an orthogonal decomposition $L = W \oplus U$. We choose the vectors $e_1, \dots, e_n$ in such a way that $W = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ and $U = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n\}$, where $k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W$.

The Lie algebras corresponding to transitively acting groups of type ψ have the form

$$\mathfrak{f}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \psi, k} = \{x + \psi(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes E_{1, \dots, k}^1,$$

where $0 < k < n$, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, k)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow E_{k+1, \dots, n}^1$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$.

Case $0 < m < n$. In this case $L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\}$. Hence, $L^{\perp_\eta} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_{m+1}, \dots, f_n\}$. Suppose that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathfrak{so}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L^{\perp_\eta})) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n),$$

then with respect to the decomposition

$$E = E_{1, \dots, m}^1 \oplus E_{m+1, \dots, n}^1 \oplus E_{1, \dots, m}^2 \oplus E_{m+1, \dots, n}^2$$

the element A has the form $\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & -C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 & 0 & 0 \\ C_1 & 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Consequently, $(\mathfrak{so}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L^{\perp_\eta})) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n) = \mathfrak{u}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)$.

Thus, as in case $m=0$, the projection of an L -preserving subgroup $F \subset \text{Sim } \tilde{E}$ to $\text{Sim } L$ gives us the full information about F .

The Lie algebras corresponding to the transitive similarity transformation groups of type $\mathcal{A}^1$ and φ have the form

$\mathfrak{f}^{m, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}^1} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes (E_{1, \dots, m}^1 + E_{1, \dots, m}^2 + E_{m+1, \dots, n}^1)$, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)$ is a subalgebra;

$\mathfrak{f}^{m, \mathcal{B}, \varphi} = \{x + \varphi(x) | x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes (E_{1, \dots, m}^1 + E_{1, \dots, m}^2 + E_{m+1, \dots, n}^1)$,
where $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1$ is a linear map with $\varphi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$.

Now consider the Lie algebras of type ψ . We have an orthogonal decomposition $L = W \oplus U$. Let $W_1 = W \cap JW$, $U_1 = U \cap JU$, and let W_2 and U_2 be the orthogonal complements to W_1 and U_1 in W and U , respectively. We see that W_1 and U_1 are complex subspaces of $L_0 = L \cap JL = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$. For W_2 and U_2 we have $W_2 \cap JW_2 = \{0\}$ and $U_2 \cap JU_2 = \{0\}$. Denote by L_1 the orthogonal complement to L_0 in L and by L_2 the orthogonal complement to $W_1 \oplus U_1$ in L_0 . We get the following orthogonal decompositions: $L = L_0 \oplus L_1 = W_1 \oplus U_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus U_2$, $L_0 = W_1 \oplus U_1 \oplus L_2$ and $L_1 \oplus L_2 = W_2 \oplus U_2$. Let $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_1$, $l = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_1 \oplus U_1)$ and $r = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W_2 + l$. We see that $0 \leq k \leq l \leq m \leq r \leq n$. We choose the basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$ in such a way that

$$W_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}, U_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_{k+1}, \dots, e_l\},$$

$$W_2 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{l+1}, \dots, e_m\} \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_r\}.$$

Then

$$U_2 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_{l+1}, \dots, f_m\} \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n\}.$$

Suppose that $A = \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathfrak{so}(W) \times \mathfrak{so}(L^{\perp n})) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n)$, then with respect to the decomposition

$$E = E_{1, \dots, k}^1 \oplus E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1 \oplus E_{l+1, \dots, m}^1 \oplus E_{m+1, \dots, r}^1 \oplus E_{r+1, \dots, n}^1 \oplus E_{1, \dots, k}^2 \oplus E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2 \oplus E_{l+1, \dots, m}^2 \oplus E_{m+1, \dots, r}^2 \oplus E_{r+1, \dots, n}^2$$

the matrix A has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -C_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $(\mathfrak{so}(W) \times \mathfrak{so}(L^{\perp n})) \cap \mathfrak{u}(n) = \mathfrak{u}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, r)$.

Thus the Lie algebras corresponding to transitively acting groups of type ψ have the form

$$\mathfrak{f}^{m, \mathcal{B}, \psi, k, l, r} = \{x + \psi(x) | x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})\} \ltimes (E_{1, \dots, k}^1 + E_{1, \dots, k}^2 + E_{l+1, \dots, m}^1 + E_{m+1, \dots, r}^1),$$

where $0 < k \leq l \leq m \leq r \leq n$, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{u}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, r)$ and

$\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1 + E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2 + E_{l+1, \dots, m}^2 + E_{r+1, \dots, n}^1$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$.

Step 3. Here for each subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \tilde{E})$ considered above we describe subalgebras $\mathfrak{a}$ of the Lie algebra $\text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ with $\pi(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{f}$.

Case $m > 0$. Let $\mathfrak{f} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \tilde{E})$ be a subalgebra as above with $m > 0$. We claim that if for a subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ we have $\pi(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{f}$, then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{f} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C} = \ker \pi$. Indeed, the projection $\pi : \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n) \rightarrow \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \tilde{E})$ is surjective with the kernel $\mathcal{C}$, consequently, if $\pi(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ does not contain $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathfrak{a}$ has the form $\{x + \zeta(x) | x \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ for some linear map $\zeta : \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{f} \neq \mathfrak{f}^{m,4,*}$, then we choose $z_1, z_2 \in \mathfrak{f} \cap E$ with $\text{Im } g(z_1, z_2) \neq 0$. This yields that $[z_1 + \zeta(z_1), z_2 + \zeta(z_2)] = -2 \text{Im } g(z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{C}$. If $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}^{m,4,*}$, then we can choose $z \in \mathfrak{f} \cap E$ and $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})$ with $\text{Im } g(z, \psi(x)) \neq 0$, or $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})$ with $\text{Im } g(\psi(x_1), \psi(x_2)) \neq 0$. For each subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \tilde{E})$ considered above with $m > 0$ we define the Lie subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{a}^{m,*} = \mathfrak{f}^{m,*} \ltimes \mathcal{C} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n).$$

Case $m=0$. For each subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \tilde{E})$ considered above with $m = 0$ we have the following two possibilities:

Subcase 1. Here we have $\mathfrak{a}^{0,*} = \mathfrak{f}^{0,*} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$.

Subcase 2. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ does not contain $\mathcal{C}$. Hence $\mathfrak{a}$ has the form $\{x + \zeta(x) | x \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ for some linear map $\zeta : \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. For each $\mathfrak{f}^{0,*}$ we will find all possible ζ . Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a Lie algebra, we see that ζ vanishes on the commutator $\mathfrak{f}'$.

Subcase 2.1. Consider $\mathfrak{f}^{0,\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}^1} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes E^1$, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, n)$ is a subalgebra. Since $(\mathfrak{f}^{0,1,\mathcal{B}})' = E^1 + \mathcal{B}'$, we obtain $\zeta|_{E^1 + \mathcal{B}'} = 0$. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}^1$ and $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})$. From $[a + \zeta(a), x + \zeta(x)] = a\zeta(x) \in \mathcal{C}$, it follows that $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})} = 0$. Thus ζ can be considered as a linear map $\zeta : \mathcal{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. For any linear map $\zeta : \mathcal{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ we consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a}^{0,\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A}^1,\zeta} = (\mathcal{B} \oplus \{a + \zeta(a) | a \in \mathcal{A}^1\}) \ltimes E^1.$$

Subcase 2.2. Consider $\mathfrak{f}^{0,\mathcal{B},\varphi}$ with $\varphi = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{f}^{0,\mathcal{B},0} = \mathcal{B} \ltimes E^1$, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, n)$ is a subalgebra. We have $(\mathfrak{f}^{0,2,\mathcal{B}})' = \mathcal{B}' + \text{span}\{x(u) | x \in \mathcal{B}, u \in E^1\}$. Choose the vectors $e_1, \dots, e_n$ so that $E_{i_0+1, \dots, n}^1$ is the subspace of E^1 annihilated by $\mathcal{B}$ and $E_{1, \dots, i_0}^1$ is the orthogonal complement to $E_{i_0+1, \dots, n}^1$ in E^1 . The Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is compact, hence $\mathcal{B}$ is totally reducible and $E_{1, \dots, i_0}^1$ is decomposed into an orthogonal sum of subspaces, on each of these subspaces $\mathcal{B}$ acts irreducibly. Thus, $\text{span}\{x(u) | x \in \mathcal{B}, u \in E^1\} = E_{1, \dots, i_0}^1$, and ζ can be con-

sidered as a linear map $\zeta : \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B}) \oplus E_{i_0+1, \dots, n}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. For any linear map $\zeta : \mathcal{B} \oplus E_{i_0+1, \dots, n}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with $\zeta|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$ we define the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \varphi=0, i_0, \zeta} = \{x + \zeta(x) | x \in \mathcal{B} \oplus E_{i_0+1, \dots, n}^1\} \ltimes E_{1, \dots, i_0}^1.$$

Subcase 2.3. Consider $\mathfrak{f}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \varphi} = \{x + \varphi(x) | x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes E^1$, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, n)$ and $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1$ is a non-zero linear map with $\varphi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$. As above, we can show that $(\mathfrak{f}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \varphi})' = E^1 + \mathcal{B}'$. Hence ζ is a map $\zeta : \{x + \varphi(x) | x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Denote by the same letter ζ the linear map $\zeta : \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by $\zeta(x) = \zeta(x + \varphi(x))$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})$ we have $[x_1 + \varphi(x_1) + \zeta(x_1), x_2 + \varphi(x_2) + \zeta(x_2)] = \varphi(x_1)\zeta(x_2) - \varphi(x_2)\zeta(x_1) \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence, $\varphi(x_1)\zeta(x_2) = \varphi(x_2)\zeta(x_1)$. In particular, if $\varphi(x) = 0$, then $\zeta(x) = 0$. Hence, $\ker \varphi \subset \ker \zeta$. Conversely, if $\ker \varphi \subset \ker \zeta$, then $\varphi(x_1)\zeta(x_2) = \varphi(x_2)\zeta(x_1)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{B})$.

Let i_0 be as in Subcase 2.2. For any linear map $\zeta : \mathcal{B} \oplus E_{i_0+1, \dots, n}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\ker \varphi \subset \ker \zeta$ and $\zeta|_{E_{i_0+1, \dots, n}^1} = 0$ we consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \varphi, i_0, \zeta} = \{x + \varphi(x) + \zeta(x) | x \in \mathcal{B} \oplus E_{i_0+1, \dots, n}^1\} \ltimes E_{1, \dots, i_0}^1.$$

Note that the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{a}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \varphi, i_0, \zeta}$ for $\varphi = 0$ and $\varphi \neq 0$ are defined in the same way.

Subcase 2.4. As above, for the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{f}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \psi, k} = \{x + \psi(x) | x \in \mathcal{B}\} \ltimes E_{1, \dots, k}^1,$$

where $0 < k < n$, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, k)$ and $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow E_{k+1, \dots, n}^1$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$, we can prove that the map ζ vanishes on $\mathcal{B}' + E_{1, \dots, i_0}^1$, where i_0 is as in Subcase 2.2. For any linear map $\zeta : \mathcal{B} \oplus E_{i_0+1, \dots, k}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with $\zeta|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 0$ we consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{f}^{0, \mathcal{B}, \psi, k, i_0, \zeta} = \{x + \psi(x) + \zeta(x) | x \in \mathcal{B}\} \oplus \{u + \zeta(u) | u \in E_{i_0+1, \dots, k}^1\} \ltimes E_{1, \dots, i_0}^1.$$

Step 4. For each subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ constructed above consider the subalgebra $\Gamma_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$. Note that we have $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}_{k, \dots, l}^1) = E_{k, \dots, l}^1$, $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}_{k, \dots, l}^2) = E_{k, \dots, l}^2$, $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{A}^1) = \mathcal{A}^1$ and $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$, where we consider $\mathcal{A}^1$ and $\mathcal{C}$ as the subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ as well as of $\text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$. Let $\mathfrak{a}^{m, \mathcal{B}} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ be any subalgebra constructed above with the associated number $0 \leq m \leq n$ and the associated subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n) = \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus \mathbb{R}J_m \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)$. We have $\Gamma|_{\mathfrak{su}(n)} = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{su}(n)}$, where $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ is considered as the subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ and of $\text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$. Furthermore, since $J_m - \frac{m}{n}J_n \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, we have $\Gamma_0^{-1}(J_m) = \Gamma_0^{-1}(J_m - \frac{m}{n}J_n) + \frac{m}{n}\Gamma_0^{-1}(J_n) = J_m - \frac{m}{n}J_n +$

$\frac{m}{n}I_0 = J_m - \frac{m}{n}J_n + \frac{m}{n}(0, -\frac{n}{n+2}, 0, \frac{2}{n+2}E_n, 0, 0, 0) = J_m - \frac{m}{n+2}J$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \Gamma_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \Gamma_0^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus \mathbb{R}(J_m - \frac{m}{n+2}J_n)$. Thus,

$$\Gamma_0^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = \{(0, -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } C, B, C, 0, 0, 0) | \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l, r}$ with $0 < m < n$ we have $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k) \oplus \mathbb{R}J_k \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, r)$, consequently, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(J_k - \frac{k}{n+2}J_n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, r)$. For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}^{n, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l}$ we have $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k) \oplus \mathbb{R}J_k$, hence, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(J_k - \frac{k}{n+2}J_n)$. For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k}$ we have $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, k)$, hence $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, k)$. We denote $\Gamma_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}^{m, \mathcal{B}, *})$ by $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathcal{B}, *}$.

Thus we obtain a list of subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$. From proposition 2.4.1 and Theorem 2.5.1 it follows that this list contains all weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$. Example 2.4.3 shows that this list contains also subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ that are not weakly-irreducible. Here we verify which of the subalgebras $\Gamma_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ are weakly-irreducible.

The subalgebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}^1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi}$, where $0 \leq m \leq n$ contain $\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{C}$, hence these subalgebras are weakly-irreducible.

Lemma 2.6.1. *The subalgebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l, r}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{n, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l}$ are weakly-irreducible.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be any of these subalgebras and suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a proper vector subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. As in Example 2.4.1, we can show that if $(a_1, a_2, \alpha, \beta, b_1, b_2) \in L$, then we have $-b_2p_1 + b_1p_2 \in L$ and $b_1p_1 + b_2p_2 \in L$. We suppose that $L \subset \mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2 \oplus E$. Let $v = (a_1, a_2, \alpha, \beta, 0, 0) \in L$. We assume $\alpha \neq 0$. If the projection of α to W is non-trivial, then we denote this projection by w . Applying the element $(0, 0, 0, 0, w, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$ to v , we obtain $w^t\alpha p_1 + w^t\beta p_2 \in L$. Consequently L is degenerate subspace. Suppose that the projections of α and β to W are trivial and the projection of α to U is non-trivial, then there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\psi(x) = (0, 0, 0, 0, u, 0, 0)$, where $u \in U$ is equal to this projection. The element $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ has the form $x_1 + \mu(J_k - \frac{k}{n+2}J_n)$, where $x_1 \in \mathfrak{su}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, r)$ (resp. $x_1 \in \mathfrak{su}(k)$ and $x_1 \in \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, k)$) for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l, r}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{n, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l}$), $\mu = 0$ or 1 for the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l, r}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{n, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l}$, and $\mu = 0$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k}$. Consider the element $X = (0, -\frac{\mu k}{n+2}, B_1, C_1 + \mu(J_k - \frac{k}{n+2}J_n), u, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & -C_1 \\ C_1 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} = x_1$. Applying the element X to v we get

$$\begin{aligned} Xv &= (\frac{\mu k}{n+2}a_2 + u^t\alpha, -\frac{\mu k}{n+2}a_2 + u^t\beta, \frac{\mu k}{n+2}\beta, -\frac{\mu k}{n+2}\alpha, 0, 0) \in L, & X^2v &= \\ &(\frac{\mu k}{n+2}(-\frac{\mu k}{n+2}a_1 + u^t\beta) + \frac{\mu k}{n+2}u^t\beta, -\frac{\mu k}{n+2}(\frac{\mu k}{n+2}a_2 + u^t\alpha) - \frac{\mu k}{n+2}u^t\alpha, -(\frac{\mu k}{n+2})^2\alpha, -(\frac{\mu k}{n+2})^2\beta, 0, 0) \in \end{aligned}$$

L . Hence if $\mu = 1$, then $v + (\frac{\mu k}{n+2})^2 X^2 v = (2\frac{\mu k}{n+2} u^t \beta, 2\frac{\mu k}{n+2} u^t \alpha, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in L$. If $\mu = 0$, then $Xv = (u^t \alpha, u^t \beta, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in L$.

Thus the subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ is degenerate and the lemma is proved. $\square$

We are left now with the Lie algebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}^1, \zeta}$, $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi, i_0, \zeta}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, i_0, \zeta}$.

Lemma 2.6.2. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}^1, \zeta} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ is not weakly-irreducible.*

Proof. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}^1, \zeta}$ preserves the non-degenerate proper vector subspace $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p_1 + p_2, e_1 + f_1, \dots, e_n + f_n, q_1 - \frac{\zeta}{2}p_2 + q_2 + \frac{\zeta}{2}p_1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.6.3. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi, i_0, \zeta} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ is weakly-irreducible if and only if $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} \neq 0$ and $\varphi = 0$.*

Proof. If $\varphi \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi, i_0, \zeta}$ preserves the non-degenerate proper vector subspace $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p_1 + p_2, e_1 + f_1, \dots, e_n + f_n, q_1 - \frac{\zeta(A)}{2\varphi(A)}p_2 + q_2 + \frac{\zeta(A)}{2\varphi(A)}p_1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$, where $A \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a non-zero element that is orthogonal to $\ker \varphi$.

Now assume that $\varphi = 0$. Suppose that $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} = 0$. If $\zeta = 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi, i_0, \zeta}$ preserves the non-degenerate vector subspaces $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p_1 + p_2, e_1 + f_1, \dots, e_n + f_n, q_1 + q_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ and $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p_1 - p_2, e_1 - f_1, \dots, e_n - f_n, q_1 - q_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. If $\zeta \neq 0$, then we choose the vectors $e_{i_0+1}, \dots, e_n$ so that $\zeta|_{\text{span}\{e_{i_0+1}, \dots, e_{n-1}\}} = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{0, 2, \mathfrak{h}, i_0, \zeta}$ preserves the non-degenerate vector subspace $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p_1 + p_2, e_1 + f_1, \dots, e_n + f_n, q_1 + q_2 - 2\zeta(e_n)e_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$.

Suppose that $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} \neq 0$. Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ with $\zeta(x) \neq 0$. Since $B \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$, we

can choose the basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ so that B has the form
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_1 & & & 0 \\ \lambda_1 & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & -\lambda_s \\ & & & \lambda_s & 0 \\ 0 & & & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$2s \leq i_0$ and $\lambda_i \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}^{0, 2, \mathfrak{h}, i_0, \zeta}$ preserves a proper vector subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. Let $v = (a_1, a_2, \alpha, \beta, b_1, b_2) \in L$ and $X = x + \zeta(x) \in \mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi, i_0, \zeta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
Xv = \begin{pmatrix} -\zeta(x)b_2 \\ \zeta(x)b_1 \\ -\lambda_1\alpha_2 \\ \lambda_1\alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ -\lambda_s\alpha_s \\ \lambda_s\alpha_{s-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -\lambda_1\beta_2 \\ \lambda_1\beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ -\lambda_s\beta_s \\ \lambda_s\beta_{s-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L, \quad X^3v = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \lambda_1^2(-\lambda_1\alpha_2) \\ \lambda_1^2(\lambda_1\alpha_1) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_s^2(-\lambda_s\alpha_s) \\ \lambda_s^2(\lambda_s\alpha_{s-1}) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \lambda_1^2(-\lambda_1\beta_2) \\ \lambda_1^2(\lambda_1\beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_s^2(-\lambda_s\beta_s) \\ \lambda_s^2(\lambda_s\beta_{s-1}) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L, \quad \dots, \quad X^{2s+1}v = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \lambda_1^{2s}(-\lambda_1\alpha_2) \\ \lambda_1^{2s}(\lambda_1\alpha_1) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_s^{2s}(-\lambda_s\alpha_s) \\ \lambda_s^{2s}(\lambda_s\alpha_{s-1}) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \lambda_1^{2s}(-\lambda_1\beta_2) \\ \lambda_1^{2s}(\lambda_1\beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_s^{2s}(-\lambda_s\beta_s) \\ \lambda_s^{2s}(\lambda_s\beta_{s-1}) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L.
\end{aligned}$$

The vector $-\zeta(x)b_2p_1 + \zeta(x)b_1p_2$ can be decomposed into a combination of the vectors $Xv, X^3v, \dots, X^{2s+1}v \in L$, hence $-b_2p_1 + b_1p_2 \in L$. The end of the proof of the lemma is as in Example 2.4.1. $\square$

Lemma 2.6.4. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\psi,k,i_0,\zeta} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is weakly-irreducible if and only if $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} \neq 0$.*

The proof is similar to the proofs of Lemma 2.6.1 and 2.6.3. $\square$

Now for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\psi,k,i_0,\zeta}$ we assume that $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} \neq 0$. Obviously, this Lie algebra has the form $\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\psi',k',i_0,\zeta'}$, where $\zeta'|_{E_{i_0+1,\dots,k'}^1} = 0$. We will denote this Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\psi',k,\zeta'}$. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\varphi,i_0,\zeta}$ such that $\zeta|_{E_{i_0+1,\dots,n}^1} \neq 0$, $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} \neq 0$ and $\varphi = 0$. Obviously, this is the Lie algebra of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\psi,k,\zeta}$. Thus we may restrict our attention to the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\varphi,i_0,\zeta}$ such that $\zeta|_{E_{i_0+1,\dots,n}^1} = 0$, $\zeta|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} \neq 0$ and $\varphi = 0$. We denote such Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}^{0,\mathfrak{h},\zeta}$.

The theorem is proved. $\square$

Chapter III. Classification of the holonomy algebras and constructions of metrics

In this chapter we give the classification of the holonomy algebras of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2. For each weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we construct a polynomial metric with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The results are stated in Section 3.1. In the other two sections we give the proofs of the theorems.

3.1 Main results

In the following theorem we give the classification of the weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2. We use the denotation from Section 2.1.

Theorem 3.1.1. 1) *A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1,1)$ is the weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Kählerian manifold of signature $(2,2)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to one of the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1,1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$:*

$$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^1 = \mathfrak{u}(1,1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle};$$

$$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2 = \mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2 = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\};$$

$$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} = \{(a\gamma_1, a\gamma_2, 0) | a \in \mathbb{R}\} \ltimes \mathcal{C} = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a\gamma_1 & -a\gamma_2 & 0 & -c \\ a\gamma_2 & a\gamma_1 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a\gamma_1 & -a\gamma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a\gamma_2 & -a\gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \middle| a, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \text{ where } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2) *Let $n \geq 1$. Then a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$ is the weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Kählerian manifold of signature $(2, 2n+2)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to one of the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$:*

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \mathcal{A}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}) \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^2 + \mathcal{C})$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & -z_2^t & 0 & 0 & -c \\ a_2 & a_1 & z_2^t & 0 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 & -C & 0 & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_2 E_{n-m} & z_1' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C & 0 & B & 0 & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 E_{n-m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} a_1, a_2, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ z_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ is a subalgebra;

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \mathcal{A}^1, \phi} = \{(a_1, \phi(B, C), B, C, 0, 0, 0) + \phi(B, C)J_{m+1, \dots, n} | a_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}\} \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{m+1, \dots, n}^2 + \mathcal{C})$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -\phi(A) & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & -z_2^t & 0 & 0 & -c \\ \phi(A) & a_1 & z_2^t & 0 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 & -C & 0 & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\phi(A)E_{n-m} & z_1' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C & 0 & B & 0 & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi(A)E_{n-m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -\phi(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi(A) & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} a_1, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ z_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}, \\ A = \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ is a subalgebra and $\phi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear map with $\phi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$;

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \varphi, \phi} = \{(\varphi(B, C), \phi(B, C), B, C, 0, 0, 0) + \phi(B, C)J_{m+1, \dots, n} | \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}\} \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{m+1, \dots, n}^2 + \mathcal{C})$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(A) & -\phi(A) & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & -z_2^t & 0 & 0 & -c \\ \phi(A) & \varphi(A) & z_2^t & 0 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 & -C & 0 & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\phi(A)E_{n-m} & z_1' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C & 0 & B & 0 & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi(A)E_{n-m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\varphi(A) & -\phi(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi(A) & -\varphi(A) \end{pmatrix} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ z_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}, \\ A = \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ is a subalgebra and $\varphi, \phi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are linear maps with $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = \phi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$;

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2} = \{(\varphi(B, C), a_2, B, C, 0, 0, 0) + a_2 J_{m+1, \dots, n} | a_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}\} \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 +$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{m+1,\dots,n}^2 + \mathcal{C})$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(A) & -a_2 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & -z_2^t & 0 & 0 & -c \\ a_2 & \varphi(A) & z_2^t & 0 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 & -C & 0 & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_2 E_{n-m} & z_1' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C & 0 & B & 0 & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 E_{n-m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\varphi(A) & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & -\varphi(A) \end{pmatrix} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} a_2, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ z_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}, \\ A = \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ is a subalgebra and $\varphi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear map with

$$\varphi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0;$$

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m,\mathfrak{u},\lambda} = (\{(a_1, \lambda a_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) + \lambda a_1 J_{m+1,\dots,n} | a_1 \in \mathbb{R}\} \oplus \mathfrak{u}) \ltimes (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{m+1,\dots,n}^2 + \mathcal{C})$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -\lambda a_1 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & -z_2^t & 0 & 0 & -c \\ \lambda a_1 & a_1 & z_2^t & 0 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 & -C & 0 & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda a_1 E_{n-m} & z_1' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C & 0 & B & 0 & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda a_1 E_{n-m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -\lambda a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda a_1 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} a_1, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ z_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ is a subalgebra and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$;

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{n,\mathfrak{u},\psi,k,l} = \{(0, 0, B, C, \psi_1(B, C), \psi_2(B, C) + \psi_3(B, C), 0) | \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}\} \\ \ltimes (\mathcal{N}_{1,\dots,k}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1,\dots,k}^2 + \mathcal{N}_{l+1,\dots,n}^1 + \mathcal{C})$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -z_1^t & -\psi_1(A)^t & -z_1'^t & -z_2^t & -\psi_2(A)^t & -\psi_3(A)^t & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & z_2^t & \psi_2(A)^t & \psi_3(A)^t & -z_1^t & -\psi_1(A)^t & -z_1'^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 & 0 & -C & 0 & 0 & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_1(A) & -\psi_2(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1' & -\psi_3(A) \\ 0 & 0 & C & 0 & 0 & B & 0 & 0 & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_2(A) & \psi_1(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_3(A) & z_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k, \\ z_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-l}, \\ A = \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $0 < k \leq l \leq n$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(k)$ is a subalgebra such that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}) \geq n + l - 2k$,

$\psi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow E_{k+1,\dots,l}^1 \oplus E_{k+1,\dots,l}^2 \oplus E_{l+1,\dots,n}^2$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$,

$\psi_1 = \text{pr}_{E_{k+1,\dots,l}^1} \circ \psi$, $\psi_2 = \text{pr}_{E_{k+1,\dots,l}^2} \circ \psi$ and $\psi_3 = \text{pr}_{E_{l+1,\dots,n}^2} \circ \psi$;

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m,\mathfrak{u},\psi,k,l,r} = \{(0, 0, B, C, \psi_1(B, C) + \psi_4(B, C), \psi_2(B, C) + \psi_3(B, C), 0) | \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}\} \\ \ltimes (\mathcal{N}_{1,\dots,k}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1,\dots,k}^2 + \mathcal{N}_{l+1,\dots,m}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{m+1,\dots,r}^1 + \mathcal{C})$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -z_1^t & -\psi_1(A)^t & -z_1'^t & -z_1''^t & -\psi_4(A)^t & -z_2^t & -\psi_2(A)^t & -\psi_3(A)^t & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & z_2^t & \psi_2(A)^t & \psi_3(A)^t & 0 & 0 & -z_1^t & -\psi_1(A)^t & -z_1'^t & -z_1''^t & -\psi_4(A)^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_1(A) & -\psi_2(A) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1' & -\psi_3(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_4(A) \\ 0 & 0 & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_2(A) & \psi_1(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_3(A) & z_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_4(A) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left| \begin{array}{l} c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k, \\ z_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{m-l}, \\ z_1'' \in \mathbb{R}^{r-m}, \\ A = \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \\ \in \mathfrak{u} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $0 < k \leq l \leq m \leq r \leq n$, $0 < m < n$, $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(k)$ is a subalgebra such that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}) \geq (n + m + l - 2k - r)$, $\psi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1 \oplus E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2 \oplus E_{l+1, \dots, m}^2 \oplus E_{r+1, \dots, n}^1$ is a surjective linear map with $\psi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$, $\psi_1 = \text{pr}_{E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1} \circ \psi$, $\psi_2 = \text{pr}_{E_{k+1, \dots, l}^2} \circ \psi$, $\psi_3 = \text{pr}_{E_{l+1, \dots, m}^2} \circ \psi$, and $\psi_4 = \text{pr}_{E_{r+1, \dots, n}^1} \circ \psi$.

We see that to each weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras $\mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n + 1)_{<\mathbb{R}p_1, \mathbb{R}p_2>}$ an integer $0 \leq m \leq n$ and a subalgebra $\mathfrak{u} = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(m)} \mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ are associated. Recall that a pseudo-Kählerian manifold is called *special pseudo-Kählerian* if its Ricci tensor is zero. This is equivalent to the inclusion $\mathfrak{hol}_x \subset \mathfrak{su}(T_x M, g_x, J_x^M)$.

Corollary 3.1.1. 1) A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ is the weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebra of a special pseudo-Kählerian manifold of signature $(2, 2)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to the subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ or to $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$.

2) Let $n \geq 1$. Then a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{su}(1, n + 1)$ is the weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebra of a special pseudo-Kählerian manifold of signature $(2, 2n + 2)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugated to one of the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n + 1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$:

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, A^1, \phi}, \mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \varphi, \phi} \text{ with } \phi(B, C) = -\frac{1}{n-m+2} \text{tr } C;$$

$$\mathfrak{hol}^{n, \mathfrak{u}, \psi, k, l}, \mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \psi, k, l, r} \text{ with } \mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k).$$

Now we construct an example of metric with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ for each Lie algebra from Theorem 3.1.1.

Let $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ be a subalgebra. Denote by $L \subset E$ the vector subspace annihilated by $\mathfrak{u}$. We can choose the basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$ in such a way that $L = \text{span}\{e_{n_0+1}, \dots, e_n, f_{n_0+1}, \dots, f_n\}$, where $\dim L = 2(n - n_0)$. Then $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(n_0)$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ does not annihilate any proper subspace of $E_{1, \dots, n_0}$. Let us consider a basis $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & -C_1 \\ C_1 & B_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, A_N = \begin{pmatrix} B_N & -C_N \\ C_N & B_N \end{pmatrix}$ of the vector space $\mathfrak{u}$ such that $A_1, \dots, A_{N_1}$ is a basis of the vector space $\mathfrak{u}'$ and $A_{N_1+1}, \dots, A_N$ is a basis of the vector space $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u})$ ($N = \dim \mathfrak{u}$, $N_1 = \dim \mathfrak{u}'$). We denote

by $(B_{\alpha j}^i)_{i,j=1}^{n_0}$ and $(C_{\alpha j}^i)_{i,j=1}^{n_0}$ the elements of the matrices B_α and C_α , respectively, where $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$.

Let $x^1, \dots, x^{2n+4}$ be the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$. Consider the following metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$:

$$g = 2dx^1 dx^{2n+3} + 2dx^2 dx^{2n+4} + \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} (dx^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} u^i dx^i dx^{2n+4} \\ + f_1 \cdot (dx^{2n+3})^2 + f_2 \cdot (dx^{2n+4})^2 + 2f_3 dx^{2n+3} dx^{2n+4}, \quad (14)$$

where $u^3, \dots, u^{2n+2}$, f_1 , f_2 and f_3 are some functions which depend on the holonomy algebra that we wish to obtain.

For the linear maps $\varphi, \phi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define the numbers $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi(A_\alpha)$ and $\phi_\alpha = \phi(A_\alpha)$, $\alpha = N_1 + 1, \dots, N$. For the linear map $\psi_1 : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow E_{k+1, \dots, l}^1$ we define the numbers $\psi_{1\alpha i}$ such that $\psi_1(A_\alpha) = \sum_{i=k+1}^l \psi_{1\alpha i} e_i$, $\alpha = N_1 + 1, \dots, N$. We define analogous numbers for the linear maps ψ_2 , ψ_3 and ψ_4 .

Define the following functions

$$f_1^0 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{(x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-1)!} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n_0} \left(B_{\alpha j}^i x^{i+2} x^{n+j+2} + \frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha j}^i x^{i+2} x^{j+2} + \frac{1}{2} C_{\alpha j}^i x^{n+i+2} x^{n+j+2} \right) \right), \\ f_2^0 = f_1^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \left(\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (B_{\alpha j}^i x^{j+2} - C_{\alpha j}^i x^{n+j+2}) (x^{2n+3})^\alpha \right)^2 + \right. \\ \left. \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (B_{\alpha j}^i x^{n+j+2} + C_{\alpha j}^i x^{j+2}) (x^{2n+3})^\alpha \right)^2 \right), \\ f_3^0 = 0.$$

For the maps φ and ϕ define the functions

$$f_1^\varphi = -2 \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \varphi_\alpha x^2 (x^{2n+3})^\alpha, \quad f_2^\varphi = -f_1^\varphi, \quad f_3^\varphi = 2 \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \varphi_\alpha x^1 (x^{2n+3})^\alpha, \\ f_1^\phi = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \phi_\alpha \frac{(x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-1)!} \left(-\frac{2}{\alpha} x^1 x^{2n+3} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n (x^{i+2})^2 \right),$$

$$f_2^\phi = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \phi_\alpha \frac{(x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-1)!} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} x^1 x^{2n+3} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n (x^{n+i+2})^2 + \frac{(x^{2n+3})^2}{(\alpha+1)\alpha} \sum_{i=m+1}^n ((x^{i+2})^2 + (x^{n+i+2})^2) \right),$$

$$f_3^\phi = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \phi_\alpha \frac{(x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-1)!} \left(-\frac{2}{\alpha} x^2 x^{2n+3} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n x^{i+2} x^{n+i+2} \right)$$

For $K = N + 1, N + 2$ we define the functions

$$f_1^{\mathcal{A}^1, K} = -2 \frac{1}{K!} x^2 (x^{2n+3})^K, \quad f_2^{\mathcal{A}^1, K} = -f_1^{\mathcal{A}^1, K}, \quad f_3^{\mathcal{A}^1, K} = 2 \frac{1}{K!} x^1 (x^{2n+3})^K,$$

$$f_1^{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2, K} = \frac{1}{(K-1)!} (x^{2n+3})^{K-1} \left(-\frac{2}{K} x^1 x^{2n+3} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n (x^{i+2})^2 \right),$$

$$f_2^{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2, K} = \frac{1}{(K-1)!} (x^{2n+3})^{K-1} \left(\frac{2}{K} x^1 x^{2n+3} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n (x^{n+i+2})^2 + \frac{(x^{2n+3})^2}{(\alpha+1)\alpha} \sum_{i=m+1}^n ((x^{i+2})^2 + (x^{n+i+2})^2) \right),$$

$$f_3^{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2, K} = \frac{1}{(K-1)!} (x^{2n+3})^{K-1} \left(-\frac{2}{K} x^2 x^{2n+3} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n x^{i+2} x^{n+i+2} \right).$$

For any numbers $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq n$ consider the functions

$$\tilde{f}_{1m_1}^{m_2} = \sum_{i=m_1}^{m_2} (x_{i+2}^2 - x_{n+i+2}^2), \quad \tilde{f}_{2m_1}^{m_2} = -\tilde{f}_{1m_1}^{m_2}, \quad \tilde{f}_{3m_1}^{m_2} = 2 \sum_{i=m_1}^{m_2} x^{i+2} x^{n+i+2}.$$

For any numbers $0 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq n$ and $K \geq N + 1$ consider the functions

$$\check{f}_{1m_1}^{Km_2} = - \sum_{i=m_1}^{m_2} \frac{2}{(K+i-m_1)!} x^{n+i+2} (x^{2n+3})^{K+i-m_1}, \quad \check{f}_{2m_1}^{Km_2} = -\check{f}_{1m_1}^{Km_2},$$

$$\check{f}_{3m_1}^{Km_2} = - \sum_{i=m_1}^{m_2} \frac{2}{(K+i-m_1)!} x^{i+2} (x^{2n+3})^{K+i-m_1}.$$

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}^{n,u,\psi,k,l}$ we consider the functions

$$f_1^{n,\psi} = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \frac{2}{\alpha!} \left(\sum_{i=k+3}^{l+2} \psi_{1\alpha i} x^{n+i} - \sum_{i=k+3}^{l+2} \psi_{2\alpha i} x^i - \sum_{i=l+3}^{n+2} \psi_{3\alpha i} x^i \right) (x^{2n+3})^\alpha,$$

$$f_2^{n,\psi} = -f_1^{n,\psi},$$

$$f_3^{n,\psi} = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \frac{2}{\alpha!} \left(- \sum_{i=k+3}^{l+2} \psi_{1\alpha i} x^i - \sum_{i=k+3}^{l+2} \psi_{2\alpha i} x^{n+i} - \sum_{i=l+3}^{n+2} \psi_{3\alpha i} x^{n+i} \right) (x^{2n+3})^\alpha.$$

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l,r}$ we define the functions

$$f_1^{m,\psi} = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \frac{2}{\alpha!} \left(\sum_{i=k+3}^{l+2} \psi_{1\alpha i} x^{n+i} + \sum_{i=r+3}^{n+2} \psi_{4\alpha i} x^{n+i} - \sum_{i=k+3}^{l+2} \psi_{2\alpha i} x^i - \sum_{i=l+3}^{m+2} \psi_{3\alpha i} x^i \right) (x^{2n+3})^\alpha,$$

$$f_2^{m,\psi} = -f_1^{m,\psi},$$

$$f_3^{m,\psi} = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^N \frac{2}{\alpha!} \left(- \sum_{i=k+3}^{l+2} \psi_{1\alpha i} x^i - \sum_{i=r+3}^{n+2} \psi_{4\alpha i} x^i - \sum_{i=k+3}^{l+2} \psi_{2\alpha i} x^{n+i} - \sum_{i=l+3}^{m+2} \psi_{3\alpha i} x^{n+i} \right) (x^{2n+3})^\alpha.$$

Define the functions $u^3, \dots, u^{n_0+2}, u^{n+3}, \dots, u^{n+n_0+2}$ as follows:

$$u^i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (B_{\alpha j}^{i-2} x^{j+2} - C_{\alpha j}^{i-2} x^{n+j+2}) \right) (x^{2n+3})^\alpha,$$

$$u^{n+i} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (B_{\alpha j}^{i-2} x^{n+j+2} + C_{\alpha j}^{i-2} x^{j+2}) \right) (x^{2n+3})^\alpha,$$

where $3 \leq i \leq n_0 + 2$.

For the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\mathcal{A}^1,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$ and $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\varphi,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$ we set in addition

$$u^i = -\frac{1}{(N+2)!} x^{n+i} (x^{2n+3})^{N+2},$$

$$u^{n+i} = \frac{1}{(N+2)!} x^i (x^{2n+3})^{N+2},$$

where $m+3 \leq i \leq 2n+2$.

For the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\mathcal{A}^1,\phi}$ and $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\varphi,\phi}$ we set

$$u^i = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \phi_\alpha x^{n+i} (x^{2n+3})^\alpha,$$

$$u^{n+i} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \phi_\alpha x^i (x^{2n+3})^\alpha,$$

where $m+3 \leq i \leq 2n+2$.

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\lambda}$ we set

$$u^i = -\frac{1}{(N+1)!} \lambda x^{n+i} (x^{2n+3})^{N+1},$$

$$u^{n+i} = \frac{1}{(N+1)!} \lambda x^i (x^{2n+3})^{N+1},$$

where $m + 3 \leq i \leq 2n + 2$.

We assume that the functions u^i that were not defined now are zero.

If we choose the functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 such that $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = f_3(0) = 0$, then for the metric g given by (14) we have $g_0 = \eta$ and we can identify the tangent space to $\mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$ at 0 with the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$.

Note that if $n = 0$, then

$$g = 2dx^1dx^3 + 2dx^2dx^4 + f_1 \cdot (dx^3)^2 + f_2 \cdot (dx^4)^2 + 2f_3dx^3dx^4.$$

Theorem 3.1.2. *Let $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ be the holonomy algebra of the metric g at the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$.*

1) *Let $n = 0$, then $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ depends on the functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 as in Table 3.1.1.*

Table 3.1.1. *Dependence of $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ on the functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 for $n = 0$*

$f_i, (i = 1, 2, 3)$	$\mathfrak{hol}_0$
$f_1 = -2x^2x^3 - x^1(x^3)^2, f_2 = -f_1, f_3 = 2x^1x^3 - x^2(x^3)^2$	$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^1$
$f_1 = (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2, f_2 = -f_1, f_3 = 2x^1x^2$	$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2$
$f_1 = -2\gamma_1x^2x^3 - 2\gamma_2x^1x^3, f_2 = -f_1, f_3 = 2\gamma_1x^1x^3 - 2\gamma_2x^2x^3$	$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$ (if $\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 \neq 0$)
$f_1 = (x^4)^2, f_2 = f_3 = 0$	$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=0}$

2) *Let $n > 0$, then $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ depends on the functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 as in Table 3.1.2.*

Table 3.1.2. *Dependence of $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ on the functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 for $n > 0$*

$f_i, (i = 1, 2, 3)$	$\mathfrak{hol}_0$
$f_i = f_i^{\mathcal{A}^1, N+1} + f_i^{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2, N+2} + f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{in_0+1}^m + \check{f}_{im+1}^{N+3 \ n}$	$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \mathcal{A}^1, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$
$f_i = f_i^{\mathcal{A}^1, N+1} + f_i^\phi + f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{in_0+1}^m + \check{f}_{im+1}^{N+2 \ n}$	$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \mathcal{A}^1, \phi}$
$f_i = f_i^\varphi + f_i^{\mathcal{A}^2, N+1} + f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{in_0+1}^m + \check{f}_{im+1}^{N+2 \ n}$	$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$
$f_i = f_i^\varphi + f_i^\phi + f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{in_0+1}^m + \check{f}_{im+1}^{N+2 \ n} \check{f}_{im+1}^{N+1 \ n}$	$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \varphi, \phi}$
$f_i = f_i^{\mathcal{A}^1, N+1} + \lambda f_i^{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2, N+1} + f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{in_0+1}^m + \check{f}_{im+1}^{N+2 \ n}$	$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \lambda}$
$f_i = f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{in_0+1}^k + f_i^{n, \psi} + \check{f}_{il+1}^{N+1 \ n}$	$\mathfrak{hol}^{n, u, \psi, k, l}$ (if $\dim \mathfrak{z}(u) \geq n + l - 2k$)
$f_i = f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{in_0+1}^k + f_i^{m, \psi} + \check{f}_{il+1}^{N+1 \ r}$	$\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \psi, k, l, r}$ (if $\dim \mathfrak{z}(u) \geq n + m + l - 2k - r$)

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1.1

In this section we will prove that the Lie algebras of Theorem 3.1.1 exhaust all weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$, i.e. all candidates for the holonomy algebras. The rest of the proof of Theorem 3.1.1 will follow from Theorem 3.1.2.

Now we will describe the spaces of curvature tensors $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ for subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$. We will use the following obvious fact. Let $\mathfrak{f}_1 \subset \mathfrak{f}_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$, then

$$R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{f}_1) \text{ if and only if } R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{f}_2) \text{ and } R(\mathbb{R}^{r,s} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{r,s}) \subset \mathfrak{f}_1. \quad (15)$$

First we will describe the space $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^u)$ for the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}^u = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & -X^t & 0 & -c \\ a_2 & a_1 & -Y^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & X & Y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} a_1, a_2, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \\ A \in \mathfrak{u} \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}.$$

Here $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(n)$ is a subalgebra and $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ that preserves the isotropic plane $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2$.

Using the form η , we identify $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ with the space

$$\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} = \text{span}\{u \wedge v = u \otimes v - v \otimes u \mid u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}\}.$$

The identification is given by the formula

$$(u \wedge v)w = \eta(u, w)v - \eta(v, w)u \text{ for all } u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}.$$

Similarly, we identify $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ with $E \wedge E \subset \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$. It is easy to see that the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & -X^t & 0 & -c \\ a_2 & a_1 & -Y^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & X & Y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}^u$$

corresponds to

$$-a_1(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) + a_2(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) + A + p_1 \wedge X + p_2 \wedge Y + cp_1 \wedge p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}.$$

Thus we obtain the following decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}^u$:

$$\mathfrak{g}^u = (\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) \oplus \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}) \ltimes (p_1 \wedge E + p_2 \wedge E + \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2). \quad (16)$$

By analogy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>} = & (\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) \oplus \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n)) \\ & \times (\{p_1 \wedge x + p_2 \wedge Jx | x \in E^1\} + \{p_1 \wedge Jx - p_2 \wedge x | x \in E^1\} + \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2). \end{aligned}$$

The decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} = \mathbb{R}p_1 + \mathbb{R}p_2 + E + \mathbb{R}q_1 + \mathbb{R}q_2$ gives us the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} = & \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) + \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) + \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 - p_2 \wedge q_2) + \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 + p_2 \wedge q_1) \\ & + E \wedge E + p_1 \wedge E + p_2 \wedge E + q_1 \wedge E + q_2 \wedge E + \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2 + \mathbb{R}q_1 \wedge q_2. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The metric η defines the metric $\eta \wedge \eta$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^u)$. It can be proved that

$$\eta \wedge \eta(R(u \wedge v), z \wedge w) = \eta \wedge \eta(R(z \wedge w), u \wedge v) \text{ for all } u, v, z, w \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}. \quad (18)$$

This shows that $R : \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^u \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$ is a symmetric linear map. Hence R is zero on the orthogonal complement to $\mathfrak{g}^u$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$. In particular,

$$R|_{\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 - p_2 \wedge q_2) + \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 + p_2 \wedge q_1) + \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2 + p_1 \wedge E + p_2 \wedge E} = 0. \quad (19)$$

Thus R can be considered as the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} R : & \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) + \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) + E \wedge E + q_1 \wedge E + q_2 \wedge E + \mathbb{R}q_1 \wedge q_2 \\ & \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^u = (\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) \oplus \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}) \times (p_1 \wedge E + p_2 \wedge E + \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following set of subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2), \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1), \mathfrak{u}, p_1 \wedge E, p_2 \wedge E, \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2\}.$$

For any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we set

$$R_F = \text{pr}_F \circ R : \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \rightarrow F,$$

where pr_F is the projection with respect to the decomposition (16). Obviously,

$$R = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} R_F.$$

Note that from (19) it follows that $R(p_1 \wedge q_1) = R(p_2 \wedge q_2)$ and $R(p_1 \wedge q_2) = -R(p_2 \wedge q_1)$. Using the Bianchi identity, (18) and (19), it is easy to show that R can be found from Table 3.2.1 on page 75, where on the position $(u \wedge v, \mathcal{F})$ stays the value $R_F(u \wedge v)$.

In Table 3.2.1 we have $x, y \in E$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5 \in \mathbb{R}$, $K_1, K_2, L_1, L_2 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, E)$, $R_u \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u})$,

$$P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{u}) = \left\{ P \in \text{Hom}(E, \mathfrak{u}) \left| \begin{array}{l} \eta(P(u)v, w) + \eta(P(v)w, u) + \eta(P(w)u, v) = 0 \\ \text{for all } u, v, w \in E \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

$T_1, T_2 \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$, $T_1^* = T_1$, $T_2^* = T_2$ and $S \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$ is a linear map such that $S - S^* \in \mathfrak{u}$.

It is easy to show that for any elements as above the linear map $R \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}, \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{u}})$ defined by Table 3.2.1 and (19) satisfies $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{u}})$.

For any $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)$ consider the subalgebra

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}^{m,\mathfrak{u}} &= (\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) \oplus \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1 + J_{m+1,\dots,n}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}) \\ &\quad \ltimes (\{p_1 \wedge x + p_2 \wedge Jx \mid x \in E^1\} + \{p_1 \wedge Jx - p_2 \wedge x \mid x \in E_{1,\dots,m}^1\} + \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2) \\ &\subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}. \end{aligned}$$

For the $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ -projection of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{m,\mathfrak{u}}$ we have $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \mathfrak{g}^{m,\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathbb{R}J_{m+1,\dots,n}$. Let us consider a curvature tensor $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^{m,\mathfrak{u}})$. Since $\mathfrak{g}^{m,\mathfrak{u}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathbb{R}J_{m+1,\dots,n}}$, to decompose R we can use (15) and Table 3.2.1. For $K_1 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, E)$ let $K_1^1 = \text{pr}_{E^1} \circ K_1$ and $K_1^2 = \text{pr}_{E^2} \circ K_1$. Then $K_1 = K_1^1 + K_1^2$. For $S \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$ let

$$S^{11} = \text{pr}_{E^1} \circ S|_{E^1}, \quad S^{12} = \text{pr}_{E^1} \circ S|_{E^2}, \quad S^{21} = \text{pr}_{E^2} \circ S|_{E^1}, \quad S^{22} = \text{pr}_{E^2} \circ S|_{E^2}$$

and extend these linear maps to E mapping the natural complement to zero. We get the decomposition $S = S^{11} + S^{12} + S^{21} + S^{22}$. For $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{u})$ let $Q_1 = P_1^* \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{u}, E)$, $Q_1^1 = \text{pr}_{E^1} \circ Q_1$ and $Q_1^2 = \text{pr}_{E^2} \circ Q_1$. Consequently, $Q_1 = Q_1^1 + Q_1^2$. Consider the analogous decompositions for the elements $K_2, L_1, L_2 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, E)$, $Q_2 = P_2^* \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{u}, E)$, $T_1, T_2, S^* \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$. Using the condition $R(\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^{m,\mathfrak{u}}$, we obtain

$$K_2^2 = JK_1^1, \quad K_2^1 = JK_1^2, \quad K_1^1(1) \in E_{1,\dots,m}^1, \quad K_1^2(1) \in E_{1,\dots,m}^2 \quad (20)$$

$$Q_2^2 = JQ_1^1, \quad Q_2^1 = JQ_1^2, \quad Q_1^2(1) \in E_{1,\dots,m}^2 \quad (21)$$

$$T_1^{11} = -JS^{21}, \quad T_1^{21} = -JS^{11},$$

$$S^{21}(E_{1,\dots,m}^1) \subset E_{1,\dots,m}^2, \quad S^{21}(E_{m+1,\dots,n}^1) \subset E_{m+1,\dots,n}^2, \quad (22)$$

$$T_1^{12} = -JS^{22}, \quad T_1^{22} = -JS^{12}, \quad S^{22}(E) \subset E_{1,\dots,m}^2, \quad (23)$$

$$T_2^{11} = JS^{*21}, \quad T_2^{21} = JS^{*11}, \quad S^{*21}(E) \subset E_{1,\dots,m}^2, \quad (24)$$

$$T_2^{12} = JS^{*22}, \quad T_2^{22} = JS^{*12}, \quad S^{*22}(E) \subset E_{1,\dots,m}^2, \quad (25)$$

$$L_2^2 = JL_1^1, \quad L_2^1 = JL_1^2, \quad L_1^2(1) \in E_{1,\dots,m}^2. \quad (26)$$

From (22), (23), (24), (25), and the fact that $T_1^* = T_1$ and $T_2^* = T_2$ it follows that

$$(S^{22})^* = JS^{11}J, \quad (S^{12})^* = JS^{12}J, \quad (S^{21})^* = JS^{21}J,$$

$$S^{11}(E^1) \subset E_{1,\dots,m}^1, \quad S^{12}(E^2) \subset E_{1,\dots,m}^1, \quad S^{21}(E_{1,\dots,m}^1) \subset E_{1,\dots,m}^2.$$

Since $\text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)} R(q_1 \wedge q_2) = \lambda_3(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$, we see that $S^{21}|_{E_{m+1,\dots,n}^1} = \lambda_3 J|_{E_{m+1,\dots,n}^1}$.

Using (21), we get $Q_2 = JQ_1$, i.e. $P_2^* = JP_1^*$. Hence, $P_2 = P_1 J^*$. Thus, $P_2 = -P_1 J$.

Since $\text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)} R(E \wedge E) = \{0\}$, from Table 3.2.1 it follows that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} R(E \wedge E) \subset \mathfrak{u}$. It is well known that from the inclusion $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)$ it follows that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{u}(m)) \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n))$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)) = \{0\}$. Therefore, $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} R(E \wedge E) \subset \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{u}(m)$. Thus for $R_0 = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \circ R|_{E \wedge E}$ we get $R_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{u}(m))$.

Similarly, since $\text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)} R(q_1 \wedge E_{1,\dots,m}^1) = \{0\}$, we see that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} R(q_1 \wedge E) \subset \mathfrak{u}$. In [32] it was proved that

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{u}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{u}(m)) \oplus \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(m+1, \dots, n)) = \{0\}.$$

Thus, $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{u}(m))$.

From (18) it follows that

$$R|_{\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 - p_2 \wedge q_2) + \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 + p_2 \wedge q_1) + \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2 + \{q_1 \wedge x - q_2 \wedge Jx | x \in E^1\} + \{q_1 \wedge Jx + q_2 \wedge x | x \in E_{1,\dots,m}^1\}} = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$R|_{q_1 \wedge E_{m+1,\dots,n}^2 + q_2 \wedge E_{m+1,\dots,n}^1 + p_1 \wedge E + p_2 \wedge E} = 0. \quad (28)$$

In particular, $R(q_2 \wedge Jx) = R(q_1 \wedge x)$ for all $x \in E^1$, and $R(q_1 \wedge Jx) = R(q_2 \wedge x)$ for all $x \in E_{1,\dots,m}^1$.

We set the following denotation: $N_1 = K_1^1$, $N_2 = JK_1^2$, $M_1 = \text{pr}_{E_{1,\dots,m}^1} \circ L_1^1$, $M_2 = -JL_1^2$, $M_3 = \text{pr}_{E_{m+1,\dots,n}^1} \circ L_1^1$, $P = P_1$, $S^{21} = \text{pr}_{E_{1,\dots,m}^2} \circ S|_{E_{1,\dots,m}^1}$. Now the curvature tensor $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^{m,\mathfrak{u}})$ can be found as above from the conditions (27), (28) and Table 3.2.2. In this case we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = & \{ \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2), \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1), \mathbb{R}J_{m+1,\dots,n}, \mathfrak{u}, p_1 \wedge E, \\ & \{p_1 \wedge x + p_2 \wedge Jx | x \in E_{1,\dots,m}^1\}, \quad \{p_1 \wedge Jx - p_2 \wedge x | x \in E_{1,\dots,m}^1\}, \\ & \{p_1 \wedge x + p_2 \wedge Jx | x \in E_{m+1,\dots,n}^1\}, \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

In Table 3.2.2 we have $x_1, y_1 \in E$, $x \in E_{1,\dots,m}^1$, $y \in E_{m+1,\dots,n}^1$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5 \in \mathbb{R}$, $N_1, N_2, M_1, M_2 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, E_{1,\dots,m}^1)$, $M_3 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, E_{m+1,\dots,n}^1)$, if $m < n$, then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ and $N_1 = N_2 =$

Table 3.2.1. Decomposition of a curvature tensor $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^u)$

$u \wedge v \setminus F$	$\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$\mathbf{u}$	$p_1 \wedge E$	$p_2 \wedge E$	$\mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2$
$p_1 \wedge q_1$	$\lambda_1(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_2(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	0	$p_1 \wedge K_1(1)$	$p_2 \wedge K_2(1)$	$\lambda_4 p_1 \wedge p_2$
$p_1 \wedge q_2$	$-\lambda_2(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_1(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	0	$p_1 \wedge K_2(1)$	$-p_2 \wedge K_1(1)$	$\lambda_3 p_1 \wedge p_2$
$x \wedge y$	0	0	$R_u(x \wedge y)$	$\frac{1}{2}p_1 \wedge P_1^*(x \wedge y)$	$\frac{1}{2}p_2 \wedge P_2^*(x \wedge y)$	$(\eta(S(x), y) - \eta(S^*(x), y)) \cdot p_1 \wedge p_2$
$q_1 \wedge x$	$-K_1^*(x)(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$K_2^*(x)(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$P_1(x)$	$p_1 \wedge T_1(x)$	$p_2 \wedge S(x)$	$L_1^*(x)p_1 \wedge p_2$
$q_2 \wedge x$	$-K_2^*(x)(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$-K_1^*(x)(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$P_2(x)$	$p_1 \wedge S^*(x)$	$p_2 \wedge T_2(x)$	$L_2^*(x)p_1 \wedge p_2$
$q_1 \wedge q_2$	$-\lambda_4(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_3(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$S - S^*$	$p_1 \wedge L_1(1)$	$p_2 \wedge L_2(1)$	$\lambda_5 p_1 \wedge p_2$

Table 3.2.2. Decomposition of a curvature tensor $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^{m,u})$

$u \wedge v \setminus F$	$\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$\mathbb{R}J_{m+1, \dots, n}$	$\mathbf{u}$	$\{p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw \mid w \in E_{1, \dots, m}^1\}$	$\{p_1 \wedge Jw - p_2 \wedge w \mid w \in E_{1, \dots, m}^1\}$	$\{p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw \mid w \in E_{m+1, \dots, n}^1\}$	$\mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2$
$p_1 \wedge q_1$	$\lambda_1(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_2(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	0	0	$p_1 \wedge N_1(1) + p_2 \wedge JN_1(1)$	$-(p_1 \wedge JN_2(1) - p_2 \wedge N_2(1))$	0	$\lambda_4 p_1 \wedge p_2$
$p_1 \wedge q_2$	$-\lambda_2(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_1(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	0	0	$p_1 \wedge N_2(1) + p_2 \wedge JN_2(1)$	$-(p_1 \wedge JN_1(1) - p_2 \wedge N_1(1))$	0	$\lambda_3 p_1 \wedge p_2$
$x_1 \wedge y_1$	0	0	0	$R_0(x_1 \wedge y_1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(p_1 \wedge \text{pr}_{E^1} P^*(x_1 \wedge y_1) + p_2 \wedge J \text{pr}_{E^1} P^*(x_1 \wedge y_1))$	$\frac{1}{2}(p_1 \wedge J \text{pr}_{E^1} J P^*(x_1 \wedge y_1) - p_2 \wedge \text{pr}_{E^1} J P^*(x_1 \wedge y_1))$	0	$(\eta((S - S^*)x_1, y_1) + \eta(J_{m, \dots, n} x_1, y_1)) \cdot p_1 \wedge p_2$
$q_1 \wedge x$	$-N_1^*(x)(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$N_2^*(x)(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	0	$P(x)$	$p_1 \wedge (-JS^{21}(x) + p_2 \wedge S^{21}(x))$	$-(p_1 \wedge JS^{11}(x) - p_2 \wedge S^{11}(x))$	0	$M_1^*(x)p_1 \wedge p_2$
$q_2 \wedge x$	$-N_2^*(x)(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$-N_1^*(x)(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	0	$-P(Jx)$	$p_1 \wedge S^{11*}(x) + p_2 \wedge JS^{11*}(x)$	$p_1 \wedge JS^{12}(Jx) - p_2 \wedge S^{12}(Jx)$	0	$M_2^*(x)p_1 \wedge p_2$
$q_1 \wedge y$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$p_1 \wedge \lambda_3 y + p_2 \wedge \lambda_3 Jy$	$M_3^*(y)p_1 \wedge p_2$
$q_1 \wedge q_2$	$-\lambda_4(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_3(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$\lambda_3 J_{m+1, \dots, n}$	$S - S^*$	$p_1 \wedge M_1(1) + p_2 \wedge JM_1(1)$	$p_1 \wedge JM_2(1) - p_2 \wedge M_2(1)$	$p_1 \wedge M_3(1) + p_2 \wedge JM_3(1)$	$\lambda_5 p_1 \wedge p_2$

0. Furthermore, $R_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{u}(m))$, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{u}(m))$, $S^{11} \in \text{Hom}(E_{1,\dots,m}, E_{1,\dots,m}^1)$, $S^{21} \in \text{Hom}(E_{1,\dots,m}, E_{1,\dots,m}^2)$, $S^{12} \in \text{Hom}(E_{1,\dots,m}, E_{1,\dots,m}^1)$, $S^{11}|_{E_{1,\dots,m}^2} = 0$, $S^{21}|_{E_{1,\dots,m}^2} = 0$, $S^{12}|_{E_{1,\dots,m}^1} = 0$, $S^{12*} = JS^{12}J$, $S^{21*} = JS^{21}J$, $S \in \text{Hom}(E_{1,\dots,m}, E_{1,\dots,m})$, $S = S^{11} + S^{12} + S^{21} + JS^{11*}J$ and $S - S^* \in \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{u}(m)$. Conversely, for any elements as above the linear map $R \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}, \mathfrak{g}^{m,u})$ defined by the Table 3.2.2, (27) and (28) satisfies $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}^{m,u})$.

1) Consider the case $n = 0$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *The Lie algebras of Part 1 of Theorem 3.1.1 exhaust all weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1,1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$.*

Proof. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{u}(1,1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle})$. As above, R can be found from the conditions $R(p_2 \wedge q_2) = R(p_1 \wedge q_1)$, $R(p_2 \wedge q_1) = -R(p_1 \wedge q_2)$, $R(p_1 \wedge p_2) = 0$ and the following table:

$u \wedge v \setminus F$	$\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$\mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2$
$p_1 \wedge q_1$	$\lambda_1(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_2(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$\lambda_4 p_1 \wedge p_2$
$p_1 \wedge q_2$	$-\lambda_2(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_1(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$\lambda_3 p_1 \wedge p_2$
$q_1 \wedge q_2$	$-\lambda_4(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2)$	$\lambda_3(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1)$	$\lambda_5 p_1 \wedge p_2$

We will consider all subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1,1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ and check which of these subalgebras are weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebras. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1,1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ be a subalgebra. We have the following cases:

Case 1. $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2$;

Case 2. $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{g}$;

Case 3. $\mathcal{C} \not\subset \mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{g} \neq \{0\}$.

Consider these cases.

Case 1. $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2$. We have the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2$. We claim that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebra. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a non-trivial vector subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. Let $\alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_2 + \beta_1 q_1 + \beta_2 q_2 \in L$ be a non-zero vector. Applying the element $(1,0) \in \mathcal{A}^1$, we get $\alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_2 - \beta_1 q_1 - \beta_2 q_2 \in L$. Hence, $\alpha_1 p_1 + \alpha_2 p_2 \in L$ and $\beta_1 q_1 + \beta_2 q_2 \in L$. Applying to these vectors the element $(0,1) \in \mathcal{A}^2$, we get $\alpha_1 p_2 - \alpha_2 p_1 \in L$ and $\beta_1 q_2 - \beta_2 q_1 \in L$. There are three possibilities: $L = \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$, $L = \mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2$ or $L = \mathbb{R}q_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}q_2$. Hence the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is weakly-irreducible.

Furthermore, $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by the image of the curvature tensor $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_5 = 0$.

Subcase 1.2. $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a\gamma_1, a\gamma_2, 0) | a \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We claim that this subalgebra is not a weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebra. Indeed, if $\gamma_2 = 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the non-degenerate proper subspace $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}q_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. If $\gamma_1 = 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the non-degenerate proper subspace $\mathbb{R}(p_1 + q_1) \oplus \mathbb{R}(p_2 + q_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. Suppose that $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_2 \neq 0$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. We have

$$R(p_1 \wedge q_1) = \lambda_1(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) + \lambda_2(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) + \lambda_4(p_1 \wedge p_2),$$

$$R(p_1 \wedge q_2) = -\lambda_2(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) + \lambda_1(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) + \lambda_3(p_1 \wedge p_2).$$

Hence, $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$ and $\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$. Therefore, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. Moreover, $R(q_1 \wedge q_2) = \lambda_5(p_1 \wedge p_2)$. Consequently, $\lambda_5 = 0$. Thus, $R = 0$, $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra.

Case 2. $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. We have the following subcases:

Subcase 2.1. $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2) \ltimes \mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{u}(1, 1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$;

Subcase 2.2. $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a\gamma_1, a\gamma_2, 0) | a \in \mathbb{R}\} \ltimes \mathcal{C}$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. These subalgebras contain $\mathcal{C}$, hence they are weakly-irreducible (Part 1 of Theorem 2.1.1). These subalgebras are Berger algebras, since any element of these algebras can be obtained as $R(q_1 \wedge q_2)$ for some curvature tensor R .

Case 3. $\mathcal{C} \not\subset \mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathfrak{g} \neq \{0\}$. Consider the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1. $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 1$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \{c\gamma_1, c\gamma_2, c\} | c \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ or $\gamma_2 \neq 0$. We claim that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a Berger algebra. Indeed, let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ by analogy with Subcase 1.2, we have $\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} = \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_3}$. Hence, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ and $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = 0$.

Subcase 3.2. $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2$, then $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2} \mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathcal{A}^2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a_1, a_2, \nu(a_1, a_2)) | a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $\nu : \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero linear map. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ be numbers such that $\nu(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0$ and $\nu(-\gamma_2, \gamma_1) = 1$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}$ has the form $\{(a\gamma_1, a\gamma_2, 0) | a \in \mathbb{R}\} \ltimes \{-c\gamma_2, c\gamma_2, c\} | c \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $[(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, 0), (-\gamma_2, \gamma_1, 1)] = (0, 0, 2\gamma_1) \in \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, $\gamma_1 = 0$. Let $\gamma = \gamma_2$. Thus, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{A}^2 \oplus \{(c\gamma, 0, c) | c \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\gamma \neq 0$. This Lie algebra is conjugated to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2$. To see this it is enough to choose the new basis $p_1, p_2, q_1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma}p_2, q_2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma}p_1$.

The lemma is proved. $\square$

2) Let $n \geq 1$. We claim that the subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$ from the statement of the theorem are weakly-irreducible Berger algebras. Indeed, these subalgebras are weakly-irreducible. Table 3.2.2 shows that all these subalgebras are Berger algebras (any element of each algebra can be obtained as $R(q_1 \wedge q_2)$ for proper curvature tensor R), this will follow also from Theorem 3.1.2.

We must prove that there are no other weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$. For this we need all candidates for the weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$. Recall that in order to classify weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ we considered a Lie algebras homomorphism $\Gamma : \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} \rightarrow \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ and its restriction $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}} : \mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} \rightarrow \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ which is an isomorphism. We proved that if $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is weakly-irreducible, then the subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} = \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ satisfies a property. Then we found all subalgebras $\mathfrak{f} \subset \text{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathcal{H}_n)$ that satisfy this property and the isomorphism $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}}$ gave us the list of candidates for the weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$. Now, since $\ker \Gamma_{\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}} = \mathbb{R}J$, any weakly-irreducible subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ must have one of the forms $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$ or $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a candidate to the weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$, $\mathfrak{g}^\xi = \{x + \xi(x)J | x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ and $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero linear map such that $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ is a Lie algebra. Recall that for $m > 0$ all candidates to the weakly-irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ are weakly-irreducible subalgebras.

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be one of the following candidates to the weakly-irreducible subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$:*

$$\mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}^1, \zeta}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi, i_0, \zeta}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, i_0, \zeta}$$

(these Lie algebras defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.1, see Lemmas 2.6.2, 2.6.3 and 2.6.4). Then the Lie algebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ and $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$ are not Berger algebras.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be one of the above Lie algebras and $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J)$. Since $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}\mathfrak{d}(1, \dots, n)$, from Table 3.2.2 it follows that $(\text{pr}_{u(n)} R(\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2})) \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$. Therefore, if one of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ and $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$ is a Berger algebra, then $\mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$. This condition holds only for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{0, \mathfrak{h}=\{0\}, \mathcal{A}^1, \zeta}$. We claim that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J) = \{0\}$. Indeed, let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J)$. We decompose R as in Table 3.2.2. For any $y \in E_{1, \dots, m}^1$ we have $R(q_1 \wedge y) = p_1 \wedge \lambda_3 y + p_2 \wedge \lambda_3 Jy + M_3^*(y)p_1 \wedge p_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$. Consequently, $\lambda_3 = 0$ and $M_3 = 0$. It is easy to show in the same way that all the other components of R are also zero. Thus the Lie algebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ and $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$ are not Berger algebras. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Lemma 3.2.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ be a weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebra with the associated number m , $1 \leq m < n$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ does not contain the set $\{p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw | w \in E_{m+1, \dots, n}^1\}$, then $\text{pr}_{u(m+1, \dots, n)} \mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Consider a curvature tensor $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. We decompose R using Table 3.2.2. Since $m \geq 1$, we see that $p_1 \wedge p_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $y \in E_{m+1, \dots, n}^1$ be a vector such that $p_1 \wedge y + p_2 \wedge Jy \notin \mathfrak{g}$, then $R(q_1 \wedge y) = p_1 \wedge \lambda_3 y + p_2 \wedge \lambda_3 Jy + M_3^*(y)p_1 \wedge p_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$. Consequently, $\lambda_3 = 0$. From Table 3.2.2 it follows that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(m+1, \dots, n)} R(\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}) = \{0\}$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{n, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l}$ or $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \psi, k, l, r}$. Then*

- 1) *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Berger algebra, then $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(k)$.*
- 2) *If $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not zero and $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ is a Berger algebra, then there exist elements $A = \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $A + J_k - \frac{k}{n+2}J_n \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$, for $x = (0, -\frac{k}{n+2}, B, C + J_k - \frac{k}{n+2}J_n, z_1, z_2, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $\xi(x) = \frac{k}{n+2}$, ξ is zero on the orthogonal complement to $\mathbb{R}x$, and the orthogonal complement to $\mathbb{R}(A + J_k - \frac{k}{n+2}J_n)$ in $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{u}(k)$. In particular, $x + \xi(x)J = (0, 0, B, C + J_k, z_1, z_2, 0)$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \mathfrak{g}^\xi \subset \mathfrak{u}(k)$.*
- 3) *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$ is not a Berger algebra.*

Proof. Statements 1) and 3) follow from Lemma 3.2.3. Let us prove Statement 2). Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ is a Berger algebra for some linear map $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. From Lemma 3.2.3 it follows that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(k+1, \dots, n)} \mathfrak{g}^\xi = \{0\}$. This can happen only in the case described in Statement 2). In this situation the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ is either of the form $\mathfrak{hol}^{n, \mathfrak{u}, \psi_1, k_1, l_1}$ or $\mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \psi_1, k_1, l_1, r_1}$. The lemma is proved. $\square$

Now we have to consider only the Lie algebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ and $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}_1}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \varphi}$, where $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus \mathbb{R}(J_m - \frac{m}{n+2}J_n) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(m+1, \dots, n)$. Lemma 3.2.3 yields that if any of these Lie algebras is a Berger algebra, then $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus \mathbb{R}(J_m - \frac{m}{n+2}J_n)$.

Let us consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{m, \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}_1}$, where $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus \mathbb{R}(J_m - \frac{m}{n+2}J_n)$. Obviously, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a holonomy algebra of the form $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \mathcal{A}_1, \phi}$, where $\mathfrak{u} = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{u}(m)} \mathfrak{h}$ and $\phi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the linear map given by $\phi : \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \mapsto -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } C$. Consider a Lie algebra of the form $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$, where $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero linear map. We have $\xi|_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \xi|_{\mathfrak{h}' \times (\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}^1, \dots, \mathcal{N}^m + C)} = 0$, i.e. ξ can be considered as a linear map $\xi : \mathcal{A}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $\xi|_{\mathcal{A}^1} \neq 0$ and $\xi|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})} = 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ is a holonomy algebra of the form $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \varphi, \phi}$ or $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \lambda}$. Suppose that $\xi|_{\mathcal{A}^1} = 0$ and let $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ be an element such that $\xi(A) \neq 0$ and ξ is zero on the orthogonal complement to $\mathbb{R}A$ in $\mathfrak{h}$. Consider the decomposition $A = A_1 + a(J_m - \frac{m}{n+2}J_n)$, where $A_1 \in \mathfrak{su}(m)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. If $A_1 \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ is a holonomy algebra of the form $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \mathfrak{u}, \mathcal{A}_1, \phi}$. Suppose that $A_1 = 0$. If

$\xi(J_m - \frac{m}{n+2}J_n) = -J$, then $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ is a holonomy algebra of the form $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,A^1,\tilde{A}^2}$, otherwise, then $\mathfrak{g}^\xi$ is a holonomy algebra of the form $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,A^1,\phi}$. Obviously, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}J$ is a holonomy algebra of the form $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,A^1,\tilde{A}^2}$ or $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,A^1,\phi}$.

The case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{m,h,\varphi}$ can be considered in the same way.

Thus the Lie algebras of the theorem exhaust weakly-irreducible Berger subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{<p_1, p_2>}$. The proof of the theorem will follow from Theorem 3.1.2. $\square$

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1.2

Since the coefficients of each our metric g are polynomial functions, the Levi-Civita connection given by g is analytic and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ is generated by the operators

$$R(X, Y)_0, \nabla R(X, Y; Z_1)_0, \nabla^2 R(X, Y; Z_1; Z_2)_0, \dots \in \mathfrak{so}(T_0\mathbb{R}^{2n+4}, g_0),$$

where $\nabla^r R(X, Y; Z_1; \dots; Z_r) = (\nabla_{Z_r} \cdots \nabla_{Z_1} R)(X, Y)$ and $X, Y, Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ are vectors at the point 0.

We consider the case $n > 0$. The proof for the case $n = 0$ can be obtained by simple computations.

First we consider some general metric and find all covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor of this metric. Let $1 \leq n_0 \leq m \leq n$ be integers as in Section 3.1. We will use the following convention about the ranks of the indices

$$\begin{aligned} a, b, c, d &= 1, \dots, 2n+4, & i, j &= 3, \dots, 2n+2, \\ \hat{i}, \hat{j} &= 3, \dots, n_0+2, & \tilde{i}, \tilde{j} &= n_0+3, \dots, m+2, & \check{i}, \check{j} &= m+3, \dots, n+2, \\ \hat{\hat{i}}, \hat{\hat{j}} &= 3, \dots, n_0+2, n+3, \dots, n+n_0+3, \\ \tilde{\tilde{i}}, \tilde{\tilde{j}} &= n_0+3, \dots, m+2, n+n_0+3, \dots, n+m+2, \\ \check{\check{i}}, \check{\check{j}} &= m+3, \dots, n+2, n+m+3, \dots, 2n+2. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the Einstein rule for sums.

We assume that the numbers $B_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}}$ and $C_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}}$ equal $B_{\alpha\hat{j}-2}^{\hat{i}-2}$ and $C_{\alpha\hat{j}-2}^{\hat{i}-2}$, respectively (here $(B_{\alpha j}^i)_{i,j=1}^{n_0}$ and $(C_{\alpha j}^i)_{i,j=1}^{n_0}$ are numbers as in Section 3.1). Define the numbers $A_{\alpha j}^i$ such that $A_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} = B_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}}$, $A_{\alpha\hat{j}+n}^{\hat{i}+n} = B_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}}$, $A_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}+n} = C_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}}$, $A_{\alpha\hat{j}+n}^{\hat{i}} = -C_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}}$, and $A_{\alpha j}^i = 0$ for other i and j , here $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$.

Let $\varphi, \phi : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two linear maps with $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = \phi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$. Let the numbers φ_α and ϕ_α ($N_1 + 1 \leq \alpha \leq N$) be as in Section 3.1. If $\varphi = \phi = 0$, then we set $N_0 = N + 2$ and consider some numbers $\varphi_{N+1}, \varphi_{N+2}, \phi_{N+1}, \phi_{N+2}$. If $\varphi = 0$ and $\phi \neq 0$, then we set $N_0 = N + 1$, $\phi_{N+1} = 0$ and consider a number φ_{N+1} . If $\varphi \neq 0$ and $\phi = 0$, then we set $N_0 = N + 1$, $\varphi_{N+1} = 0$ and consider a number ϕ_{N+1} . If $\varphi \neq 0$ and $\phi \neq 0$, then we set $N_0 = N$. Thus we get some numbers $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha=N_1+1}^{N_0}$ and $(\phi_\alpha)_{\alpha=N_1+1}^{N_0}$. Consider the following polynomials

$$\hat{\varphi}(x^{2n+3}) = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^{N_0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \varphi_\alpha (x^{2n+3})^\alpha \text{ and } \hat{\phi}(x^{2n+3}) = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^{N_0} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \phi_\alpha (x^{2n+3})^\alpha.$$

Consider the metric g given by (14) with the functions

$$f_i = f_i^\varphi + f_i^\phi + \hat{f}_i(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3}) + \tilde{f}_{i n_0+1}^m + \check{f}_{i N_0+1 m+1}^n \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

where $\tilde{f}_{i n_0+1}^m$ and $\check{f}_{i N_0+1 m+1}^n$ are functions as in Section 3.1, f_i^φ and f_i^ϕ are functions defined as in Section 3.1 using N_0 instead of N , and $\hat{f}_i(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3})$ are some functions, $\hat{f}_3(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3}) = 0$.

We assume that $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = f_3(0) = 0$, then $g_0 = \eta$ and we can identify the tangent space to $\mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$ at 0 with the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_0 = p_1$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}|_0 = p_2$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}|_0 = e_1, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+2}}|_0 = e_n$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}|_0 = f_1, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+2}}|_0 = f_n$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+3}}|_0 = q_1$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+4}}|_0 = q_2$. For the non-zero Christoffel symbols of the metric g we have

$$\Gamma_{1 2n+3}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^1}, \quad \Gamma_{1 2n+4}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^1}, \quad \Gamma_{2 2n+3}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^2}, \quad \Gamma_{2 2n+4}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2}, \quad \Gamma_{i 2n+3}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^i}, \quad (29)$$

$$\Gamma_{i 2n+4}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{2n+3}} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^i} \right), \quad \Gamma_{2n+3 2n+3}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^{2n+3}} + f_3 \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^2} + f_1 \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^1} \right), \quad (30)$$

$$\Gamma_{2n+3 2n+4}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(f_3 \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2} + f_1 \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^1} \right), \quad \Gamma_{2n+4 2n+4}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^{2n+3}} + f_3 \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2} + f_1 \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^1} \right), \quad (31)$$

$$\Gamma_{1 2n+3}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^1}, \quad \Gamma_{1 2n+4}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^1}, \quad \Gamma_{2 2n+3}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2}, \quad \Gamma_{2 2n+4}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2}, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right), \quad (32)$$

$$\Gamma_{i 2n+3}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{2n+3}} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^i} \right), \quad \Gamma_{i 2n+4}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=3}^{2n+2} u^j \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^i} \right), \quad (33)$$

$$\Gamma_{2n+3 2n+3}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^{2n+3}} + \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} u^i \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^i} + \left(f_2 - \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} (u^i)^2 \right) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^2} + f_3 \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^1} \right), \quad (34)$$

$$\Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+4}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} u^i \left(-\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{2n+3}} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^i} \right) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^{2n+3}} + \left(f_2 - \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} (u^i)^2 \right) \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2} + f_3 \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^1} \right), \quad (35)$$

$$\Gamma_{2n+4\ 2n+4}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} u^i \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^i} + \left(f_2 - \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} (u^i)^2 \right) \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2} + f_3 \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^1} \right), \quad \Gamma_{j\ 2n+4}^i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right), \quad (36)$$

$$\Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+3}^i = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^i} + u^i \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^2} \right), \quad \Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+4}^i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{2n+3}} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^i} + u^i \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2} \right), \quad (37)$$

$$\Gamma_{2n+4\ 2n+4}^i = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^i} + u^i \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2} \right), \quad \Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+3}^{2n+3} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^1}, \quad \Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+4}^{2n+3} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^1}, \quad (38)$$

$$\Gamma_{2n+4\ 2n+4}^{2n+3} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^1}, \quad \Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+3}^{2n+4} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^2}, \quad \Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+4}^{2n+4} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2}, \quad \Gamma_{2n+4\ 2n+4}^{2n+4} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2}. \quad (39)$$

Note that if $a \notin \{1, 2\}$, then $\Gamma_{1b}^a = \Gamma_{2b}^a = 0$. This means that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ of the metric g at the point 0 preserves the vector subspace $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} = T_0\mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$, hence $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ is contained in $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)_{<p_1, p_2>}$, where

$$\mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)_{<p_1, p_2>} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & -X^t & 0 & -c \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & -Y^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & X & Y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_{11} & -a_{21} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_{12} & -a_{22} \end{array} \right) \mid \begin{array}{l} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2), \\ c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \\ A \in \mathfrak{so}(2n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

In particular, it is enough to compute the following components of the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor: $R_{bcd;a_1;a_2;\dots}^a$, $R_{icd;a_1;a_2;\dots}^a$, $R_{jcd;a_1;a_2;\dots}^i$, where $a, b \in \{1, 2\}$ and $3 \leq i, j \leq 2n+2$. We have

$$R_{1\ 2n+3\ 2n+4}^1 = R_{2\ 2n+3\ 2n+4}^2 = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^{N_0} \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} \varphi_\alpha (x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1},$$

$$R_{1ab}^1 = R_{2ab}^2 = 0 \text{ if } \{a\} \cup \{b\} \neq \{2n+3, 2n+4\}, \quad (40)$$

$$R_{1\ 2n+3\ 2n+4}^2 = -R_{2\ 2n+3\ 2n+4}^1 = \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^{N_0} \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} \phi_\alpha (x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1},$$

$$R_{1ab}^2 = R_{2ab}^1 = 0 \text{ if } \{a\} \cup \{b\} \neq \{2n+3, 2n+4\}, \quad (41)$$

$$R_{ij\ 2n+3}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^2}, \quad (42)$$

$$R_{ij\ 2n+4}^1 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial x^j \partial x^{2n+3}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2}, \quad (43)$$

$$R_{ij\ 2n+3}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u^j}{\partial x^i \partial x^{2n+3}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2}, \quad (44)$$

$$R_{ij\ 2n+4}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i_1=3}^{2n+2} \left(\frac{\partial u^{i_1}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{i_1}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^{i_1}} - \frac{\partial u^{i_1}}{\partial x^j} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1=3}^{2n+2} u^{i_1} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial x^{i_1} \partial x^j} - \frac{\partial^2 u^{i_1}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2}, \quad (45)$$

$$R_{i2n+32n+4}^1 = \frac{1}{4} \left(-2 \frac{\partial^2 u^j}{(\partial x^{2n+3})^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial x^i \partial x^{2n+3}} - \sum_{j=3}^{2n+2} \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^j} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\sum_{j=3}^{2n+2} u^j \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^2} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{2n+3}} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{2n+3}} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^1} \right), \quad (46)$$

$$R_{i2n+32n+4}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(2 \sum_{j=3}^{2n+2} u^j \left(\frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial x^j \partial x^{2n+3}} - \frac{\partial^2 u^j}{\partial x^i \partial x^{2n+3}} \right) + \sum_{j=3}^{2n+2} \left(\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^{2n+3}} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^j} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x^i \partial x^{2n+3}} - \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{2n+3}} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^{2n+3}} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x^1} \right), \quad (47)$$

$$R_{j2n+32n+4}^i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} A_{\alpha j}^i (x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1}, \quad (48)$$

$$R_{j2n+32n+4}^{\check{i}} = -R_{i2n+32n+4}^{\check{j}} = \delta_{\check{i}}^{\check{j}+n} \sum_{\alpha=N_1+1}^{N_0} \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} \phi_{\alpha} (x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1}, \quad R_{j2n+32n+4}^{\check{i}} = R_{j2n+32n+4}^{\check{i}} = 0, \quad (49)$$

$$R_{jab}^i = 0 \text{ if } \{a\} \cup \{b\} \neq \{2n+3, 2n+4\}, \quad (50)$$

$$R_{j2n+32n+4}^i = 0 \text{ if } i, j \notin \{3, \dots, n_0+2, n+3, \dots, n+n_0+2\} \text{ or } i, j \notin \{m+3, \dots, n+2, n+m+3, \dots, 2n+2\}. \quad (51)$$

Specifically,

$$R_{i\tilde{j}2n+3}^1 = R_{n+\tilde{i}\tilde{j}2n+3}^2 = \delta_{i\tilde{j}}, \quad R_{n+\tilde{i}\tilde{j}2n+3}^1 = -R_{i\tilde{j}2n+3}^2 = 0, \quad (52)$$

$$R_{i\tilde{j}2n+4}^1 = R_{n+\tilde{i}\tilde{j}2n+4}^2 = 0, \quad R_{n+\tilde{i}\tilde{j}2n+4}^1 = -R_{i\tilde{j}2n+4}^2 = -\delta_{i\tilde{j}}, \quad (53)$$

$$R_{iab}^1 = R_{iab}^2 = 0, \text{ if } \{a\} \cup \{b\} \not\subset \{\tilde{j}, 2n+3\} \cup \{\tilde{j}, 2n+4\} \text{ for some } \tilde{j}, \quad (54)$$

$$R_{i2n+32n+4}^1 = R_{n+\check{i}2n+32n+4}^2 = \sum_{\check{j}=m+1}^n \frac{1}{(N_0 + \check{j} - m - 1)!} (x^{2n+2})^{N_0 + \check{j} - m - 1}, \quad (55)$$

$$R_{n+\check{i}2n+32n+4}^1 = R_{i2n+32n+4}^2 = 0, \quad R_{iab}^1 = R_{iab}^2 = 0 \text{ if } \{a\} \cup \{b\} \neq \{2n+3, 2n+4\}. \quad (56)$$

We also wish to have

$$R_{iab}^1 = R_{n+\hat{i}ab}^2 \text{ and } R_{n+\hat{i}ab}^1 = -R_{iab}^2. \quad (57)$$

The computations shows that these equalities hold if we choose

$$\hat{f}_i = f_i^0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (58)$$

where the functions f_i^0 are as in Section 3.1. In particular,

$$R_{i\hat{j}2n+4}^1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} A_{\alpha\hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} (x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-1}. \quad (59)$$

Thus,

$$R_{iab}^1 = R_{n+iab}^2 \text{ and } R_{n+iab}^1 = -R_{iab}^2, \text{ where } 3 \leq i \leq n+2 \quad (60)$$

To compute the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor we will need the following Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_{1b}^a = \Gamma_{2b}^a = 0 \text{ if } a \notin \{1, 2\}, \quad \Gamma_{ia}^{2n+3} = \Gamma_{ia}^{2n+4} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{jj_1}^i = \Gamma_{j\ 2n+3}^i = 0, \quad (61)$$

$$\Gamma_{j\ 2n+3}^{\hat{i}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} A_{\alpha j}^{\hat{i}} (x^{2n+3})^\alpha, \quad \Gamma_{j\ 2n+3}^{\check{i}} = -\Gamma_{i\ 2n+3}^{\check{j}} = \delta_{\check{j}}^{\check{i}+n} \hat{\phi}, \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+3}^{2n+3} &= -\Gamma_{2n+4\ 2n+4}^{2n+3} = \Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+4}^{2n+4} = \hat{\phi}, \\ &\quad -\Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+4}^{2n+3} = \Gamma_{2n+3\ 2n+3}^{2n+4} = -\Gamma_{2n+4\ 2n+4}^{2n+4} = \hat{\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Lemma 3.3.1. *We have*

- 1) $R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^{\hat{i}} = \sum_{t \in T_{aba_1\dots a_r}} z_t A_{tj}^{\hat{i}}$, where $T_{aba_1\dots a_r}$ is a finite set of indices, z_t are functions and $A_t \in \mathfrak{u}$;
- 2) If $\varphi \neq 0$, then $R_{1ab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^1 = R_{2ab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^2 = \sum_{t \in T_{aba_1\dots a_r}} z_t \varphi(A_t)$;
If $\varphi = 0$, then $R_{1ab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^1 = R_{2ab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^2$ and this equals 0 for $0 \leq r \leq N-1$;
- 3) If $\phi \neq 0$, then $R_{1ab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^2 = -R_{2ab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^1 = \sum_{t \in T_{aba_1\dots a_r}} z_t \phi(A_t)$, $R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^{\check{i}} = -R_{iab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^{\check{j}} = \delta_{\check{j}}^{\check{i}+n} \sum_{t \in T_{aba_1\dots a_r}} z_t \phi(A_t)$;
If $\phi = 0$, then $R_{1ab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^2 = -R_{2ab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^1$, $R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^{\check{i}} = -R_{iab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^{\check{j}}$ and these components equals 0 for $0 \leq r \leq N-1$;
- 4) $R_{iab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^1 = R_{n+iab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^2$ and $R_{n+iab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^1 = -R_{iab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^2$, where $3 \leq i \leq n+2$;
- 5) $R_{n+iab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^1 = R_{iab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^2 = 0$;
- 6) $R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^i = 0$ if $i, j \notin \{3, \dots, n_0+2, n+3, \dots, n+n_0+2\}$ or $i, j \notin \{m+3, \dots, n+2, n+m+3, \dots, 2n+2\}$.

Proof. The lemma can be easily proved using the induction and equalities (40), (41), (48–51), (56) and (60).

For example, let us prove Part 1) and Part 2) of the lemma for $a_r = 2n+4$. Let $r \geq 1$. Suppose that the lemma is true for all $s < r$. Let $a_r = 2n+4$. Suppose that $\varphi \neq 0$.

We have

$$R_{jbc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1};2n+4}^i = \frac{\partial R_{jbc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i}{\partial x^{2n+4}} + \Gamma_{a\ 2n+4}^i R_{jbc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^a - \Gamma_{j\ 2n+4}^a R_{abc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i - \Gamma_{b\ 2n+4}^a R_{jac;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i - \Gamma_{c\ 2n+4}^a R_{jba;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i - \Gamma_{a_1\ 2n+4}^a R_{jbc;a;a_2;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i - \dots - \Gamma_{a_{r-1}\ 2n+4}^a R_{jbc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-2};a}^i.$$

Since $\mathfrak{hol}_0 \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)_{<p_1, p_2>}$, we have $R_{jbc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^{2n+3} = R_{jbc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^{2n+4} = R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i = R_{2bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i = 0$. Using this, (61), (62) and the induction hypotheses, we get

$$R_{jbc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1};2n+4}^i = \sum_{t \in T_{bca_1 \dots a_{r-1}}} \frac{\partial z_t}{\partial x^{2n+4}} A_{tj}^i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{t \in T_{bca_1 \dots a_r}} (x^{2n+3})^\alpha z_t [A_\alpha, A_t]_j^i - \sum_{a=1}^{2n+4} \sum_{t \in T_{ac;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}} \Gamma_{b\ 2n+4}^a z_t A_{tj}^i - \sum_{a=1}^{2n+4} \sum_{t \in T_{ba;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}} \Gamma_{c\ 2n+4}^a z_t A_{tj}^i - \sum_{a=1}^{2n+4} \sum_{t \in T_{bc;a;a_2;\dots;a_{r-1}}} \Gamma_{a_1\ 2n+4}^a z_t A_{tj}^i - \dots - \sum_{a=1}^{2n+4} \sum_{t \in T_{bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-2};a}} \Gamma_{a_{r-1}\ 2n+4}^a z_t A_{tj}^i.$$

We also have

$$R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1};2n+4}^1 = \frac{\partial R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^1}{\partial x^{2n+4}} + \Gamma_{a\ 2n+4}^1 R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^a - \Gamma_{1\ 2n+4}^a R_{abc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^1 - \Gamma_{b\ 2n+4}^a R_{1ac;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^1 - \Gamma_{c\ 2n+4}^a R_{1ba;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^1 - \Gamma_{a_1\ 2n+4}^a R_{1bc;a;a_2;\dots;a_{r-1}}^1 - \dots - \Gamma_{a_{r-1}\ 2n+4}^a R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-2};a}^1.$$

Since $\mathfrak{hol}_0 \mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)_{<p_1, p_2>}$, we see that $R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^a = \Gamma_{1\ 2n+4}^a = 0$ if $a \notin \{1, 2\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{a\ 2n+4}^1 R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^a - \Gamma_{1\ 2n+4}^a R_{abc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^1 \\ &= \Gamma_{2\ 2n+4}^1 R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^2 - \Gamma_{1\ 2n+4}^2 R_{2bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^1 \\ &= (\Gamma_{2\ 2n+4}^1 + \Gamma_{1\ 2n+4}^2) R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Statement 3) for $r-1$ and the fact that $\Gamma_{2\ 2n+4}^1 + \Gamma_{1\ 2n+4}^2 = 0$. Thus,

$$R_{1bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1};2n+4}^1 = \sum_{t \in T_{bca_1 \dots a_{r-1}}} \frac{\partial z_t}{\partial x^{2n+4}} \varphi(A_t) - \sum_{a=1}^{2n+4} \sum_{t \in T_{ac;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}} \Gamma_{b\ 2n+4}^a z_t \varphi(A_t) - \sum_{a=1}^{2n+4} \sum_{t \in T_{ba;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}} \Gamma_{c\ 2n+4}^a z_t \varphi(A_t) - \sum_{a=1}^{2n+4} \sum_{t \in T_{bc;a;a_2;\dots;a_{r-1}}} \Gamma_{a_1\ 2n+4}^a z_t \varphi(A_t) - \dots - \sum_{a=1}^{2n+4} \sum_{t \in T_{bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-2};a}} \Gamma_{a_{r-1}\ 2n+4}^a z_t \varphi(A_t).$$

In the same way we can compute $R_{2bc;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1};2n+4}^2$. Now the statement follows from the induction hypotheses and the fact that $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{u}'} = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3.2. *If one of the numbers $a, b, a_1, \dots, a_r$ belongs to the set $\{1, \dots, 2n+2\}$, then*

$$R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_r}^i = 0.$$

Proof. To prove the lemma it is enough to prove the following 3 statements

- 1) If $1 \leq c \leq 2n+2$, then $\frac{\partial R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i}{\partial x^c} = 0$;
- 2) If $1 \leq c \leq 2n+2$, then $R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1};c}^i = 0$;
- 3) If for fixed $a, b, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}$ (and for all $1 \leq i, j \leq j$) we have $R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1}}^i = 0$, then $R_{jab;a_1;\dots;a_{r-1};a_r}^i = 0$. These statements can be proved using the induction, (48) and (61). $\square$

Lemma 3.3.3. *For all $0 \leq r \leq N-1$ we have*

$$R_{j \ 2n+3 \ 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}}(0) = A_{r+1 \ j}^{\hat{i}} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \mu_{r\alpha} A_{\alpha j}^{\hat{i}},$$

where $\mu_{r\alpha}$ are some numbers.

Proof. We will prove the following three statements

- 1) If $0 \leq r \leq N_1 - 1$, then

$$R_{j \ 2n+3 \ 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} = \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha-r-1)!} A_{\alpha j}^{\hat{i}} (x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-r-1} + \sum_{\beta=1}^N H_{\beta}(x^{2n+3}) A_{\beta j}^{\hat{i}},$$

where $H_{\beta}(x^{2n+3})$ are polynomials of x^{2n+3} such that $H_{\beta}(0) = H'_{\beta}(0) = \dots = H_{\beta}^{(N_1-r)}(0) = 0$;

- 2) For all $0 \leq r \leq N-1$ we have

$$R_{j \ 2n+3 \ 2n+4; a_1; \dots; a_r}^{\hat{i}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r+1} G_{\alpha}(x^{2n+3}) A_{\alpha j}^{\hat{i}} + \sum_{\alpha=r+2}^N F_{\alpha}(x^{2n+3}) A_{\alpha j}^{\hat{i}},$$

where $G_{\alpha}(x^{2n+3})$ and $F_{\alpha}(x^{2n+3})$ are polynomials of x^{2n+3} such that $F_{r+2}(0) = 0$, $F_{r+3}(0) = F'_{r+3}(0) = 0, \dots, F_N(0) = F'_N(0) = \dots = F_N^{(N-r-2)}(0) = 0$;

- 3) If $N_1 \leq r \leq N-1$, then

$$R_{j \ 2n+3 \ 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} = \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha-r-1)!} A_{\alpha j}^{\hat{i}} (x^{2n+3})^{\alpha-r-1} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_1} W_{\beta}(x^{2n+3}) A_{\beta j}^{\hat{i}} + \sum_{\gamma=N_1+1}^N Q_{\gamma}(x^{2n+3}) A_{\gamma j}^{\hat{i}},$$

where $W_{\beta}(x^{2n+3})$ and $Q_{\gamma}(x^{2n+3})$ polynomials of x^{2n+3} such that $Q_{r+1}(0) = 0$, $Q_{r+2}(0) = Q'_{r+2}(0) = 0, \dots, Q_N(0) = Q'_N(0) = \dots = Q_N^{(N-r-1)}(0) = 0$.

Let us prove Statement 1). For $r = 0$ the statement follows from (48). Let $r > 0$ and suppose that Statement 1) holds for all $s < r$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} &= \\
&\frac{\partial R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-1 \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}}}{\partial x^{2n+3}} + \Gamma_{a \hat{2n+3}}^{\hat{i}} R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-1 \text{ times})}^a \\
&- \Gamma_{j \hat{2n+3}}^a R_{a \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-1 \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} \\
&- \Gamma_{\hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+3}}^a R_{j \hat{a} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-1 \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} - \Gamma_{\hat{2n+4} \hat{2n+3}}^a R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{a}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-1 \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} \\
&- \Gamma_{\hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+3}}^a R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{a}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-2 \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} \\
&- \dots - \Gamma_{\hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+3}}^a R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-2 \text{ times}); \hat{a}}^{\hat{i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.3.2, (61) and (63) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} &= \\
&\frac{\partial R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-1 \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}}}{\partial x^{2n+3}} - (r+1) \hat{\varphi}(x^{2n+3}) R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-1 \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} \\
&- \hat{\varphi}(x^{2n+3}) (R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-2 \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}} \\
&+ \dots + R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r-2 \text{ times}); \hat{2n+4}}^{\hat{i}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Statement 1) follows from the induction hypotheses and the fact that $\hat{\varphi}(0) = \hat{\varphi}'(0) = \dots = \hat{\varphi}^{(N_1-1)}(0) = 0$.

Statement 2) can be proved by analogy (by Lemma 3.3.2 we may consider only $a_r = 2n+3$ and $2n+4$). Statement 3) can be proved using (64) and Statement 2).

From Statement 1) it follows that for $0 \leq r \leq N_1 - 1$ we have

$$R_{j \hat{2n+3} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r \text{ times})}^{\hat{i}}(0) = A_{r+1 \hat{j}}^{\hat{i}}.$$

The end of the proof of the lemma follows from this and Statement 3). $\square$

From Statement 1) of Lemma 3.3.1 and Lemma 3.3.3 it follows that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(2m)} \mathfrak{hol}_0 = \mathfrak{u}$.

Lemma 3.3.4. *For all $0 \leq r \leq N - 1$ we have*

$$R_{i \hat{j} \hat{2n+4}; \hat{2n+3}; \dots; \hat{2n+3}(r \text{ times})}^1(0) = A_{r+1 \hat{j}}^{\hat{i}} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \nu_{r\alpha} A_{\alpha \hat{j}}^{\hat{i}},$$

where $\nu_{r\alpha}$ are some numbers.

Proof. The lemma can be proved by analogy to the proof of Lemma 3.3.3 using (59). $\square$

Using (55), we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(r\ \text{times})}^1(0) &= R_{n+\check{i}\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(r\ \text{times})}^2(0) \\ &= \delta_{i\ r-N_0+m+3} \text{ for all } N_0 \leq r \leq N_0 + n - m - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\mathcal{A}^1,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$. We take $\varphi = \phi = 0$, $N_0 = N + 2$, $\varphi_{N+1} = 1$, $\varphi_{N+2} = 0$, $\phi_{N+1} = 0$ and $\phi_{N+2} = 1$. Then the above metric coincides with the metric from Table 3.1.2 for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\mathcal{A}^1,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$. From Lemma 3.3.1 it follows that $\mathfrak{hol}_0 \subset \mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\mathcal{A}^1,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$. From Lemma 3.3.3 it follows that $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{hol}_0$. From (52), (53), (65), Lemma 3.3.4 and the fact that $\mathfrak{u}$ does not annihilate any proper subspace of $E_{1,\dots,n_0}$ it follows that $\mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{N}^2 \subset \mathfrak{hol}_0$. It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+1\ \text{times})}^1(0) &= R_{2\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+1\ \text{times})}^2(0) = 1, \\ R_{1\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+1\ \text{times})}^2(0) &= R_{2\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+1\ \text{times})}^1(0) = 0, \\ R_{1\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+2\ \text{times})}^1(0) &= R_{2\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+2\ \text{times})}^2(0) = 0, \\ R_{1\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+2\ \text{times})}^2(0) &= -R_{2\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+2\ \text{times})}^1(0) = 1, \\ R_{j\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+2\ \text{times})}^{\check{i}}(0) &= -R_{i\ 2n+3\ 2n+4;2n+3;\dots;2n+3(N+2\ \text{times})}^{\check{j}}(0) = \delta_{j\ \check{i}}^{\check{i}+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{A}^1 + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2 \subset \mathfrak{hol}_0$. The inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{hol}_0$ is obvious. Thus, $\mathfrak{hol}_0 = \mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\mathcal{A}^1,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$.

The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\mathcal{A}^1,\phi}$, $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\varphi,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2}$, $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\varphi,\phi}$ and $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\lambda}$, can be considered in the same way.

Now we are left with the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l}$ and $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l,r}$. For them we can use the following lemma. Let $\bar{i} = n_0 + 3, \dots, n + 2, n + n_0 + 3, \dots, 2n + 2$.

Lemma 3.3.5. *Consider the following metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$*

$$\begin{aligned} g &= 2dx^1 dx^{2n+3} + 2dx^2 dx^{2n+4} + \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} (dx^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} u^i(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3}) dx^i dx^{2n+4} \\ &\quad + (\hat{f}_1(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3}) + \bar{f}_1(x^{\bar{i}}, x^{2n+3}))(dx^{2n+3})^2 + (\hat{f}_2(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3}) + \bar{f}_2(x^{\bar{i}}, x^{2n+3}))(dx^{2n+4})^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\hat{f}_3(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3}) + \bar{f}_3(x^{\bar{i}}, x^{2n+3}))dx^{2n+3} dx^{2n+4}, \\ g_1 &= 2dx^1 dx^{2n+3} + 2dx^2 dx^{2n+4} + \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} (dx^i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} u^i(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3}) dx^i dx^{2n+4} \\ &\quad + \hat{f}_1(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3})(dx^{2n+3})^2 + \hat{f}_2(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3})(dx^{2n+4})^2 + 2\hat{f}_3(x^{\hat{i}}, x^{2n+3})dx^{2n+3} dx^{2n+4}, \\ g_2 &= 2dx^1 dx^{2n+3} + 2dx^2 dx^{2n+4} + \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} (dx^i)^2 \\ &\quad + \bar{f}_1(x^{\bar{i}}, x^{2n+3})(dx^{2n+3})^2 + \bar{f}_2(x^{\bar{i}}, x^{2n+3})(dx^{2n+4})^2 + 2\bar{f}_3(x^{\bar{i}}, x^{2n+3})dx^{2n+3} dx^{2n+4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let R , $\hat{R}$ and $\bar{R}$ be the corresponding curvature tensors, then $R = \hat{R} + \bar{R}$.

Proof. Using equalities (29) – (39), it is easy to see that for the corresponding Christoffel symbols we have $\Gamma_{bc}^a = \hat{\Gamma}_{bc}^a + \bar{\Gamma}_{bc}^a$, $\hat{\Gamma}_{bc}^a \bar{\Gamma}_{ab_1}^{a_1} = 0$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{bc}^a \hat{\Gamma}_{ab_1}^{a_1} = 0$. The proof of the lemma follows from the formula for the curvature tensor. $\square$

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l}$. We have $f_i = f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{i n_0+1}^k + f_i^{n,\psi} + \check{f}_{i l+1}^{N+1 n}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). From (29) and (32) it follows that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ annihilates the vectors p_1 and p_2 .

By Lemma 3.3.5, it is enough to compute the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor $\hat{R}$ of the metric g_1 with $f_i = f_i^0 + \tilde{f}_{i n_0+1}^k$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of the metric g_2 with $f_i = f_i^{n,\psi} + \check{f}_{i l+1}^{N+1 n}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Consider the curvature tensor $\hat{R}$. Set $\varphi = \phi = 0$ and $N_0 = N$, then we can use the above computations.

As in Lemma 3.3.3, we can show that for all $0 \leq r \leq N - 1$ it holds

$$\hat{R}_{j 2n+3 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^i(0) = A_{r+1 j}^i,$$

and $\hat{R}_{j 2n+3 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^i(0) = 0$ for $r \geq N$.

From (63) and proof of Lemma 3.3.1 it follows that

$$\hat{R}_{jbc; a_1; \dots; a_{r-1}; 2n+4}^i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha!} (x^{2n+3})^\alpha [A_\alpha, \hat{R}(b, c; a_1; \dots; a_{r-1})]_j^i.$$

Hence if at least one of the numbers $a_1, \dots, a_r$ equals $2n + 4$, then $\hat{R}(b, c; a_1; \dots; a_r) \in \mathfrak{u}'$.

We can use also Lemma 3.3.2, (52) and (53).

For the curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ we have $\bar{R}_{jbc; a_1; \dots; a_r}^i = 0$. Let $3 \leq i \leq n + 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{i 2n+3 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^1(0) &= \bar{R}_{i+n 2n+3 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^2(0) \\ &= \begin{cases} -\psi_{1 r+1 i}, & \text{if } k+3 \leq i \leq l+2 \text{ and } N_1 \leq r \leq N-1, \\ 1, & \text{if } l+3 \leq i \leq n+2 \text{ and } r = N+i-l-3, \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{i+n 2n+3 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^1(0) &= -\bar{R}_{i 2n+3 2n+4; 2n+3; \dots; 2n+3(r \text{ times})}^2(0) \\ &= \begin{cases} \psi_{2 r+1 i}, & \text{if } k+3 \leq i \leq l+2 \text{ and } N_1 \leq r \leq N-1, \\ \psi_{3 r+1 i}, & \text{if } l+3 \leq i \leq n+2 \text{ and } N_1 \leq r \leq N-1, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It can be also proved that $\bar{R}_{bc;a_1;\dots;a_r}^1 = \bar{R}_{bc;a_1;\dots;a_r}^2 = 0$, if $\{b\} \cup \{c\} \neq \{2n+3, 2n+4\}$ or $\{a_1\} \cup \dots \cup \{a_r\} \neq \{2n+3\}$.

Now it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{hol}_0 = \mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l,r}$ can be considered in the same way.

The theorem is proved. $\square$.

Chapter IV. Applications and examples

In this chapter we consider some examples and applications. In Section 4.1 we give examples of real 4-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant pseudo-Kählerian metrics and find their holonomy algebras. In Section 4.2 we use our classification of holonomy algebras to give a new proof for the classification of simply connected pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2 with weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras. Finally in Section 4.3 we consider time-like cones over Lorentzian Sasaki manifolds. These cones are pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2. We describe the local Wu decomposition of the cone in terms of the initial Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and we find all possible weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras of the cones.

4.1 Examples of 4-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant pseudo-Kählerian metrics

Let G be a Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric g and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G . We will consider $\mathfrak{g}$ as the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on G and as the tangent space at the identity $e \in G$. Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since X, Y, Z and g are left-invariant, from the Koszul formulae it follows that the Levi-Civita connection on (G, g) is given by

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = g([X, Y], Z) + g([Z, X], Y) + g(X, [Z, Y]), \quad (66)$$

where $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, we see that the vector field $\nabla_X Y$ is also left-invariant. Hence ∇_X can be considered as the linear operator $\nabla_X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Obviously, $\nabla_X \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}, g)$. For the curvature tensor R of (G, g) at the point $e \in G$ we have

$$R(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}, \quad (67)$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. From Theorem 1.1.7 and the expressions for the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor it follows that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_e$ at the point $e \in G$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{hol}_e = \mathfrak{m}_0 + [\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_0] + [\mathfrak{m}_1, [\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_0]] + \cdots, \quad (68)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 = \text{span}\{R(X, Y) | X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_1 = \text{span}\{\nabla_X | X \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Now we consider 4-dimensional Lie algebras with the basis p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 and with the metric that has the Gram matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to this basis. Define the following Lie algebras by giving their non-zero brackets:

$$\mathfrak{g}_1: [p_1, q_1] = p_1 + q_2, \quad [p_1, q_2] = -p_2 - q_1, \quad [p_2, q_1] = p_2 + q_1, \quad [p_2, q_2] = p_1 + q_2;$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_2: [p_1, q_2] = p_1, \quad [p_2, q_1] = -p_1, \quad [q_1, q_2] = p_1 + q_1.$$

Example 4.1.1. *The holonomy algebras of the Levi-Civita connections on the simply connected Lie groups corresponding to the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1$, $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathfrak{g}_3$ are given in the following table:*

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{hol}_e$
$\mathfrak{g}_1$	$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2$
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=1}$

Proof. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$. From (66) it follows that

$$\nabla_{p_1} = \nabla_{q_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla_{p_2} = \nabla_{q_1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this and (67) it follows that $R(p_1, p_2) = R(q_1, q_2) = 0$,

$$R(p_1, q_1) = R(p_2, q_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(p_1, q_2) = R(q_1, p_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The statement for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$ follows from (68).

Similarly, for $\mathfrak{g}_2$ we have

$$\nabla_{p_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla_{q_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla_{p_2} = \nabla_{q_2} = 0$$

and $R(p_1, p_2) = R(p_1, q_1) = R(p_2, q_2) = 0$,

$$R(p_1, q_2) = -R(p_2, q_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(q_1, q_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\mathfrak{g}_3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{p_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma & -\gamma & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma & -\gamma & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & -\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, & \nabla_{p_2} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma & \gamma & 0 & 1 \\ -\gamma & -\gamma & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \\ \nabla_{q_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma+1 & 0 & -1 \\ -\gamma-1 & \gamma & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma & \gamma+1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma-1 & -\gamma \end{pmatrix}, & \nabla_{q_2} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma & \gamma+1 & 0 & 1 \\ -\gamma-1 & -\gamma & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & \gamma+1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma-1 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

and $R(p_1, p_2) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}R(p_1, q_1) &= R(p_2, q_2) = \gamma R(q_1, q_2) = 2\gamma(1 + 2\gamma) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ R(p_1, q_2) &= -R(p_2, q_1) = 2(1 + 2\gamma) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & \gamma & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved. $\square$

4.2 Pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2

In this section we use our classification of holonomy algebras to give a new proof for the classification of simply connected pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2 with weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras. This classification follows from results of M. Berger [15] and of I. Kath and M. Olbrich [45]. The facts about pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces that we use here can be found in the books [13, 39, 46].

Recall that a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called a *symmetric space* if the geodesic symmetry s_p with respect to any point $p \in M$ is a globally defined isometry. If a pseudo-Kählerian manifold is a symmetric space, then it is called a *pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces*. A pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space is geodesically complete and its curvature tensor is parallel, i.e. $\nabla R = 0$. Any pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space admits a connected transitive Lie group of isometries G and can be identified with the factor G/K , where $K \subset G$ is the stabilizer of a point $o \in M$. Moreover, there exists

an involutive automorphism $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ such that $S^0 \subset K \subset S$, where S is the set of the fixed points of the automorphism σ and S^0 is the connected identity component of the set S . For the group G can be chosen the group of transvections of (M, g) , i.e. the group $\{s_p \circ s_q | p, q \in M\}$. Conversely, any such triple (G, K, σ) under the condition that the homogeneous space G/K admits a G -invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric g defines the pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space $(G/H, g)$. A symmetric space (M, g) is called *semi-simple* if the group of transvections of (M, g) is semi-simple.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with an involutive automorphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm}$ the eigenspaces of σ corresponding to the eigenvalues ± 1 . We get the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into the direct sum of the vector subspaces

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ + \mathfrak{g}_-.$$

For the Lie brackets of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$[\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_+] \subset \mathfrak{g}_+, \quad [\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-] \subset \mathfrak{g}_-, \quad [\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_-] \subset \mathfrak{g}_+.$$

We see that $\mathfrak{g}_+ \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a subalgebra and $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}_+}|_{\mathfrak{g}_-} : \mathfrak{g}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ is a representation.

Any simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space (M, g) of signature (r, s) is uniquely defined by a triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \eta)$ (*a symmetric triple*), where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, σ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ and η is a non-degenerate $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}_+}$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form of signature (r, s) on the vector space $\mathfrak{g}_-$.

The vector space $\mathfrak{g}_-$ can be identified with the tangent space to M at a fixed point $o \in M$. Then the form η is identified with the form g_o . The curvature tensor R_o of the manifold (M, g) is given by

$$R_o(x, y)z = -[[x, y], z],$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_- = T_o M$. Since any symmetric space is an analytic manifold and $\nabla R = 0$, for the holonomy algebra of the manifold (M, g) at the point $o \in M$ we have

$$\mathfrak{hol} = \text{span}\{R_o(T_o M, T_o M)\} = [\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_-].$$

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ be a subalgebra. The tensorial extension of the representation $\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ defines a representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ in the space of curvature tensors $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h})$ as follows

$$A \cdot R = R_A, \quad R_A(x, y)z = [R(x \wedge y), A] + R(Ax \wedge y) + R(x \wedge Ay),$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{h}$, $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Consider the following space

$$\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{h}) = \{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}) | R_A = 0 \text{ for all } A \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Let (M, g) be a symmetric space and R its curvature tensor. Since $\nabla R = 0$, we have $R_o \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol})$. Conversely, let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ be a subalgebra and $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{h})$. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ with the Lie brackets

$$[x, y] = -R(x, y), \quad [A, x] = Ax, \quad [A, B] = A \circ B - B \circ A,$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and $A, B \in \mathfrak{h}$. Define the involutive automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\sigma(A + x) = A - x$, where $A \in \mathfrak{h}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. We have $\mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Obviously, the pseudo-Euclidian metric η on $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ is ad_{g_+} -invariant. We get the symmetric triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \eta)$ that defines us a simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space of signature (r, s) .

For any symmetric triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \eta)$, consider the new symmetric triple $(\mathfrak{g}_1 = [\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_-] + \mathfrak{g}_-, \sigma|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \eta)$. The simply connected symmetric spaces corresponding to the both triples have at the origins the same metrics and curvature tensors, hence these symmetric spaces are isometric. The holonomy algebras of these symmetric spaces coincide with $\mathfrak{g}_{1+} = [\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_-]$.

Thus any pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space (M, g) of signature (r, s) defines a pair $(\mathfrak{hol}, R)$ (a symmetric pair), where $\mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ is a subalgebra, $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol})$ and we have $\mathfrak{hol} = R(\mathbb{R}^{r,s} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{r,s})$. Conversely, any such pair defines a simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space.

An isomorphism of two symmetric triples $f : (\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \eta_1) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \eta_2)$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ such that $f \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ f$ and the induced map $f : \mathfrak{g}_{1-} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{2-}$ is an isometry of the pseudo-Euclidean spaces $(\mathfrak{g}_{1-}, \eta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_{2-}, \eta_2)$. Isomorphic symmetric triples define isometric pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces. We say that two symmetric pairs are *isomorphic* if they define isomorphic symmetric triples. For a positive real number $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the symmetric triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \eta)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, c\eta)$ define diffeomorphic simply connected symmetric spaces and the metrics of these spaces differ by the factor c .

Let $(\mathfrak{hol}, R)$ be a non-trivial symmetric pair (i.e. $R \neq 0$) and $(\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{hol} + \mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \sigma, \eta)$ be the corresponding symmetric triple. For any positive real number $c \in \mathbb{R}$ consider the symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{hol}, cR)$ and the symmetric triple $(\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{hol} + \mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \sigma, c\eta)$. Obviously, the map $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_c$ given by $f(A + x) = A + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}x$, where $A \in \mathfrak{hol}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, is an isomorphism of the symmetric triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \eta)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \sigma, c\eta)$. Note that the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}, R)$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}, -R)$ can define non-isomorphic symmetric spaces, e.g. in the Riemannian case if $\mathfrak{hol}$ is irreducible.

From the Wu theorem it follows that any simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space is a product of a pseudo-Euclidean space and of simply connected pseudo-

Riemannian symmetric spaces with weakly-irreducible holonomy algebras. Thus the classification problem of simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces is reduced to the case of spaces with weakly-irreducible holonomy algebras. The classification of simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces with irreducible holonomy algebras was obtained by M. Berger in [15]. Thus we are left with the case of symmetric spaces with weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras. From the above it follows that to solve the classification problem for a signature (r, s) we need a classification of weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras $\mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ for each of which there exists an $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol})$ such that $R(\mathbb{R}^{r,s} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{r,s}) = \mathfrak{hol}$. Then each line $L \subset \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol})$ such that for any non-zero $R \in L$ we have $R(\mathbb{R}^{r,s} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{r,s}) = \mathfrak{hol}$ will give us two symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}, R)$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}, -R)$ (where $R \in L$ is fixed). Checking which of these pairs define non-isometric symmetric triples, we will get a classification of simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces of signature (r, s) .

Now consider simply connected pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2. Recall that we have a fixed complex structure J on $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$ and a basis $p_1, p_2, e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n, q_1, q_2$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$ such that the Gram matrix of the pseudo-Euclidian metric η and the matrix of J have the forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_{2n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -E_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$ that preserves the J -invariant 2-dimensional isotropic subspace $\mathbb{R}p_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}p_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$. This Lie algebra can be identified with the following matrix algebra

$$\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle} = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & -z_1^t & -z_2^t & 0 & -c \\ a_2 & a_1 & z_2^t & -z_1^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B & -C & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & C & B & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mid \begin{array}{l} a_1, a_2, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Using the form η , we identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2n+2)$ with the space $\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}$ of bivectors. Then the element of $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ given by the above matrix is identified with the bivector

$$-a_1(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) + a_2(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) + A + p_1 \wedge z_1 + p_2 \wedge Jz_1 + p_1 \wedge Jz_2 - p_2 \wedge z_2 + cp_1 \wedge p_2,$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$.

Now we can formulate the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.2.1. *If $(\mathfrak{hol}, R)$ is a symmetric pair associated with a simply connected pseudo-Kählerian symmetric space of signature $(2, 2n + 2)$ ($n \geq 0$) with weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebra, then $(\mathfrak{hol}, R)$ is isomorphic exactly to one of the symmetric pairs of the following list (we define curvature tensors giving their non-zero values):*

1. $n=0$:

$$(a) \quad \mathfrak{hol}_1 = \mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=0} = \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2 = \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R_{\lambda_5=1}(q_1 \wedge q_2) = p_1 \wedge p_2;$$

$$(b) \quad (\mathfrak{hol}_1, -R_{\lambda_5=1});$$

$$(c) \quad \mathfrak{hol}_{1c} = \mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2 = \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) \oplus \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) \\ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$$R_{\lambda_1=-\frac{1}{2}}(p_1 \wedge q_1) = R_{\lambda_1=-\frac{1}{2}}(p_2 \wedge q_2) = -\frac{1}{2}(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2),$$

$$R_{\lambda_1=-\frac{1}{2}}(p_1 \wedge q_2) = -R_{\lambda_1=-\frac{1}{2}}(p_2 \wedge q_1) = -\frac{1}{2}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1);$$

$$(d) \quad (\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, -2R_{\lambda_1=-\frac{1}{2}});$$

$$(e) \quad \mathfrak{hol}_{1c},$$

$$R_{\lambda_2=1}(p_1 \wedge q_1) = R_{\lambda_2=1}(p_2 \wedge q_2) = p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1,$$

$$R_{\lambda_2=1}(p_1 \wedge q_2) = -R_{\lambda_2=1}(p_2 \wedge q_1) = -(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2);$$

2. $n=1$: $\mathfrak{hol}_2 = \mathfrak{hol}^{m=n-1=0, \{0\}, \varphi=0, \phi=0} = \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge e_1 + p_2 \wedge f_1) \oplus \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -z_1^t & 0 & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -z_1^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| z_1, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$$R_{M_3(1)=e_1}(q_1 \wedge q_2) = p_1 \wedge e_1 + p_2 \wedge f_1,$$

$$R_{M_3(1)=e_1}(q_1 \wedge e_1) = R_{M_3(1)=e_1}(q_2 \wedge f_1) = p_1 \wedge p_2;$$

3. $n \geq 0$: $\mathfrak{hol}_3 = \mathfrak{hol}^{m, \{\mathbb{R}J_m\}, \varphi=0, \phi=2}$

$$= \mathbb{R}(2J - J_m) \ltimes (\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p_1 \wedge e_i + p_2 \wedge f_i, p_1 \wedge f_j - p_2 \wedge e_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, m+1 \leq j \leq$$

$$n\} + \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2) \\ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2a & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & -z_2^t & 0 & 0 & -c \\ 2a & 0 & z_2^t & 0 & -z_1^t & -z_1'^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -aE_m & 0 & z_1 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2aE_{n-m} & z_1' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & aE_m & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2aE_{n-m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} a, c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ z_1' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}, \end{matrix} \right\},$$

where $0 \leq m \leq n$,

$$R = R_1 + R_{\lambda_5}, \quad R_1 = R_{\lambda_3=-2} + R_{S=-\frac{1}{2}J_m},$$

$$R_1(p_1 \wedge q_2) = -R_1(p_2 \wedge q_1) = -2p_1 \wedge p_2,$$

$$R_1(e_i \wedge f_i) = -p_1 \wedge p_2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

$$R_1(e_j \wedge f_j) = -2p_1 \wedge p_2, \quad m+1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$R_1(q_1 \wedge e_i) = R_1(q_2 \wedge f_i) = -\frac{1}{2}(p_1 \wedge e_i + p_2 \wedge f_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

$$R_1(q_2 \wedge e_i) = -R_1(q_1 \wedge f_i) = \frac{1}{2}(p_1 \wedge f_i - p_2 \wedge e_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

$$R_1(q_1 \wedge e_j) = R_1(q_2 \wedge f_j) = -2(p_1 \wedge e_j + p_2 \wedge f_j), \quad m+1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$R_1(q_1 \wedge q_2) = J_m - 2J,$$

$$R_{\lambda_5} = \lambda_5 p_1 \wedge p_2, \quad \text{where } \lambda_5 \in \mathbb{R};$$

4. $n \geq 0$: $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, -R_1 - R_{\lambda_5})$.

Remark. The simply connected symmetric spaces corresponding to the symmetric triples (c), (d) and (e) are semi-simple and they correspond to the spaces $SL(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^*$, $SO(3, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^*$ and $Sp(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^*$ from [15]. The simply connected symmetric spaces corresponding to the other symmetric triples exhaust all simply connected pseudo-Kählerian symmetric spaces of index 2 that are not semi-simple (they were classified in [45]).

Proof of Theorem 4.2.1. As the first step we will find all symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}, R)$, where $\mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ is a weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebra. On the second step we will check which of the obtained symmetric pairs define isometric simply connected symmetric spaces.

Step 1. Fix a holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)_{\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle}$ and a curvature tensor $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol})$ such that $R(\mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2, 2n+2}) = \mathfrak{hol}$. Let $0 \leq m \leq n$ be the associated number and $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{u}(m)$ the unitary part of $\mathfrak{hol}$. Using (15) and Table 3.2.2 we can decompose R into several components, we will use the corresponding denotation. For any element A as in Table 3.2.2, we denote by R_A the curvature tensor obtained by Table 3.2.2 under the condition that the other elements of Table 3.2.2 are zero.

It is easy to see that for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2$ we have $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2) = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2) = \mathbb{R}R_{\lambda_1=1} \oplus \mathbb{R}R_{\lambda_2=1}$. Let $\mathfrak{hol}$ be any other holonomy algebra, then $\mathfrak{hol}$ contains the ideal $\mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2$.

Lemma 4.2.1. *For the components of the curvature tensor R we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0, \quad N_1 = N_2 = 0, \quad R_0 = 0, \\ P = 0, \quad S^{11} = 0, \quad S^{12} = \frac{\lambda_3}{4}J|_{E_{1,\dots,m}^2}, \\ S^{21} = \frac{\lambda_3}{4}J|_{E_{1,\dots,m}^1}, \quad S - S^* = \frac{\lambda_3}{2}J_m. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $\lambda_3 \neq 0$, then $M_1 = M_2 = 0$.

Proof. Using the fact that $R \in \mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol})$, (27) and Table 3.2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= R_{p_1 \wedge p_2}(q_1 \wedge q_1) = [R(q_1 \wedge q_2), p_1 \wedge p_2] + R(p_2 \wedge q_2) + R(q_1 \wedge (-p_1)) \\ &= [R(q_1 \wedge q_2), p_1 \wedge p_2] + 2R(p_1 \wedge q_1) \\ &= 2\lambda_4 p_1 \wedge p_2 + 2(\lambda_1 p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2 + \lambda_2 p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1 + p_1 \wedge N_1(1) + p_2 \wedge JN_1(1) \\ &\quad - (p_1 \wedge JN_2(1) - p_2 \wedge N_2(1)) + \lambda_4 p_1 \wedge p_2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0$ and $N_1 = N_2 = 0$.

Consequently if $n = 0$, then for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ we have two possibilities: either $\mathfrak{hol} = \mathfrak{hol}_1$ or $\mathfrak{hol} = \mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=1} = \mathbb{R}(p_1 \wedge q_2 - p_2 \wedge q_1) \oplus \mathbb{R}p_1 \wedge p_2$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol}_1) = \mathbb{R}R_{\lambda_5=1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=1}) = \mathbb{R}R_{\lambda_3=-2} \oplus \mathbb{R}R_{\lambda_5=1}$.

Suppose that $n \geq 1$. Let $m_1 = k$ if $\mathfrak{hol}$ is a Lie algebra of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{n,u,\psi,k,l}$ or $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l,r}$, and $m_1 = m$ if $\mathfrak{hol}$ is a Lie algebras of another type.

Let $w \in E_{1,\dots,m_1}^1$. From Theorem 3.1.1 it follows that $p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw \in \mathfrak{hol}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{pr}_u(R_{p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw}(q_1 \wedge q_2)) \\ &= \text{pr}_u([R(q_1 \wedge q_2), p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw] + R(w \wedge q_2) + R(q_1 \wedge Jw)) \\ &= -2\text{pr}_u(R(q_2 \wedge w)) = 2P(Jw). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $P|_{E_{1,\dots,m}^2} = 0$. Similarly, the condition $\text{pr}_u(R_{p_1 \wedge Jw - p_2 \wedge w}(q_1 \wedge q_2)) = 0$ implies that $P|_{E_{1,\dots,m}^1} = 0$. Thus, $P = 0$.

For $x \in E_{1,\dots,m_1}^1 + E_{1,\dots,m_1}^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{pr}_u(R_{p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw}(q_1 \wedge x)) \\ &= \text{pr}_u([R(q_1 \wedge x), p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw] + R(w \wedge x) + R(q_1 \wedge (-\eta(w, x)p_1 + \eta(Jw, x)p_2))) \\ &= R_0(w, x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the condition $\text{pr}_{\mathbf{u}}(R_{p_1 \wedge Jw - p_2 \wedge w}(q_1 \wedge x)) = 0$ yields that $R_0(Jw, x) = 0$. Thus, $R_0 = 0$.

For $w \in E_{1, \dots, m_1}^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \text{pr}_{\mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^2}(R_{p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw}(q_1 \wedge q_2)) \\
&= \text{pr}_{\mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^2}([R(q_1 \wedge q_2), p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw] + R(w \wedge q_2) + R(q_1 \wedge Jw)) \\
&= [\lambda_3(p_1 \wedge q_1 + p_2 \wedge q_2) + S - S^*, p_1 \wedge w + p_2 \wedge Jw] - 2 \text{pr}_{\mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m}^2}(R(q_2 \wedge w)) \\
&= \lambda_3(p_2 \wedge w - p_1 \wedge Jw) + p_1 \wedge ((S^{11} - S^{*11})w + (S^{21} - S^{*21})w) \\
&\quad + p_2 \wedge ((S^{12} - S^{*12})Jw + (S^{22} - S^{*22})Jw) \\
&\quad - 2(p_1 \wedge S^{11*}(w) + p_2 \wedge JS^{11*}(w) + p_1 \wedge JS^{12}(Jw) - p_2 \wedge S^{12}(Jw)).
\end{aligned}$$

Considering the terms from $p_1 \wedge E^1$, $p_1 \wedge E^2$, $p_2 \wedge E^1$, $p_2 \wedge E^2$ and using the formulas

$$S^{*21} = S^{12*} = JS^{12}J, \quad S^{*12} = S^{21*} = JS^{21}J, \quad S^{22} = JS^{11*}J, \quad S^{*22} = S^{22*} = JS^{11}J$$

we get

$$S^{11} = 3S^{*11} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 Jw - S^{21}w + 3JS^{12}Jw. \quad (69)$$

Likewise, from the equality $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m_1}^1 + \mathcal{N}_{1, \dots, m_1}^2}(R_{p_1 \wedge Jw - p_2 \wedge w}(q_1 \wedge q_2)) = 0$ it follows that

$$3S^{11} = S^{*11} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 w + S^{12}Jw + 3JS^{21}w = 0. \quad (70)$$

Suppose that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ is of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \psi, k, l}$ or $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \psi, k, l, r}$. Then from Table 3.2.2 it follows that $\lambda_3 = 0$. This, (69) and (70) yield that $S = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbf{u} = \{0\}$ and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ can not be neither of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{n, u, \psi, k, l}$ nor of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, u, \psi, k, l, r}$.

From (69) and (70) it follows that

$$S^{11} = 0, \quad S^{12} = \frac{\lambda_3}{4}J|_{E_{1, \dots, m}^2}, \quad S^{21} = \frac{\lambda_3}{4}J|_{E_{1, \dots, m}^1}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
S - S^* &= S^{12} + S^{21} - S^{*12} + S^{*21} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_3}{4}J|_{E_{1, \dots, m}^2} + \frac{\lambda_3}{4}J|_{E_{1, \dots, m}^1} + \frac{\lambda_3}{4}J|_{E_{1, \dots, m}^1} + \frac{\lambda_3}{4}J|_{E_{1, \dots, m}^2} = \frac{\lambda_3}{2}J_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\lambda_3 \neq 0$. From the proof of the lemma and Theorem 3.1.1 it follows that $\mathfrak{hol} = \mathfrak{hol}_3$, where $0 \leq m \leq n$. Hence, $2J - J_m \in \mathfrak{hol}$. For $w \in E_{1, \dots, m}^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= R_{2J - J_m}(q_1 \wedge w) = [R(q_1 \wedge w), 2J - J_m] + R(2q_2 \wedge x) + R(q_1 \wedge J_m x) \\
&= [R(q_1 \wedge w), 2J - J_m] + 2R(q_2 \wedge x) - R(q_2 \wedge x) = [R(q_1 \wedge w), 2J - J_m] + R(q_1 \wedge x) \\
&= [p_1 \wedge \frac{1}{2}x + p_2 \wedge \frac{1}{2}Jx + M_1^*(x)p_1 \wedge p_2, 2J - J_m] - p_1 \wedge \frac{1}{2}Jx + p_2 \wedge \frac{1}{2}x + M_2^*(x)p_1 \wedge p_2 \\
&= M_2^*(x)p_1 \wedge p_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $M_2 = 0$. Similarly, $M_1 = 0$. The lemma is proved. $\square$

As all symmetric pairs for $n = 0$ were found in Lemma 4.2.1, suppose that $n \geq 1$. If $\lambda_3 = 0$, then $S = 0$. From Lemma 4.2.1, Table 3.2.2 and the fact that $R(\mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{2,2n+2}) = \mathfrak{hol}$ it follows that $\mathfrak{hol} = \mathfrak{hol}_2$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol}_2) = \mathbb{R}R_{M_3(2)=e_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}R_{\lambda_5=1}$.

Suppose that $\lambda_3 \neq 0$. From Lemma 4.2.1 and Theorem 3.1.1 it follows that $\mathfrak{hol} = \mathfrak{hol}_3$. It is easily shown that $\mathcal{R}_0(\mathfrak{hol}_3) = \mathbb{R}R_1 \oplus \{R_{M_3}\} \oplus \mathbb{R}R_{\lambda_5=1}$. Note that if $n = 0$, then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_3$ coincides with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=1}$.

Step 2. Now to complete the proof of the theorem, we must prove the following statements:

- 1) the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_1, R_{\lambda_5=1})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_1, -R_{\lambda_5=1})$ are not isomorphic;
- 2) the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, R_{M_3(1)=e_1})$, $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, R_{M_3(1)=e_1} + R_{\lambda_5})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, -R_{M_3(1)=e_1} + R_{\lambda_5})$ are isomorphic for any $\lambda_5 \in \mathbb{R}$;
- 3) the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, R_1 + R_{\lambda_5})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, R_1 + R_{\lambda_5} + R_{M_3})$ are isomorphic for any $\lambda_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M_3 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}, E_{m+1, \dots, n}^1)$;
- 4) the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, R_1 + R_{\lambda_5})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, R_1 + R_{\lambda'_5})$ are not isomorphic if $\lambda_5 \neq \lambda'_5$;
- 5) the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, -R_1 - R_{\lambda_5})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, -R_1 - R_{\lambda'_5})$ are not isomorphic if $\lambda_5 \neq \lambda'_5$;
- 6) the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, R_1 + R_{\lambda_5})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, -R_1 + R_{\lambda'_5})$ are not isomorphic for any $\lambda_5, \lambda'_5 \in \mathbb{R}$;
- 7) if $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, then the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, R_{\lambda_1} + R_{\lambda_2})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, R_{\lambda_1})$ are isomorphic for any $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$;
- 8) the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, R_{\lambda_2=1})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, -R_{\lambda_2=1})$ are isomorphic;
- 9) if $\lambda_1 > 0$, then any two of the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, R_{\lambda_1})$, $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, -R_{\lambda_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, R_{\lambda_2=1})$ are not isomorphic.

Let us prove these statements.

- 1) It is easy to check that the sectional curvature of the curvature tensor $R_{\lambda_5=1} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol}_1)$ is non-zero and non-negative. In converse, the sectional curvature of the curvature tensor

$-R_{\lambda_5=1} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{hol}_1)$ is non-zero and non-positive. For instance,

$$\eta(R_{\lambda_5=1}((p_1 + q_1) \wedge (p_2 + q_2))(p_1 + q_1), (p_2 + q_2)) = 1$$

and

$$\eta(-R_{\lambda_5=1}((p_1 + q_1) \wedge (p_2 + q_2))(p_1 + q_1), (p_2 + q_2)) = -1.$$

2) To show that the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, R_{M_3(1)=e_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, R_{M_3(1)=e_1} + R_{\lambda_5})$ are isomorphic consider the corresponding symmetric triples $(\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{hol}_2 + \mathbb{R}^{2,4}, \sigma_1, \eta)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{hol}_2 + \mathbb{R}^{2,4}, \sigma_2, \eta)$ and consider the Lie algebra isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f|_{\mathfrak{hol}} &= \text{id}_{\mathfrak{hol}}, & f(p_1) &= p_1, & f(p_2) &= p_2, & f(e_1) &= \lambda_5 p_2 + e_1, \\ f(f_1) &= -\lambda_5 p_1 + f_1, & f(q_1) &= q_1 + \lambda_5 f_1, & f(q_2) &= q_2 - \lambda_5 e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, -R_{M_3(1)=e_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, -R_{M_3(1)=e_1} + R_{\lambda_5})$ are isomorphic. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_3, \sigma_3, \eta)$ be the symmetric triple corresponding to the symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, -R_{M_3(1)=e_1})$. The isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_3$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(p_1 \wedge e_1 + p_2 \wedge f_2) &= -(p_1 \wedge e_1 + p_2 \wedge f_2), & f(p_1) &= p_1, & f(p_2) &= p_2, & f(e_1) &= -e_1, \\ R(p_1 \wedge p_2) &= p_1 \wedge p_2, & f(f_1) &= -f_1, & f(q_1) &= q_1, & f(q_2) &= q_2 \end{aligned}$$

implies that the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, R_{M_3(1)=e_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_2, -R_{M_3(1)=e_1})$ are isomorphic.

3) Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \eta)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \eta)$ be the symmetric triples corresponding to the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, R_1 + R_{\lambda_5} + R_{M_3})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, R_1 + R_{\lambda_5})$, respectively. Choosing in the proper way the vectors $e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n$ of the basis, we can assume that $M_3(1) = \alpha e_{m+1}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the Lie algebra isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f|_{\mathfrak{hol}} &= \text{id}_{\mathfrak{hol}}, & f(p_1) &= p_1, & f(p_2) &= p_2, & f(e_1) &= \frac{\alpha}{2} p_2 + e_1, \\ f(f_1) &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} p_1 + f_1, & f(q_1) &= q_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} f_1, & f(q_2) &= q_2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} e_1. \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism f implies that the symmetric pairs are isomorphic.

4),5) the proof is by the same argument as in the proof of Statement 1).

6) To prove that the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, R_1 + R_{\lambda_5})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_3, -R_1 + R_{\lambda'_5})$ are not isomorphic for any $\lambda_5, \lambda'_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ let us compute the corresponding Ricci curvatures. Since R_{λ_5} takes values in $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$, we have $\text{Ric}(R_1 + R_{\lambda_5}) = \text{Ric}(R_1)$. It is known that for the Ricci curvature of a pseudo-Kählerian manifold holds $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = -\text{tr } JR(X, JY)$. Therefore,

$$\text{Ric}(R_1)(q_1, q_1) = \text{Ric}(R_1)(q_2, q_2) = -\text{tr}(J(J_m - 2J)) = m - 2n - 4 < 0.$$

Moreover, $\text{Ric}(R_1)$ is zero on the other basis vectors, since R_1 on these vectors takes values in $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$. On the other hand,

$$\text{Ric}(-R_1)(q_1, q_1) = \text{Ric}(-R_1)(q_2, q_2) = 2n - m + 4 > 0$$

and $\text{Ric}(-R_1)$ is zero on the other basis vectors. This proves Statement 6).

7) Suppose that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \eta)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \eta)$ be the symmetric triples corresponding to the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, R_{\lambda_1} + R_{\lambda_2})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, R_{\lambda_1})$. To prove Statement 7) consider the Lie algebra isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f|_{\mathfrak{hol}} &= \text{id}_{\mathfrak{hol}}, & f(p_1) &= p_1, & f(p_2) &= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} p_1 + p_2, \\ f(q_1) &= q_1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} q_2, & f(q_2) &= q_2. \end{aligned}$$

8) Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \eta)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \eta)$ be the symmetric triples corresponding to the symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, R_{\lambda_2=1})$ and $(\mathfrak{hol}_{1c}, -R_{\lambda_2=1})$. To prove the statement consider the Lie algebra isomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f|_{\mathfrak{hol}} &= \text{id}_{\mathfrak{hol}}, & f(p_1) &= p_2, & f(p_2) &= p_1, \\ f(q_1) &= q_2, & f(q_2) &= q_1. \end{aligned}$$

9) To prove the statement note the following: the only non-vanishing values of $\text{Ric}(R_{\lambda_1})$ are

$$\text{Ric}(R_{\lambda_1})(p_1, q_1) = \text{Ric}(R_{\lambda_1})(p_2, q_2) = 2\lambda_1 > 0;$$

the only non-vanishing values of $\text{Ric}(-R_{\lambda_1})$ are

$$\text{Ric}(-R_{\lambda_1})(p_1, q_1) = \text{Ric}(-R_{\lambda_1})(p_2, q_2) = -2\lambda_1 < 0;$$

$$\text{Ric}(R_{\lambda_2=1})(p_2, q_1) = 2 > 0, \quad \text{Ric}(R_{\lambda_2=1})(p_1, q_2) = -2 < 0.$$

The theorem is proved. $\square$

Recall that a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *locally symmetric* if the geodesic symmetry with respect to any point $p \in M$ is a locally defined isometry. This condition is equivalent to $\nabla R = 0$. For the holonomy algebra of a locally symmetric pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) at a point $p \in M$ we have $\mathfrak{hol}_p = \text{span}\{R_p(T_p M, T_p M)\}$. The symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{hol}_p, R_p)$ defines a simply connected pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space with the same holonomy algebra. We get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2.1. *The weakly-irreducible not irreducible holonomy algebras of locally symmetric pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of signature $(2, 2n+2)$ are exhausted by $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^2$, $\mathfrak{hol}_{n=0}^{\gamma_1=0, \gamma_2=0}$, $\mathfrak{hol}^{m=n-1=0, \{0\}, \varphi=0, \phi=0}$ and $\mathfrak{hol}^{m, \{\mathbb{R}J_m\}, \varphi=0, \phi=2}$.*

4.3 Holonomy of time-like cones over Lorentzian Sasaki manifolds

4.3.1 Definitions and results

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and $c = \pm$. The pseudo-Riemannian manifold

$$(\tilde{M}^c = \mathbb{R}^+ \times M, \tilde{g}^c = cdr^2 + r^2g)$$

is called *the space-like cone over (M, g)* if $c = +$ and it is called *the time-like cone over (M, g)* if $c = -$. One of the reason why the pseudo-Riemannian cones are of interest is the following. In [10] Ch. Bär proved that real Killing spinors on a pseudo-Riemannian spin manifold (M, g) correspond to parallel spinors on $(\tilde{M}^+, \tilde{g}^+)$. Similarly, imaginary Killing spinors on a pseudo-Riemannian spin manifold (M, g) correspond to parallel spinors on $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$, see [16]. In [36] S. Gallot proved that *the space-like cone over a complete Riemannian manifold is indecomposable or flat*. This statement does not hold for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and it also does not hold for non-complete Riemannian manifolds, see examples in [4].

We will denote by ∂_r the radial vector field on $(\tilde{M}^\pm, \tilde{g}^\pm)$.

An odd-dimensional Lorentzian manifold is called *a Sasaki manifold* if its time-like cone $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ is a pseudo-Kählerian manifold. A Lorentzian manifold (M, g) is a Sasaki manifold if and only if there exists a vector field ξ on (M, g) such that

1. ξ is a Killing vector field with $g(\xi, \xi) = -1$.
2. The map $J = -\nabla\xi : TM \rightarrow TM$ satisfies

$$J^2X = -X - g(X, \xi)\xi \text{ and } (\nabla_X J)(Y) = -g(X, Y)\xi + g(Y, \xi)X,$$

where X, Y are vector fields on M , see [8, 9].

Such vector field ξ on M is called *a Sasaki field*. The Sasaki field ξ and the pseudo-Kählerian structure $\tilde{J}$ on $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ are related by

$$\tilde{J}X = \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \tilde{J}(r\partial_r),$$

where X is a vector field on $\tilde{M}$. A Lorentzian Sasaki manifold with given Sasaki field ξ is denoted by $(M, g; \xi)$. A Lorentzian Sasaki manifold (M, g) is an Einstein manifold if and only if the time-like cone over it is Ricci-flat.

Lorentzian Sasaki manifolds are of interest in many respects. For instance, they admit twistor spinors [8, 9, 44, 16]. For us they provide examples of manifolds with the holonomy algebras contained in $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(1, n+1)$.

An odd-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called a Sasaki manifold if its space-like cone $(\tilde{M}^+, \tilde{g}^+)$ is a Kählerian manifold. This is equivalent to existence of a Killing vector field ξ on (M, g) with $g(\xi, \xi) = 1$ that satisfies conditions similar to the above ones. The standard example for regular Lorentzian Sasaki manifolds are S^1 -bundles over Riemannian Kähler Einstein spaces of negative scalar curvature, see [8, 9]. The next example gives a way to construct Lorentzian Sasaki manifolds.

Example 4.3.1. *Let $(M_1, g_1; \xi_1)$ be a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and $(M_2, g_2; \xi_2)$ a Riemannian Sasaki manifold. Then the manifold*

$$(M = \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_1 \times M_2, g = ds^2 + \cosh^2(s)g_1 + \sinh^2(s)g_2; \xi_1 + \xi_2)$$

is a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and the time-like cone over (M, g) is a product of truncated cones over (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) ,

$$(\tilde{M}^- = ((1, +\infty) \times M_1) \times ((0, 1) \times M_2), \tilde{g}^- = (-dr_1^2 + r_1^2 g_1) + (dr_2^2 + r_2^2 g_2)).$$

Proof. Consider the product of the truncated cones

$$(\tilde{M}_1^- \times \tilde{M}_2^+ = ((1, +\infty) \times M_1) \times ((0, 1) \times M_2), \tilde{g}_1^- + \tilde{g}_2^+ = (-dr_1^2 + r_1^2 g_1) + (dr_2^2 + r_2^2 g_2)).$$

Consider the functions

$$r = \sqrt{r_1^2 - r_2^2} \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad s = \operatorname{artanh} \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

The functions r and s give the diffeomorphism $(1, +\infty) \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$. For $\tilde{M}_1^- \times \tilde{M}_2^+$ we get

$$\tilde{M}_1^- \times \tilde{M}_2^+ = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_1 \times M_2$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_1^- + \tilde{g}_2^+ = -dr^2 + r^2(ds^2 + \cosh^2(s)g_1 + \sinh^2(s)g_2).$$

Obviously, the manifold $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ is pseudo-Kählerian, i.e. the manifold (M, g) is a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold. For its Sasaki field we obtain

$$\xi = \tilde{J}(r\partial_r) = \tilde{J}(r\cosh(s)\partial_{r_1} + r\sinh(s)\partial_{r_2}) = \tilde{J}(r_1\partial_{r_1} + r_2\partial_{r_2}) = \xi_1 + \xi_2.$$

□

The following theorem is converse to Example 4.3.1 and it gives a local description in the case of decomposable cone over a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold.

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let (M, g) be a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and $(\tilde{M}^- = \mathbb{R}^+ \times M, \tilde{g}^- = -dr^2 + r^2g)$ the cone over (M, g) . Suppose that the holonomy algebra of $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ preserves a non-degenerate proper subspace. Then there exists a dense open submanifold $U_1 \subset M$ that is locally isometric to a manifold of the form*

$$W = (a, b) \times N_1 \times N_2, \quad (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$$

with the metric

$$g_W = ds^2 + \cosh^2(s)g_1 + \sinh^2(s)g_2,$$

where (N_1, g_1) is a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and (N_2, g_2) is a Riemannian Sasaki manifold.

Moreover, any point $(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times U_1 \subset \tilde{M}$ has a neighborhood of the form

$$((a_1, b_1) \times N_1) \times ((a_2, b_2) \times N_2), \quad (a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$$

with the metric

$$(-dt_1^2 + t_1^2g_1) + (dt_2^2 + t_2^2g_2).$$

From now on we study Lorentzian Sasaki manifold such that the holonomy algebra of its time-like cone preserves a degenerate subspace.

Theorem 4.3.2. *Let (M, g) be a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and $(\tilde{M}^- = \mathbb{R}^+ \times M, \tilde{g}^- = -dr^2 + r^2g)$ the time-like cone over (M, g) with the pseudo-Kählerian structure $\tilde{J}$. If the holonomy algebra of $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ preserves a 2-dimensional isotropic $\tilde{J}$ -invariant subspace, then it annihilates this subspace, i.e. in this situation there exist locally on $\tilde{M}^-$ two isotropic parallel vector fields p_1 and $p_2 = \tilde{J}p_1$.*

Theorem 4.3.3. *Let (M, g) be a $2n + 3$ -dimensional Lorentzian Sasaki manifold and $(\tilde{M}^- = \mathbb{R}^+ \times M, \tilde{g}^- = -dr^2 + r^2g)$ the time-like cone over (M, g) with the pseudo-Kählerian structure $\tilde{J}$. Suppose that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\tilde{M}^-)$ of $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ annihilates a 2-dimensional isotropic $\tilde{J}$ -invariant subspace, i.e. there exist locally on $\tilde{M}^-$ two isotropic parallel vector fields p_1 and $p_2 = \tilde{J}p_1$. Then*

I. There is a dense open subset $U_1 \subset M$ such that each point $z_0 \in U_1$ has an open neighbourhood $U_{z_0} \subset U_1$ of the form

$$U_{z_0} = (a, b) \times N, \quad a \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad a < b$$

and the metric $g|_{U_{z_0}}$ is given by

$$g|_{U_{z_0}} = ds^2 + e^{-2s} g_N,$$

where (N, g_N) is a Lorentzian manifold with a parallel isotropic vector field.

II. There exist coordinates $x, y, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0} \subset \tilde{M}^-$ such that $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$ are coordinates on N and the metric $\tilde{g}^-|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0}}$ has the form

$$\tilde{g}^-|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0}} = 2dx dy + y^2 g_N = 2dx dy + y^2 (2d\hat{x} d\hat{y} + g_1),$$

where g_1 is a family of Kählerian metrics on the integral manifolds corresponding to the coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$ depending on $\hat{y}$. In these coordinates, $p_1 = \partial_x$ and $p_2 = \hat{y} \partial_x - \frac{1}{y} \partial_{\hat{x}}$.

III. Denote by E the distribution on U_{z_0} generated by $\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_{2n}}$. There exists a vector field $\hat{X} \in E$ that does not depend on $x, y, \hat{x}$ and such that the pseudo-Kählerian structure $\tilde{J}$ is given by

$$\tilde{J}p_1 = p_2, \quad \tilde{J}p_2 = -p_1,$$

$$\tilde{J}Y = \lambda(Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + J_E Y,$$

where $Y \in E$, $\lambda(Y) = -\frac{y}{1+\hat{y}^2} g_1(Y, \hat{y} J_E \hat{X} + \hat{X})$ and

$$J_E Y = \text{pr}_E \nabla_Y^N \hat{X} - \hat{y} Y - (1 + \hat{y}^2) \text{pr}_E \nabla_Y^N \partial_{\hat{y}} \quad (71)$$

is a $\hat{y}$ -family of Kählerian structures on the integral manifolds of the distribution E ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J} \partial_y &= \frac{\hat{y}}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)} g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X}) p_1 - \frac{1}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)} g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X}) p_2 + \frac{1}{y} \hat{X} - \hat{y} \partial_y - \frac{1+\hat{y}^2}{y} \partial_{\hat{y}}, \\ \tilde{J} \partial_{\hat{y}} &= -\frac{y}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)} g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X}) p_1 + \frac{1}{1+\hat{y}^2} (J_E \hat{X} - \hat{y} \hat{X}) + y \partial_y + \hat{y} \partial_{\hat{y}}. \end{aligned}$$

The following conditions are satisfied

$$J_E \text{pr}_E \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^N Y = \text{pr}_E \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^N J_E Y \text{ for all } Y \in E, \quad (72)$$

$$\text{pr}_E \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^N \hat{X} = \frac{1}{1+\hat{y}^2} (\hat{y} \hat{X} - J_E \hat{X}). \quad (73)$$

The Sasaki field of the Sasaki structure of (M, g) is given by

$$\xi = -\hat{y} \partial_s + \left(\frac{e^{2s}}{2} + \frac{g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X})}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)} \right) \partial_{\hat{x}} + \hat{X} - (1 + \hat{y}^2) \partial_{\hat{y}}.$$

IV. If the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ of $(\mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0}, \tilde{g}^-|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0}})$ is weakly-irreducible, then the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(N)$ of (N, g_N) is weakly-irreducible and

- 1) if $\mathfrak{hol}(N)$ is of type $\mathfrak{g}^{2,u}$, $u \subset \mathfrak{u}(n)$ (see Section 1.3), then $\mathfrak{hol}$ is of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{n,u,\varphi=0,\phi=0}$;
- 2) if $\mathfrak{hol}(N)$ is of type $\mathfrak{g}^{4,u,k,\psi}$, then $\mathfrak{hol}$ is of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{n,u,\psi,k,l}$ for some l , $k \leq l \leq n$.

V. Conversely, for any Lorentzian manifold $(N, g_N = 2d\hat{x}d\hat{y} + g_1)$, where g_1 is as above with a given vector field $\hat{X} \in TN$ and a family of Kähler structures J_E satisfying $\partial_{\hat{x}}\hat{X} = 0$, $g_N(\hat{X}, \partial_{\hat{x}}) = g_N(\hat{X}, \partial_{\hat{y}}) = 0$, (71), (72) and (73), the manifold $((a, b) \times N, ds^2 + e^{-2s}g_N)$ is a Lorentzian Sasaki manifold with the Sasaki field ξ as above and the holonomy algebra of the time-like cone $(\tilde{M}^-, \tilde{g}^-)$ is as in Statement IV.

Example 4.3.2. If in the situation of Theorem 4.3.3, the metric g_1 has the form

$$g_1 = f(\hat{y})g_0,$$

where $f(\hat{y})$ is a positive function and g_0 is a Kählerian metric depending on the coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$, then $f = \text{const}$. In this case the holonomy algebra of $(\mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0}, \tilde{g}^-|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0}})$ is not weakly-irreducible.

4.3.2 Proof of Theorem 4.3.1

Using the Koszul formulae, it is easy to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3.1. Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, $c = \pm$ and $(\tilde{M}^c, \tilde{g}^c)$ a cone over (M, g) . The Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}^c$ of the metric $\tilde{g}^c$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}^c \partial_r &= 0, & \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r}^c X &= \partial_r X + \frac{1}{r}X, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X^c \partial_r &= \frac{1}{r}X, & \tilde{\nabla}_X^c Y &= \nabla_X Y - c r g(X, Y) \partial_r, \end{aligned}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g and $X, Y \in TM$ are considered as vector fields on M depending on the parameter r .

The curvature tensors $\tilde{R}^c$ and R of the connections $\tilde{\nabla}^c$ and ∇ are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}^c(\cdot, \cdot) \partial_r &= \tilde{R}^c(\partial_r, \cdot) \cdot = 0, \\ \tilde{R}^c(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z - c R_1(X, Y)Z, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_1(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y$, $X, Y, Z \in TM$.

We will need also the following more general lemma.

Lemma 4.3.2. *Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, $c = \pm$ and $(M^{c,f} = \mathbb{R} \times M, \tilde{g}^{c,f} = cdr^2 + f^2(r)g)$ a warped product manifold over (M, g) . The Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}^{c,f}$ of the metric $\tilde{g}^{c,f}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\partial_r}^{c,f} \partial_r &= 0, & \nabla_{\partial_r}^{c,f} X &= \partial_r X + \frac{f'(r)}{2f(r)} X, \\ \nabla_X^{c,f} \partial_r &= \frac{f'(r)}{2f(r)} X, & \nabla_X^{c,f} Y &= \nabla_X Y - c \frac{f'(r)}{2} g(X, Y) \partial_r,\end{aligned}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g and $X, Y \in TM$ are considered as vector fields on M depending on the parameter r .

In the proof of the theorem we will write $\tilde{M}$, $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ instead of $\tilde{M}^-$, $\tilde{g}^-$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^-$, respectively.

Suppose that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x$ of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ at a point $x \in \tilde{M}$ is not weakly-irreducible, that is $T_x \tilde{M}$ is a sum $T_x \tilde{M} = (V_1)_x \oplus (V_2)_x$ of two non-degenerate $\mathfrak{hol}_x$ -invariant orthogonal subspaces. They define locally two parallel non-degenerate distributions V_1 and V_2 . For simplicity we assume that V_1 and V_2 are defined globally (this happens, for example, if M is simply connected). Denote by X_1 and X_2 the projections of the vector field ∂_r to the distributions V_1 and V_2 , respectively. We have

$$\partial_r = X_1 + X_2. \quad (74)$$

We decompose the vectors X_1 and X_2 with respect to the decomposition $T\tilde{M} = T\mathbb{R} \oplus TM$,

$$X_1 = \alpha \partial_r + X, \quad X_2 = (1 - \alpha) \partial_r - X, \quad (75)$$

where α is a function on $\tilde{M}$ and X is a vector field on $\tilde{M}$ tangent to M . Since $\tilde{g}(\partial_r, \partial_r) = -1$ and $\tilde{g}(X_1, X_2) = 0$, we have

$$\tilde{g}(X, X) = \alpha^2 - \alpha, \quad \tilde{g}(X_1, X_1) = -\alpha, \quad \tilde{g}(X_2, X_2) = \alpha - 1. \quad (76)$$

Lemma 4.3.3. *The open subset $U = \{x | \alpha(x) \neq 0, 1\} \subset \tilde{M}$ is dense.*

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha = 1$ on an open subspace $V \subset \tilde{M}$. We claim that $\partial_r \in V_1$ on V . Indeed, on V we have

$$X_1 = \partial_r + X, \quad X_2 = -X \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}(X, X) = 0.$$

We show that $X = 0$. Let $Y_2 \in V_2$. We obtain the decomposition $Y_2 = \lambda \partial_r + Y$, where λ is a function on $\tilde{M}$ and $Y \in TM$. Then

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X_1 = \tilde{\nabla}_{\lambda \partial_r + Y} (\partial_r + X) = \frac{1}{r} Y + \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X.$$

Note that $Y = Y_2 - \lambda X_1 + \lambda X$. Hence,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X_1 = \frac{1}{r}(Y_2 - \lambda X_1 + \lambda X) + \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X.$$

Since $X, \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X, Y_2 \in V_2$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X_1 \in V_1$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{r}(Y_2 + \lambda X) + \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X = 0.$$

From $\tilde{g}(X, X) = 0$, it follows that $\tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X, X) = 0$. Thus we get $\tilde{g}(Y_2, X) = 0$ for all $Y_2 \in V_2$. Since V_2 is non-degenerate, we conclude that $X = 0$. Hence, $\partial_r \in V_1$.

Thus for any $Y_2 \in V_2$ it holds $\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} \partial_r = \frac{1}{r} Y_2$. Since the distribution V_1 is parallel and $\partial_r \in V_1$, we see that $Y_2 = 0$. Therefore, $V_2 = 0$. $\square$

Further on, we will consider the dense open submanifold $U \subset \tilde{M}$. By the definition of U , the vector fields X_1, X_2 and X are nowhere isotropic on U . For $i = 1, 2$ let $E_i \subset V_i$ be the subdistribution of V_i orthogonal to X_i . Denote by L the distribution of lines on U generated by the vector field X . We obtain on U the orthogonal decomposition

$$T\tilde{M} = T\mathbb{R} \oplus L \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2.$$

Lemma 4.3.4. *Let $Y_1 \in E_1$ and $Y_2 \in E_2$, then*

1. $Y_1 \alpha = Y_2 \alpha = \partial_r \alpha = 0$.
2. $\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} X = \frac{1-\alpha}{r} Y_1, \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_2} X = -\frac{\alpha}{r} Y_2$.
3. $\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r} X = \partial_r X + \frac{1}{r} X = 0$.
4. $\tilde{\nabla}_X X = \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{r} - X\alpha \right) X_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{r} - X\alpha \right) X_2$.

Proof. Using Lemma 4.3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} X_1 &= (Y_1 \alpha) \partial_r + \frac{\alpha}{r} Y_1 + \nabla_{Y_1} X, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} X_2 &= -(Y_1 \alpha) \partial_r + \frac{1-\alpha}{r} Y_1 - \nabla_{Y_1} X. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Y_1 \in E_1 \subset V_1$ and the distributions V_1, V_2 are parallel, we see that

$$(Y_1 \alpha) \partial_r + \frac{\alpha}{r} Y_1 + \nabla_{Y_1} X \in V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}.$$

This yields that $Y_1 \alpha = 0$ and $\nabla_{Y_1} X = \frac{1-\alpha}{r} Y_1$. The other claims can be proved similarly.

$\square$

Since $\partial_r \alpha = 0$, the function α is a function on M . Note that $U = \mathbb{R}^+ \times U_1$, where

$$U_1 = \{x \in M | \alpha(x) \neq 0, 1\} \subset M.$$

Claim 3 of Lemma 4.3.4 shows that $X = \frac{1}{r} \tilde{X}$, where $\tilde{X}$ is a vector field on the manifold M . Hence the distributions L and $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ do not depend on r and can be considered as distributions on M . Claim 2 of Lemma 4.3.4 shows that the distributions E_1 and E_2 also do not depend on r . We get on U_1 the orthogonal decompositions

$$TM = L \oplus E, \quad E = E_1 \oplus E_2.$$

Lemma 4.3.5. *The function α satisfies the following differential equation on U_1 :*

$$\tilde{X}\alpha = 2(\alpha - \alpha^2).$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{g}(X, X) = \alpha^2 - \alpha$, we see that

$$2\tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_X X, X) = 2\alpha X\alpha - X\alpha.$$

Using this and Claim 4 of Lemma 4.3.4, we get

$$(2\alpha - 1) \left(X\alpha - \frac{2}{r}(\alpha - \alpha^2) \right) = 0.$$

If $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\tilde{\nabla}_X X = \frac{1}{4r} \partial_r$ and $\nabla_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{X} = \frac{r}{2} \partial_r$. The last equality is impossible. $\square$

From Lemma 4.3.5 it follows that if t is a coordinate on M corresponding to the vector field $\tilde{X}$, then

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{e^{2t}}{e^{2t} + c},$$

where c is a constant.

From Lemmas 4.3.4 and 4.3.5 it follows that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X X = -\frac{\alpha - \alpha^2}{r} \partial_r + \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{r} X.$$

On the subset $U_1 \subset M$ we get the following

$$\nabla_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{X} = (1 - 2\alpha) \tilde{X}, \quad \nabla_{Y_1} \tilde{X} = (1 - \alpha) Y_1, \quad \nabla_{Y_2} \tilde{X} = -\alpha Y_2, \quad (77)$$

where $Y_1 \in E_1$ and $Y_2 \in E_2$.

Lemma 4.3.6. *The distributions $E_1, E_2, E = E_1 \oplus E_2 \subset TM$ defined on $U_1 \subset M$ are involutive. Let $x \in U_1$ and $M_x \subset U_1$ be the maximal connected integral submanifold of the distribution E , then the distributions $E_1|_{M_x}, E_2|_{M_x} \subset TM_x = E|_{M_x}$ are parallel.*

Proof. Let $Y_1, Z_1 \in E_1$. Since $g(Y_1, \tilde{X}) = g(Z_1, \tilde{X}) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([Y_1, Z_1], \tilde{X}) &= g(\nabla_{Y_1} Z_1 - \nabla_{Z_1} Y_1, \tilde{X}) = g(Y_1, \nabla_{Z_1} \tilde{X}) - g(Z_1, \nabla_{Y_1} \tilde{X}) \\ &= g(Y_1, (1 - \alpha)Z_1) - g(Z_1, (1 - \alpha)Y_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Y_2 \in E_2$. Since $E_1 \subset V_1$, $E_2 \subset V_2$ and the distributions $V_1, V_2 \subset T\tilde{M}$ are parallel, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g([Y_1, Z_1], Y_2) &= g(\nabla_{Y_1} Z_1 - \nabla_{Z_1} Y_1, Y_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} Z_1 + r\tilde{g}(Y_1, Z_1)\partial_r - \tilde{\nabla}_{Z_1} Y_1 - r\tilde{g}(Z_1, Y_1)\partial_r, Y_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} (\tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{Z_1} Y_1, Y_2) - \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} Z_1, Y_2)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the distribution $E_1 \subset TM$ is involutive. The proof of the other statements is analogous. $\square$

Note that in Example 4.3.1 we have the following

$$V_1 = T\tilde{M}_1^-, \quad V_2 = T\tilde{M}_2^+, \quad E_1 = TM_1, \quad E_2 = TM_2,$$

$$X_1 = \cosh(s)\partial_{r_1}, \quad X_2 = \sinh(s)\partial_{r_2}, \quad \alpha = \cosh^2(s) > 1, \quad X = -\frac{1}{r}\sinh(s)\cosh(s)\partial_s.$$

Since the manifold $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ is pseudo-Kählerian, the distributions V_1 and V_2 are $\tilde{J}$ -invariant. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the restriction of the metric $\tilde{g}$ to the distribution V_1 has index 2 and the restriction of the metric $\tilde{g}$ to the distribution V_2 is positively definite. From (75) it follows that $\alpha \geq 1$.

Let $x \in U_1$. Then $\alpha > 1$ on some open subset $W \subset U_1$ containing the point x . From Lemma 4.3.5 it follows that the gradient of the function α is nowhere zero on W . From Lemma 4.3.4 it follows that on W the gradient of the function α is proportional to the vector field $\tilde{X}|_W$. Hence we can assume that W is diffeomorphic to the product $(a, b) \times N$, where $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and N is diffeomorphic to the level sets of the function α . Note also that the level sets of the function α are integral submanifolds of the involutive distribution E . Denote such a manifold passing through a point $y \in W$ by W_y . Since $\tilde{X}$ is orthogonal to E and $Z(g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})) = 0$ for all $Z \in E$, the metric $g|_W$ must have the following form

$$g|_W = ds^2 + g_N,$$

where g_N is a family of pseudo-Riemannian metrics on the submanifolds W_y depending on the parameter s . We suppose that $\partial_s = \frac{\tilde{X}}{\sqrt{g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})}}$.

From Lemma 4.3.6 and the Wu theorem it follows that each manifold W_y is locally a product of two pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. For $Y_1, Z_1 \in E_1$ and $Y_2, Z_2 \in E_2$ in virtue Lemma of 4.3.4 we have

$$(L_{\tilde{X}}g)(Y_1, Z_1) = 2(1 - \alpha)g(Y_1, Z_1), \quad (L_{\tilde{X}}g)(Y_1, Y_2) = 0, \quad (L_{\tilde{X}}g)(Y_2, Z_2) = 2\alpha g(Y_2, Z_2).$$

This means that the one-parameter group of local diffeomorphisms of W generated by the vector field $\tilde{X}$ preserves the Wu decomposition of the manifolds W_y . Hence the manifold N can be locally decomposed into the direct product of two manifolds N_1 and N_2 that are diffeomorphic to integral manifolds of the distributions E_1 and E_2 . We can choose W in such a way that $N = N_1 \times N_2$. Therefore,

$$g_N = h_1 + h_2,$$

where h_1 and h_2 are metrics on the integral manifolds of the distributions E_1 and E_2 depending on s .

From Lemma 4.3.5 it follows that the function α satisfies the following differential equation

$$\partial_s \alpha = -2\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \alpha}.$$

Hence,

$$\alpha = \frac{(e^{-2s} + c_1)^2}{4e^{-2s}c_1} = \cosh^2(s + \ln \sqrt{c_1}),$$

where c_1 is a constant. We can assume that $c_1 = 1$. We see that s is defined on an interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $Y_1, Z_1 \in E_1$ be vector fields on W such that $[Y_1, \partial_s] = [Z_1, \partial_s] = 0$. From (77) it follows that $\nabla_{Y_1} \partial_s = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \alpha}}{\alpha} Y_1$. From the Koszul formula it follows that $2g(\nabla_{Y_1} \partial_s, Z_1) = \partial_s g(Y_1, Z_1)$. Thus,

$$-2 \tanh(s) g(Y_1, Z_1) = \partial_s g(Y_1, Z_1).$$

This means that

$$h_1 = \cosh^2(s) g_1,$$

where g_1 does not depend on s . Similarly,

$$h_2 = \sinh^2(s) g_2,$$

where g_2 does not depend on s .

For the cone over W we get

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \times W = \mathbb{R}^+ \times (a, b) \times N_1 \times N_2$$

and

$$\tilde{g}|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times W} = -dr^2 + r^2(ds^2 + \cosh^2(s)g_1 + \sinh^2(s)g_2).$$

Consider the functions $t_1 = r \cosh(s)$, $t_2 = r \sinh(s)$. They define a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (a, b)$ onto a subset $V \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $(r, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times W \subset \tilde{M}$, then there exist a subset $(a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \subset V$, where $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ and $r \in (a_1, b_1)$.

On the subset

$$((a_1, b_1) \times N_1) \times ((a_2, b_2) \times N_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \times W$$

the metric $\tilde{g}$ has the form

$$(-dt_1^2 + t_1^2 g_1) + (dt_2^2 + t_2^2 g_2).$$

Since $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ is a pseudo-Kählerian manifold of index 2, we see that the manifold $((a_1, b_1) \times N_1, -dt_1^2 + t_1^2 g_1)$ is pseudo-Kählerian of index 2 and the manifold $((a_2, b_2) \times N_2, dt_2^2 + t_2^2 g_2)$ is Kählerian.

Theorem 4.3.1 is proved. $\square$

4.3.3 Proof of Theorem 4.3.2

In the proof we will write $\tilde{M}$, $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ instead of $\tilde{M}^-$, $\tilde{g}^-$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^-$, respectively. Let $z \in \tilde{M}$. Suppose that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_z$ of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ preserves a 2-dimensional isotropic $\tilde{J}_z$ -invariant subspace $L_z \subset T_z \tilde{M}$. Then in a neighbourhood $U_z \subset \tilde{M}$ of the point z there exists a parallel isotropic $\tilde{J}$ -invariant distribution L of rang 2. Let p_1 be a nowhere vanishing vector field from the distribution L (we can assume that U_z is enough small). Then the vector fields p_1 and $p_2 = \tilde{J}p_1$ generate the distribution L . We have the decompositions

$$p_1 = \alpha_1 \partial_r + Z_1, \quad p_2 = \alpha_2 \partial_r + Z_2,$$

where α_1, α_2 are functions on U_z and $Z_1, Z_2 \in TM|_{U_z}$. Consider the open subset

$$U' = \{x \in U_z | \alpha_1(x) \neq 0 \text{ or } \alpha_2(x) \neq 0\}.$$

We claim that the subset U' is dense in U_z . Indeed, suppose that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ on an open subset $V \subset U_z$, then $p_1 = Z_1$ and $p_2 = Z_2$ on V . Consequently,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Y p_2 = \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z_2 = \nabla_Y Z_2 + rg(Y, Z_2) \partial_r$$

for any $Y \in TM|_V$. Since L is parallel, there exist two 1-forms λ_1 and λ_2 on V such that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Y p_2 = \lambda_1(Y)p_1 + \lambda_2(Y)p_2.$$

We get $\nabla_Y Z_2 + rg(Y, Z_2)\partial_r = \lambda_1(Y)Z_1 + \lambda_2(Y)Z_2$. This shows that $g(Y, Z_2) = 0$ for all $Y \in TM|_V$, i.e. $p_2 = 0$ and we get a contradiction.

Let $x \in U'$. Then $\alpha_1(x) \neq 0$ or $\alpha_2(x) \neq 0$. Assume that $\alpha_1(x) \neq 0$. Since the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_x$ preserves L_x , for any $X, Y \in T_x \tilde{M}$, there exist numbers $C_1(X, Y), \dots, C_4(X, Y)$. Such that

$$\tilde{R}_x(X, Y)p_{1x} = C_1(X, Y)p_{1x} + C_2(X, Y)p_{2x} \text{ and } \tilde{R}_x(X, Y)p_{2x} = C_3(X, Y)p_{1x} + C_4(X, Y)p_{2x}.$$

Since $\tilde{R}_x(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{u}(T_x \tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$, we see that $C_1(X, Y) = C_4(X, Y)$ and $C_2(X, Y) = -C_3(X, Y)$. From Lemma 4.3.1 it follows that

$$\tilde{R}_x(X, Y)p_{1x} = R_x(X, Y)Z_{1x} \text{ and } \tilde{R}_x(X, Y)p_{2x} = R_x(X, Y)Z_{2x}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(X, Y)(\alpha_1(x)\partial_r(x) + Z_{1x}) - C_3(X, Y)(\alpha_2(x)\partial_r(x) + Z_{2x}) &= R_x(X, Y)Z_{1x}, \\ C_3(X, Y)(\alpha_1(x)\partial_r(x) + Z_{1x}) + C_1(X, Y)(\alpha_2(x)\partial_r(x) + Z_{2x}) &= R_x(X, Y)Z_{2x}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$C_1(X, Y)\alpha_1(x) - C_3(X, Y)\alpha_2(x) = 0 \text{ and } C_3(X, Y)\alpha_1(x) + C_1(X, Y)\alpha_2(x) = 0.$$

Thus, $(C_1^2(X, Y) + C_3^2(X, Y))\alpha_1(x) = 0$ and $C_1(X, Y) = C_3(X, Y) = 0$. We see that $\tilde{R}_x(X, Y)$ annihilates the vector space L_x . Hence, $\tilde{R}(\cdot, \cdot)L = 0$ on U_z .

Consider any curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that $\gamma(a) = z$ and denote by $\tau_\gamma : T_z \tilde{M} \rightarrow T_{\gamma(b)} \tilde{M}$ the parallel displacement along γ . Since the points z and $\gamma(b)$ belong to a connected simply connected open subset of $\tilde{M}$, we see that the parallel distribution L is defined at the point $\gamma(b)$. Hence for any $X, Y \in T_{\gamma(b)} \tilde{M}$ we have

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)\tau_\gamma(p_{1z}) = 0 \text{ and } \tilde{R}(X, Y)\tau_\gamma(p_{2z}) = 0.$$

From this and the Ambrose-Singer theorem it follows that $\mathfrak{hol}_z$ annihilates the vector subspace $L_z \subset T_z \tilde{M}$. $\square$

4.3.4 Proof of Theorem 4.3.3

In the proof we will write $\tilde{M}$, $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ instead of $\tilde{M}^-$, $\tilde{g}^-$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^-$, respectively.

I. Suppose that the holonomy algebra of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ preserves a 2-dimensional isotropic $\tilde{J}$ -invariant subspace. Then for each point $z \in \tilde{M}$ there exists an open neighbourhood V_z of z and two parallel isotropic vector fields p_1 and $p_2 = \tilde{J}p_1$ defined on V_z . Consider the decompositions

$$p_1 = \alpha_1 \partial_r + Z_1, \quad p_2 = \alpha_2 \partial_r + Z_2,$$

where α_1, α_2 are functions on V_z and $Z_1, Z_2 \in TM|_{V_z}$. Note that

$$\tilde{g}(Z_1, Z_1) = \alpha_1^2, \quad \tilde{g}(Z_2, Z_2) = \alpha_2^2, \quad \tilde{g}(Z_1, Z_2) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2, \quad (78)$$

and Z_1, Z_2 are nowhere vanishing. We claim that the open subset

$$U_z = \{x \in V_z \mid \alpha_1(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_2(x) \neq 0\} \subset V_z$$

is dense in V_z . Indeed, suppose that $\alpha_1(x) = 0$ or $\alpha_2(x) = 0$ for each point x of an open subset $V \subset V_z$. If for some $y \in V$ we have $\alpha_1(y) \neq 0$, then $\alpha_2 = 0$ on some open subset $V_1 \subset V$ containing the point y (and vice versa). Hence, we can assume that $\alpha_1 = 0$ on some open subset $V_1 \subset V$. On V_1 we have $p_1 = Z_1$. Therefore,

$$0 = \tilde{\nabla}_Y p_1 = \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z_1 = \nabla_Y Z_1 + rg(Y, Z_1) \partial_r$$

for all $Y \in TM|_{V_1} \subset T\tilde{M}|_{V_1}$. This yields that $g(Y, Z_1) = 0$ for all $Y \in TM|_{V_1}$ and we get a contradiction.

Lemma 4.3.7. *Let $Y \in TM|_{U_z} \subset T\tilde{M}|_{U_z}$. For $i = 1, 2$ we have*

1. $\partial_r \alpha_i = 0, Y \alpha_i = -rg(Y, Z_i)$.
2. $\tilde{\nabla}_Y Z_i = -\frac{\alpha_i}{r} Y$.
3. $\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_r} Z_i = \partial_r Z_i + \frac{1}{r} Z_i = 0$, i.e. $Z_i = \frac{1}{r} \tilde{Z}_i$, where $\tilde{Z}_i$ is a locally defined vector field on M .
4. $\tilde{Z}_i \alpha_i = -\alpha_i^2$.

Proof. Claims 1-3 follow from the fact that $\tilde{\nabla} p_1 = \tilde{\nabla} p_2 = 0$. Claim 4 follows from (78) and Claim 1 of the lemma. $\square$

Let $z = (r_0, z_0)$, where $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $z_0 \in M$. From Lemma 4.3.7 it follows that α_1 and α_2 can be considered as locally defined functions on M and $U_z = \mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0}$, where $U_{z_0} \subset M$ is a neighbourhood of the point z_0 .

From Lemma 4.3.7 it follows that on U_{z_0} the gradient of the function α_1 is equal to the vector field $-\tilde{Z}_1$. Hence the manifold U_{z_0} is diffeomorphic to the product $(a, b) \times N$, where N is a manifold diffeomorphic to the level sets of the function α_1 . Note also that the level sets of the function α_1 are orthogonal to the vector field $\tilde{Z}_1$. Consequently the metric g must have the following form

$$g = ds^2 + g_1,$$

where g_1 is an s -family of Lorentzian metrics on the level sets of the function α_1 , and

$$\partial_s = \frac{\tilde{Z}}{\alpha_1}.$$

From Lemma 4.3.7 it follows that the function α satisfies the following differential equation

$$\partial_s \alpha_1 = -\alpha_1.$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_1(s) = c_1 e^{-s},$$

where $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Changing s , we can assume that $c_1 = \pm 1$. Both cases are similar and we suppose that $c_1 = -1$, i.e. $\alpha_1 = -e^{-s}$. Note that $(a, b) = -\ln(\inf_{U_{z_0}}(-\alpha_1), \sup_{U_{z_0}}(-\alpha_1))$.

Let $Y_1, Y_2 \in TM$ be vector fields orthogonal to ∂_s and such that $[Y_1, \partial_s] = [Y_2, \partial_s] = 0$. From Lemma 4.3.7 it follows that $\nabla_{Y_1} \partial_s = -Y_1$. From the Koszul formula it follows that $2g(\nabla_{Y_1} \partial_s, Y_2) = \partial_s g(Y_1, Y_2)$. Thus,

$$-2g_1(Y_1, Y_2) = \partial_s g_1(Y_1, Y_2). \quad (79)$$

This means that

$$g_1 = e^{-2s} g_N,$$

where the metric g_N does not depend on s .

Thus we get the decompositions

$$U_z = \mathbb{R}^+ \times (a, b) \times N$$

and

$$\tilde{g} = -dr^2 + r^2(ds^2 + e^{-2s}g_N).$$

Consider the diffeomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left(\sqrt{-2xy}, \ln \sqrt{-\frac{2x}{y}} \right).$$

The inverse diffeomorphism has the form

$$(r, s) \mapsto \left(\frac{r}{2}e^s, -re^{-s} \right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x &= e^{-s}\partial_r + \frac{e^{-s}}{r}\partial_s, \\ \partial_y &= -\frac{e^s}{2}\partial_r + \frac{e^s}{2r}\partial_s. \end{aligned}$$

On U_z the metric $\tilde{g}$ has the form

$$\tilde{g} = 2dx dy + y^2 g_N.$$

Note that

$$y = r\alpha_1. \tag{80}$$

II. Let us prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3.8. *The vector field $\hat{p}_2 = r(\alpha_2 p_1 - \alpha_1 p_2)$ is a vector field on (N, g_N) and it is parallel on (N, g_N) .*

Proof. We have

$$\hat{p}_2 = r(\alpha_2 p_1 - \alpha_1 p_2) = r(\alpha_2 Z_1 - \alpha_1 Z_2) = \alpha_2 \tilde{Z}_1 - \alpha_1 \tilde{Z}_2.$$

From (78) it follows that $\tilde{g}(\hat{p}_2, \partial_s) = 0$. Obviously, $\tilde{g}(\hat{p}_2, \partial_r) = 0$. We claim that $\partial_r \hat{p}_2 = \partial_s \hat{p}_2 = 0$. Indeed, from Lemma 4.3.7 it follows that $\partial_r \hat{p}_2 = \partial_r(\alpha_2 \tilde{Z}_1 - \alpha_1 \tilde{Z}_2) = 0$. Furthermore, using Lemma 4.3.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_s} \hat{p}_2 &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_s} (r(\alpha_2 p_1 - \alpha_1 p_2)) = r(\partial_s \alpha_2) p_1 - r(\partial_s \alpha_1) p_2 \\ &= \frac{r}{\alpha_1} (\tilde{Z}_1 \alpha_2) p_1 - \frac{r}{\alpha_1} (\tilde{Z}_1 \alpha_1) p_2 = \frac{r}{\alpha_1} (-\alpha_1 \alpha_2) p_1 - \frac{r}{\alpha_1} (-\alpha_1^2) p_2 = -\hat{p}_2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from Lemmas 4.3.7 and 4.3.2 it follows that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_s} \hat{p}_2 = \nabla_{\partial_s} \hat{p}_2 = \nabla_{\partial_s}^N \hat{p}_2 = \partial_s \hat{p}_2 - \hat{p}_2.$$

Thus, $\partial_s \hat{p}_2 = 0$.

Let $Y \in TN|_{U_{z_0}}$ Using Lemmas 4.3.2, 4.3.7 and the fact that $g(Y, Z_1) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_Y^N \hat{p}_2 &= \nabla_Y \hat{p}_2 - e^{-2s} g_N(Y, \hat{p}_2) \partial_s = \tilde{\nabla}_Y \hat{p}_2 - r g(Y, \hat{p}_2) \partial_r - g(Y, \hat{p}_2) \partial_s \\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_Y r(\alpha_2 p_1 - \alpha_1 p_2) - r g(Y, \hat{p}_2) \partial_r - g(Y, \hat{p}_2) \partial_s \\
&= r(Y \alpha_2) p_1 - r(Y \alpha_1) p_2 - r g(Y, \hat{p}_2) \partial_r - g(Y, \hat{p}_2) \partial_s \\
&= -r^2 g(Y, Z_2)(e^{-s} \partial_r + \frac{e^{-s}}{r} \partial_s) - r g(Y, r(\alpha_2 Z_1 - \alpha_1 Z_2)) \partial_r - g(Y, r(\alpha_2 Z_1 - \alpha_1 Z_2)) \partial_s \\
&= -r^2 g(Y, Z_2)(\alpha_1 \partial_r + \frac{\alpha_1}{r} \partial_s) + \alpha_1 r^2 g(Y, Z_2) \partial_r + \alpha_1 r g(Y, Z_2) \partial_s = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. $\square$

In [55] R. Schimming proved that in this situation there exist local coordinates $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$ on (N, g_N) such that $\partial_{\hat{x}} = \hat{p}_2$ and the metric g_N has the form

$$g_N = 2d\hat{x}d\hat{y} + g_1,$$

where g_1 is a y -family of Riemannian metrics on the integral manifolds corresponding to the coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$. We can choose the open set U_{z_0} in such a way that the above coordinates are global on N . We see that

$$\tilde{g}|_{U_z} = \tilde{g}|_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times U_{z_0}} = 2dxdy + y^2 g_N = 2dxdy + y^2(2d\hat{x}d\hat{y} + g_1).$$

We will need the following lemma, which can be proved using the Koszul formulae.

Lemma 4.3.9. *Let (N, g_N) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ the pseudo-Riemannian manifold of the form $(\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times N, \tilde{g} = 2dxdy + y^2 g_N)$. Then the non-trivial covariant derivatives $\tilde{\nabla}$ of the metric $\tilde{g}$ are the following*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_y} X &= \partial_y X + \frac{1}{y} X, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_X \partial_y &= \frac{1}{y} X, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X^N Y - yg(X, Y) \partial_x,
\end{aligned}$$

where ∇^N is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g_N and $X, Y \in TN$ are considered as vector fields on N depending on the parameter y .

Using Lemma 4.3.7, it is easy to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3.10. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{grad}_M \alpha_1 &= -\tilde{Z}_1, \quad \text{grad}_M \alpha_2 = -\tilde{Z}_2, \quad \partial_y \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2r}, \quad \partial_y \alpha_2 = \frac{\alpha_2}{2r\alpha_1}, \\
\text{grad}_M \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_1^2} \hat{p}_2, \quad \partial_{\hat{y}} \alpha_1 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

in particular, $\partial_{\hat{y}} \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} = 1$ and we may assume that $\hat{y} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$.

III. Now we will find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the metric $\tilde{g}|_{U_z}$ to admit a pseudo-Kählerian structure $\tilde{J}$ such that $p_2 = \tilde{J}p_1$. Suppose that $\tilde{g}|_{U_z}$ admits such structure $\tilde{J}$. Denote by L the distribution generated by the vector fields p_1, p_2 and by E the distribution generated by $\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_{2n}}$. Obviously, $L^\perp = L \oplus E$. Since L is $\tilde{J}$ -invariant and parallel, we see that $L \oplus E$ is also $\tilde{J}$ -invariant and parallel. Hence, there exist differential 1-forms λ and λ_1 such that for any $Y \in E$ we have

$$\tilde{J}Y = \lambda(Y)p_1 + \lambda_1(Y)p_2 + J_E Y,$$

where $J_E : E \rightarrow E$, $J_E Y = \text{pr}_E \tilde{J}Y$. Since $\tilde{J}$ is $\tilde{g}$ -invariant, i.e. $\tilde{g}(\tilde{J}\cdot, \tilde{J}\cdot) = \tilde{g}(\cdot, \cdot)$, we see that J_E is g_1 -invariant. Since $\tilde{J}^2 = -1$, we have

$$-Y = \lambda(Y)p_2 - \lambda_1(Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y)p_1 + \lambda_1(J_E Y)p_2 + J_E^2 Y.$$

Hence, $\lambda_1(Y) = \lambda(J_E Y)$, $J_E^2 = -1$

$$\tilde{J}Y = \lambda(Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + J_E Y. \quad (81)$$

Suppose that

$$\tilde{J}\partial_y = a_1 p_1 + b_1 p_2 + X + c_1 \partial_y + d_2 \partial_{\hat{y}},$$

where $a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X \in E$. Using the conditions $\tilde{g}(\tilde{J}\partial_y, \partial_y) = 0$, $\tilde{g}(p_1, \partial_y) = 1$, $\tilde{g}(p_2, \partial_y) = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$ and the fact that $\tilde{J}$ is $\tilde{g}$ -invariant, we get

$$a_1 = -b_1 \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}, \quad c_1 = -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}, \quad d_1 = -\frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{r\alpha_1^3}.$$

Similarly, from the conditions $\tilde{g}(\tilde{J}\partial_{\hat{y}}, \partial_{\hat{y}}) = 0$, $\tilde{g}(p_1, \partial_{\hat{y}}) = 0$ and $\tilde{g}(p_2, \partial_{\hat{y}}) = -r\alpha_1$ it follows that

$$\tilde{J}\partial_{\hat{y}} = a_2 p_1 + X_2 + r\alpha_1 \partial_y + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \partial_{\hat{y}},$$

where $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X_2 \in E$.

Since $\tilde{J}^2 \partial_y = -\partial_y$, we see that

$$b_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{2\alpha_2} \lambda(J_E X), \quad a_2 = \frac{r\alpha^3}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} \left(\lambda(X) + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2}{\alpha_1^2} b_1 \right), \quad X_2 = \frac{r\alpha^3}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} \left(J_E X - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} X \right). \quad (82)$$

The condition $\tilde{J}^2 \partial_{\hat{y}} = -\partial_{\hat{y}}$ does not give us anything new.

For the Levi-Civita connection ∇^N on (N, g_N) let $\nabla^E = \text{pr}_E \nabla^N|_E$ be the induced connection on the distribution E and let $h : TN \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the bilinear map such that for

any $Z \in TN$ and $Y \in E$ holds $\text{pr}_{\mathbb{R}\hat{p}_2} \nabla_Z^N Y = h(Z, Y)\hat{p}_2$. Thus, for any $Z \in TN$ and $Y \in E$ we have

$$\nabla_Z^N Y = h(Z, Y)\hat{p}_2 + \nabla_Z^E Y. \quad (83)$$

Let $Y \in E$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{g}(Y, \partial_y) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{J}Y, \tilde{J}\partial_y) \\ &= \tilde{g}(\lambda(Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + J_E Y, -b_1 \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} p_1 + b_1 p_2 + X - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \partial_y - \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{r\alpha_1^3} \partial_{\hat{y}}) \\ &= \tilde{g}(J_E Y, X) - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \lambda(Y) + \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1^2} \lambda(J_E Y) - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1^2} \lambda(J_E Y) \\ &= r^2 \alpha_1^2 g_1(J_E Y, X) - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \lambda(Y) + \lambda(J_E Y). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $J_E Y$ for Y , we get

$$0 = -r^2 \alpha_1^2 g_1(Y, X) - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \lambda(J_E Y) - \lambda(Y).$$

From the last two equalities it follows that

$$\lambda(Y) = -\frac{\alpha_1^3 r^2}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} g_1(Y, \alpha_2 J_E X + \alpha_1 X). \quad (84)$$

Let $\hat{X} = \frac{1}{y}X$. Using (80) and Lemma 4.3.10, we get

$$\lambda(Y) = -\frac{y}{1 + \hat{y}^2} g_1(Y, \hat{y} J_E \hat{X} + \hat{X}). \quad (85)$$

Not also that

$$\alpha_1 \lambda(Y) + \alpha_2 \lambda(J_E Y) = -r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(Y, X), \quad (86)$$

$$\alpha_2 \lambda(Y) - \alpha_1 \lambda(J_E Y) = r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(J_E Y, X). \quad (87)$$

The condition $\tilde{g}(\tilde{J}Y, \tilde{J}\partial_{\hat{y}}) = 0$ does not give us anything new.

From (84), (80) and Lemma 4.3.10 it follows that

$$b_1 = -\frac{\alpha_1^4 r^2}{2(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)} g_1(X, X) = -\frac{y^2}{2(1 + \hat{y}^2)} g_1(X, X) = -\frac{1}{2(1 + \hat{y}^2)} g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X}), \quad (88)$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{\alpha_1^5 r^3}{2(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)} g_1(X, X) = -\frac{y^3}{2(1 + \hat{y}^2)} g_1(X, X) = -\frac{y}{2(1 + \hat{y}^2)} g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X}), \quad (89)$$

Now we check the condition $\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{J} = 0$, i.e. $\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} \tilde{J}Y_2 = \tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} Y_2$ for all $Y_1, Y_2 \in T\tilde{M}$.

Let $Y \in E$, then

$$\tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_Y \partial_y = \tilde{J}\left(\frac{1}{y}Y\right) = \frac{1}{y}(\lambda(Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + J_E Y).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{J} \partial_y &= \tilde{\nabla}_Y (-b_1 \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} p_1 + b_1 p_2 + X - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \partial_y - \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{r \alpha_1^3} \partial_{\hat{y}}) \\
&= -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} Y b_1 p_1 + Y b_1 p_2 + \tilde{\nabla}_Y X - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \nabla_Y \partial_y - \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{r \alpha_1^3} \tilde{\nabla}_Y \partial_{\hat{y}} \\
&= -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} Y b_1 p_1 + Y b_1 p_2 + \tilde{\nabla}_Y X - \frac{\alpha_2}{y \alpha_1} Y - \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{r \alpha_1^3} \nabla_Y^N \partial_{\hat{y}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nabla}_Y X &= \nabla_Y^N X - y g_1(Y, X) p_1 = \nabla_Y^E X + h(Y, X) \hat{p}_2 - y g_1(Y, X) p_1 \\
&= \nabla_Y^E X + h(Y, X) r (\alpha_2 p_1 - \alpha_1 p_2) - y g_1(Y, X) p_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Koszul formulae, it is easy to check that $\nabla_Y^N \partial_{\hat{y}} \in E$. Hence the condition $\tilde{J} \tilde{\nabla}_Y \partial_y = \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{J} \partial_y$ is equivalent to the following three conditions

$$-\frac{1}{y} \lambda(Y) - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} Y b_1 + h(Y, X) r \alpha_2 - y g_1(Y, X) = 0, \quad (90)$$

$$-\frac{1}{y} \lambda(J_E Y) + Y b_1 - h(Y, X) r \alpha_1 = 0, \quad (91)$$

$$\frac{1}{y} J_E Y = \nabla_Y^E X - \frac{\alpha_2}{y \alpha_1} Y - \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{r \alpha_1^3} \nabla_Y^N \partial_{\hat{y}}. \quad (92)$$

Adding (90) multiplied by α_1 and (91) multiplied by α_2 , we get

$$-\frac{1}{y} (\alpha_1 \lambda(Y) + \alpha_2 \lambda(J_E Y)) - \alpha_1 y g_1(Y, X) = 0.$$

This equality follows from (86) and (80). Adding (90) multiplied by α_2 and (91) multiplied by $-\alpha_1$, we get

$$-\frac{1}{y} (\alpha_2 \lambda(Y) - \alpha_1 \lambda(J_E Y)) - \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1} Y b_1 + h(Y, X) r (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) - y \alpha_2 g_1(Y, X) = 0.$$

Using the Koszul formulae, it is easy to check that $h(Y, X) = -g_1(\nabla_Y^N \partial_{\hat{y}}, X)$. Using (87) and (80), we see that the above equality follows from (92).

Thus the condition $\tilde{J} \tilde{\nabla}_Y \partial_y = \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{J} \partial_y$ is equivalent to (92). From (80) and Lemma 4.3.10 it follows that (92) is equivalent to

$$J_E Y = \nabla_Y^E \hat{X} - \hat{y} Y - (1 + \hat{y}^2) \nabla_Y^N \partial_{\hat{y}}. \quad (93)$$

Let $Y_1, Y_2 \in E$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J} \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} Y_2 &= \tilde{J} (\nabla_{Y_1}^N Y_2 - y g_N(Y_1, Y_2) p_1) = \tilde{J} (\nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2 + h(Y_1, Y_2) \hat{p}_2 - y g_N(Y_1, Y_2) p_1) \\
&= \lambda(\nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2) p_1 + \lambda(J_E \nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2) p_2 + J_E \nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2 + h(Y_1, Y_2) r (\alpha_2 p_2 + r \alpha_1 p_1) - y g_1(Y_1, Y_2) p_2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} \tilde{J}Y_2 &= \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} (\lambda(Y_2)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y_2)p_2 + J_E Y_2) \\
&= Y_2 \lambda(Y_2)p_1 + Y_2 \lambda(J_E Y_2)p_2 + \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} J_E Y_2 \\
&= Y_2 \lambda(Y_2)p_1 + Y_2 \lambda(J_E Y_2)p_2 + \nabla_{Y_1}^N J_E Y_2 - yg_1(Y_1, J_E Y_2)p_1 \\
&= Y_2 \lambda(Y_2)p_1 + Y_2 \lambda(J_E Y_2)p_2 + \nabla_{Y_1}^E J_E Y_2 + h(Y_1, J_E Y_2)r(\alpha_2 p_1 - \alpha_1 p_2) - yg_1(Y_1, J_E Y_2)p_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the condition $\tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} Y_2 = \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} \tilde{J}Y_2$ is equivalent to the following three conditions

$$\lambda(\nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2) + r\alpha_1 h(Y_1, Y_2) = Y_1 \lambda(Y_2) - r\alpha_1 g_1(Y_1, J_E Y_2) + h(Y_1, J_E Y_2)r\alpha_2, \quad (94)$$

$$\lambda(J_E \nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2) + r\alpha_2 h(Y_1, Y_2) - r\alpha_1 g(Y_1, Y_2) = Y_1 \lambda(J_E Y_2) - h(Y_1, J_E Y_2)r\alpha_1, \quad (95)$$

$$J_E \nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2 = \nabla_{Y_1}^E J_E Y_2. \quad (96)$$

Since the Levi-Civita connections on the integral manifolds of the distribution E coincide with the restrictions of ∇^E , we see that the integral manifolds of the distribution E are Kählerian.

Adding (94) multiplied by α_1 and (95) multiplied by α_2 and using (86), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&-r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(\nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2, X) + r(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)h(Y_1, Y_2) - r\alpha_1 \alpha_2 g_1(Y_1, Y_2) = \\
&Y_1(-r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(Y_2, X)) - r\alpha_1^2 g_1(Y_1, J_E Y_2). \quad (97)
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (94) multiplied by α_2 and (95) multiplied by $-\alpha_1$ and using (87), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(J_E \nabla_{Y_1}^E Y_2, X) + r\alpha_1^2 g_1(Y_1, Y_2) = \\
&Y_1(r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(J_E Y_2, X)) - r\alpha_1 \alpha_2 g_1(Y_1, J_E Y_2) + r(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)h(Y_1, J_E Y_2). \quad (98)
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that (97) follows from (92). Substituting $J_E Y_2$ for Y_2 in (97) and using (96), we see that (97) and (98) are equivalent. Thus if (92) is true, then the condition $\tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} Y_2 = \tilde{\nabla}_{Y_1} \tilde{J}Y_2$ is equivalent to (96).

Similarly we can show that the condition $\tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_Y \partial_{\tilde{y}} = \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{J}\partial_{\tilde{y}}$ is equivalent to (92).

Let $Y \in E$. From the Koszul formulae it follows that $h(Y, \partial_{\tilde{y}}) = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\tilde{y}}} \tilde{J}Y &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\tilde{y}}} (\lambda(Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + J_E Y) \\
&= \partial_{\tilde{y}} \lambda(Y)p_1 + \partial_{\tilde{y}} \lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + \nabla_{\partial_{\tilde{y}}}^E J_E Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\tilde{y}}} Y = \tilde{J}\nabla_{\partial_{\tilde{y}}}^E Y = \lambda(\nabla_{\partial_{\tilde{y}}}^E Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E \nabla_{\partial_{\tilde{y}}}^E Y)p_2 + J_E \nabla_{\partial_{\tilde{y}}}^E Y.$$

The condition $\tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}Y = \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}\tilde{J}Y$ is equivalent to the following three conditions

$$\partial_{\hat{y}}\lambda(Y) = \lambda(\nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E Y), \quad (99)$$

$$\partial_{\hat{y}}\lambda(\tilde{J}_E Y) = \lambda(\tilde{J}_E \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E Y), \quad (100)$$

$$\tilde{J}_E \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E Y = \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E J_E Y. \quad (101)$$

Adding (99) multiplied by α_1 and (100) multiplied by α_2 and using (86), we get

$$\alpha_1 \partial_{\hat{y}}\lambda(Y) + \alpha_2 \partial_{\hat{y}}\lambda(J_E Y) = -r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(\nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E Y, X).$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_1 \partial_{\hat{y}}\lambda(Y) + \partial_{\hat{y}}(\alpha_2 \lambda(J_E Y)) - \lambda(J_E Y) \partial_{\hat{y}}\alpha_2 = -r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(\nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E Y, X).$$

Using Lemma 4.3.10 and (86), we get

$$\partial_{\hat{y}}(-r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(Y, X)) - \alpha_1 \lambda(J_E Y) = -r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(\nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E Y, X).$$

Since $\nabla^N g_1 = 0$, we have

$$-r^2 \alpha_1^3 (g_1(\nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E Y, X) + g_1(Y, \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E X)) - \alpha_1 \lambda(J_E Y) = -r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(\nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E Y, X).$$

Hence,

$$-r^2 \alpha_1^3 g_1(Y, \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E X) - \alpha_1 \lambda(J_E Y) = 0.$$

Using (84), we get

$$g_1(Y, \nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E X) = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} (g_1(Y, \alpha_2 X - \alpha_1 J_E X)).$$

Since g_1 is non-degenerate, we see that

$$\nabla_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}^E X = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} (\alpha_2 X - \alpha_1 J_E X) = \frac{1}{1 + \hat{y}^2} (\hat{y} X - J_E X). \quad (102)$$

Adding (99) multiplied by α_2 and (100) multiplied by $-\alpha_1$ and using (86), we obtain the same condition.

Let $Y \in E$, $\partial_{\hat{y}}Y = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}\tilde{J}Y &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}(\lambda(Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + J_E Y) \\ &= \partial_{\hat{y}}\lambda(Y)p_1 + \partial_{\hat{y}}\lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + \partial_{\hat{y}}(J_E Y) + \frac{1}{\hat{y}}J_E Y. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\hat{y}}}Y = \tilde{J}(\frac{1}{\hat{y}}Y) = \frac{1}{\hat{y}}(\lambda(Y)p_1 + \lambda(J_E Y)p_2 + J_E Y).$$

The condition $\tilde{J}\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_y}Y = \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_y}\tilde{J}Y$ is equivalent to the following three conditions

$$\partial_y\lambda(Y) = \frac{1}{y}\lambda(Y), \quad (103)$$

$$\partial_y\lambda(\tilde{J}_EY) = \frac{1}{y}\lambda(J_EY), \quad (104)$$

$$\partial_y(J_EY) = 0. \quad (105)$$

Condition (105) shows that J_E does not depend on y . Adding (103) multiplied by α_1 and (104) multiplied by α_2 and using (86), we get

$$\alpha_1\partial_y\lambda(Y) + \alpha_2\partial_y\lambda(J_EY) = -\frac{1}{y}r^2\alpha_1^3g_1(Y, X).$$

Hence,

$$\partial_y(\alpha_1\lambda(Y) + \alpha_2\lambda(J_EY)) - \partial_y(\alpha_1)\lambda(Y) - \partial_y(\alpha_2)\lambda(J_EY) = -r\alpha_1^2g_1(Y, X).$$

Using Lemma 4.3.10 and (86), we get

$$\partial_y(-r^2\alpha_1^3g_1(Y, X)) - \frac{1}{2r}\lambda(Y) - \frac{\alpha_2}{2r\alpha_1}\lambda(J_EY) = -r\alpha_1^2g_1(Y, X).$$

Hence,

$$-\frac{5}{2}r\alpha_1^2g_1(Y, X) - r^2\alpha_1^3\partial_yg_1(Y, X) + \frac{1}{2r\alpha_1}(\alpha_1\lambda(Y) + \alpha_2\lambda(J_EY)) = -r\alpha_1^2g_1(Y, X).$$

Consequently,

$$\partial_yg_1(Y, X) = -\frac{1}{y}g_1(Y, X).$$

Since $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{g} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_yg_1(Y, X) &= \partial_y\left(\frac{1}{y^2}\tilde{g}(Y, X)\right) = -\frac{2}{y^3}\tilde{g}(Y, X) + \frac{1}{y^2}\partial_y\tilde{g}(Y, X) \\ &= -\frac{2}{y^3}\tilde{g}(Y, X) + \frac{1}{y^2}\tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_y}Y, X) + \frac{1}{y^2}\tilde{g}(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_y}X) \\ &= -\frac{2}{y^3}\tilde{g}(Y, X) + \frac{1}{y^2}\tilde{g}\left(\frac{1}{y}Y, X\right) + \frac{1}{y^2}\tilde{g}(Y, \partial_yX + \frac{1}{y}X) \\ &= g_1(Y, \partial_yX). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$g_1(Y, \partial_yX) = -\frac{1}{y}g_1(Y, X).$$

Thus,

$$\partial_yX = -\frac{1}{y}X.$$

Since $X = \frac{1}{y}\hat{X}$, we see that $\partial_y\hat{X} = 0$, i.e. the vector field $\hat{X}$ does not depend on y . Similarly we can show that $\hat{X}$ does not depend on x and $\hat{x}$.

For the Sasaki field we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi &= \tilde{J}(r\partial_r) = r\tilde{J}\left(\frac{e^s}{2}\partial_x - e^{-s}\partial_y\right) = r\tilde{J}\left(-\frac{1}{2\alpha_1}p_1 + \alpha_1\partial_y\right) = -\frac{r}{2\alpha_1}p_2 + y\tilde{J}\partial_y \\
&= -\frac{r}{2\alpha_1}p_2 + \frac{y\hat{y}}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)}g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X})p_1 - \frac{y}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)}g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X})p_2 + \hat{X} - y\hat{y}\partial_y - (1+\hat{y}^2)\partial_{\hat{y}} \\
&= -\left(\frac{r}{2\alpha_1} + \frac{yg_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X})}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)}\right)\left(\hat{y}p_1 - \frac{1}{\hat{y}}\hat{p}_2\right) + \frac{y\hat{y}}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)}g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X})p_1 + \hat{X} - y\hat{y}\partial_y - (1+\hat{y}^2)\partial_{\hat{y}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\hat{y}}\left(\frac{r}{2\alpha_1} + \frac{yg_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X})}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)}\right)\hat{p}_2 - \frac{r\hat{y}}{2\alpha_1}p_1 + \hat{X} - y\hat{y}\partial_y - (1+\hat{y}^2)\partial_{\hat{y}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\hat{y}}\left(\frac{r}{2\alpha_1} + \frac{yg_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X})}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)}\right)\partial_{\hat{x}} - \frac{r\hat{y}}{2\alpha_1}(\alpha_1\partial_r + \frac{\alpha_1}{r}\partial_s) + \hat{X} - y\hat{y}\left(-\frac{1}{2\alpha_1}\partial_r + \frac{1}{2r\alpha_1}\partial_s\right) - (1+\hat{y}^2)\partial_{\hat{y}} \\
&= -\hat{y}\partial_s + \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha_1^2} + \frac{g_1(\hat{X}, \hat{X})}{2(1+\hat{y}^2)}\right)\partial_{\hat{x}} + \hat{X} - (1+\hat{y}^2)\partial_{\hat{y}}.
\end{aligned}$$

IV. Let $z_0 = (\sigma, w) \in U_{z_0} = (a, b) \times N$. Let $\mathfrak{hol}_z$ be the holonomy algebra of the manifold $(U_z, \tilde{g}|_{U_z})$ at the point $z \in U_z$ and $\mathfrak{hol}_w(N)$ be the holonomy algebra of the manifold (N, g_N) at the point $w \in N$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(T_z U_z, \tilde{g}_z)$ that annihilates the vectors p_{1z} and p_{2z} . Obviously, $\mathfrak{hol}_z \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Consider a basis $p_{1z}, p_{2z}, \partial_{x_1}|_z, \dots, \partial_{x_{2n}}|_z, \hat{q}_2, \hat{q}_1$ of $T_z U_z$, where $\hat{q}_1$ and $\hat{q}_2$ are any vectors orthogonal to E_z and such that $\tilde{g}(p_{1z}, \hat{q}_1) = \tilde{g}(p_{2z}, \hat{q}_2) = 1$ and other values of $\tilde{g}(\hat{q}_1, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{g}(\hat{q}_2, \cdot)$ are zero. We claim that using this basis we can identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the following matrix algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & X_1^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_2^t & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & A & -X_2 & -X_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| \begin{array}{l} c \in \mathbb{R} \\ X_2 \in E_z, \\ X_1 = -J_E X_2 + \frac{1}{y(z)(1+\hat{y}(z)^2)}A(-\hat{y}(z)X_z + J_E X_z), \\ A \in \mathfrak{u}(E_z, \tilde{g}_z) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (106)$$

Indeed, any element $B \in \mathfrak{so}(T_z U_z, \tilde{g}_z)$ such that $Bp_{1z} = Bp_{2z} = 0$ has in the above basis the matrix as above with any $X_1 \in E_z$ and $A \in \mathfrak{so}(E_z, \tilde{g}_z)$. Let $Y \in E_z$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}B\tilde{J}Y &= \tilde{J}B(\lambda(Y)p_{1z} + \lambda(J_E Y)p_{2z} + J_E Y) = \tilde{J}(\tilde{g}(X_1, J_E Y)p_{1z} + \tilde{g}(X_2, J_E Y)p_{2z} + AJ_E Y) \\
&= \tilde{g}(X_1, J_E Y)p_{2z} - \tilde{g}(X_2, J_E Y)p_{1z} + \lambda(AJ_E Y)p_{1z} + \lambda(J_E AJ_E Y)p_{2z} + J_E AJ_E Y, \\
BY &= \tilde{g}(X_1, Y)p_{1z} + \tilde{g}(X_2, Y)p_{2z} + AY.
\end{aligned}$$

From the condition $B \in \mathfrak{u}(T_z U_z, \tilde{g}_z)$, i.e. $\tilde{J}B\tilde{J}Y = -BY$, and (81) it follows that $A \in \mathfrak{u}(E_z, \tilde{g}_z)$ and

$$\lambda(AY) = \tilde{g}(X_2 - J_E X_1, Y).$$

Using (87), we get

$$-\frac{y(z)}{1+\hat{y}(z)^2}g_1(AY, \hat{y}(z)J_E X + X) = y(z)^2g_1(X_2 - J_E X_1, Y).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{y(z)}{1+\hat{y}(z)^2}g_1(Y, A(\hat{y}(z)J_E X + X)) = y(z)^2g_1(X_2 - J_E X_1, Y).$$

Thus,

$$X_2 = J_E X_1 + \frac{1}{y(z)(1 + \hat{y}(z)^2)} A(\hat{y}(z)J_E X + X)$$

and

$$X_1 = -J_E X_2 + \frac{1}{y(z)(1 + \hat{y}(z)^2)} A(-\hat{y}(z)X_z + J_E X_z).$$

We claim that in the basis $p_{1z}, \hat{p}_{2z}, \partial_{x_1}|_z, \dots, \partial_{x_{2n}}|_z, \frac{1}{y^2(z)}\partial_{\hat{y}}|_z, \partial_y|_z$ we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & X_1^t + \hat{y}(z)X_2^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{y(z)}X_2^t & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & A & \frac{1}{y(z)}X_2 & -X_1 - \hat{y}(z)X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| \begin{array}{l} c \in \mathbb{R} \\ X_2 \in E_z, \\ X_1 = -J_E X_2 + \frac{1}{y(z)(1 + \hat{y}(z)^2)} A(-\hat{y}(z)X_z + J_E X_z), \\ A \in \mathfrak{u}(E_z, \tilde{g}_z) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (107)$$

Indeed, for $Y \in E_z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(Y) &= \tilde{g}(X_1, Y)p_{1z} + \tilde{g}(X_2, Y)p_{2z} + AY = \tilde{g}(X_1, Y)p_{1z} + \tilde{g}(X_2, Y) \left(\hat{y}(z)p_{1z} - \frac{1}{y(z)}\hat{p}_{2z} \right) + AY \\ &= \tilde{g}(X_1 + \hat{y}(z)X_2, Y)p_{1z} - \frac{1}{y(z)}\tilde{g}(X_2, Y)\hat{p}_{2z} + AY. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the vector subspace $E' = \{Y' = Y - \lambda(J_E Y)p_{1z} | Y \in E_z\} \subset T_z U_z$. Let $Y \in E_z$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}(Y - \lambda(J_E Y)p_{1z}) &= \lambda(Y)p_{1z} + \lambda(J_E Y)p_{2z} + J_E Y - \lambda(J_E Y)p_{2z} \\ &= J_E Y - \lambda(J_E J_E Y)p_{1z}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. E' is $\tilde{J}$ -invariant. Let $p_{1z}, p_{2z}, e_1, \dots, e_{2n}, q_2, q_1$ be a basis of $T_z U_z$, where $e_1, \dots, e_{2n}$ is a basis of E' and q_1, q_2 are any vectors orthogonal to E' and such that $\tilde{g}(p_{1z}, q_1) = \tilde{g}(p_{2z}, q_2) = 1$ and other values of $\tilde{g}(q_1, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{g}(q_2, \cdot)$ are zero. We claim that using this basis we can identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the following matrix algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & -J_E X_2^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_2^t & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & A & -X_2 & J_E X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| \begin{array}{l} c \in \mathbb{R}, \\ X_2 \in E_z, \\ A \in \mathfrak{u}(E_z, \tilde{g}_z) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (108)$$

Indeed, for $Y \in E_z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(Y - \lambda(J_E Y)p_{1z}) &= \tilde{g}(X_1, Y)p_{1z} + \tilde{g}(X_2, Y)p_{2z} + AY \\ &= \tilde{g}(X_1, Y)p_{1z} + \tilde{g}(X_2, Y)p_{2z} + AY - \lambda(J_E AY)p_{1z} + \lambda(J_E AY)p_{1z} \\ &= \left(\tilde{g}(X_1, Y) - \frac{y(z)}{1 + \hat{y}^2(z)} g_1(J_E AY, \hat{y}(z)J_E X + X) \right) p_{1z} + \tilde{g}(X_2, Y)p_{2z} + AY - \lambda(J_E AY)p_{1z} \\ &= \tilde{g}(-J_E X_2, Y)p_{1z} + \tilde{g}(X_2, Y)p_{2z} + AY - \lambda(J_E AY)p_{1z}. \end{aligned}$$

In [51] T. Leistner proved that the projection of $\mathfrak{hol}_z$ to $\mathfrak{so}(T_w, g_{N_w}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(T_z, \tilde{g}_z)$ coincides with $\mathfrak{hol}_w(N)$. From this, (107) and (108) it follows that

$$\mathfrak{hol}_z = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & -J_E X_2^t & ca & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_2^t & 0 & -ca \\ 0 & 0 & A & -X_2 & J_E X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & X_2^t & 0 \\ 0 & A & -X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{hol}_w(N), c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad (109)$$

where $a = 0$ or $a = 1$.

Lemma 4.3.11. *Suppose that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_z$ is weakly-irreducible. Then $\mathfrak{hol}_w(N)$ is also weakly-irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_w(N)$ is not weakly-irreducible. From the Wu theorem it follows that the manifold (N, g) is locally a product of a Lorentzian manifold and of a Riemannian manifold. Changing U_z , we can assume that this decomposition is global, i.e. $(N = N_1 \times N_2, g_N = g_{N_1} + g_{N_2})$, where (N_1, g_{N_1}) is a Lorentzian manifold and (N_2, g_{N_2}) is a Riemannian manifold. In particular, $\hat{p}_2 \in TN_1 \subset TN$. Since $\hat{p}_2$ is parallel, it does not change in the directions of TN_2 , i.e. $\hat{p}_2$ is a vector field on N_1 . Let $\dim N_1 = n_1 + 2$. Applying the theorem from [55] to the manifold (N_1, g_{N_1}) , we see that there exist coordinates $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}$ on (N_1, g_{N_1}) such that $\partial_{\hat{x}} = \hat{p}_2$ and the metric g_{N_1} has the form

$$g_{N_1} = 2d\hat{x}d\hat{y} + g_2,$$

where g_2 is a $\hat{y}$ -family of Riemannian metrics on the integral manifolds corresponding to the coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}$. We can assume that these coordinates are global and that there exist global coordinates $x_{n_1+1}, \dots, x_{2n}$ on N_2 . We get

$$g_N = g_{N_1} + g_{N_2} = 2d\hat{x}d\hat{y} + g_2 + g_{N_2}.$$

Let $E_1 \subset TN_1 \subset TN$ be the distribution generated by $\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_{n_1}}$. In our previous notation, $g_1 = g_2 + g_{N_2}$ and $E = E_1 \oplus TN_2$. Since the integral manifolds of the distribution E are Kählerian, we see that the integral manifolds of the distribution E_2 are Kählerian and the manifold (N_2, g_2) is Kählerian. In particular, n_1 is even. Consider the following basis of E_z , $e_1 = \partial_{x_1}|_w, \dots, e_{2n} = \partial_{x_{2n}}|_w$. For the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_w(N)$ we get

$$\mathfrak{hol}_w(N) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & -J_E X_2^t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 & 0 & -X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & X_2^t & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 & -X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \in \mathfrak{hol}(N_1), A_2 \in \mathfrak{hol}(N_2) \right\}. \quad (110)$$

From this, (109) and the fact that $X_2, J_E X_2 \in E_1$ it follows that the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_z$ preserves the vector subspace $T_z N_2 \subset T_z U_z$, i.e. it is not weakly-irreducible. $\square$

From (109) it follows that if $\mathfrak{hol}_w(N)$ is of type $\mathfrak{g}^{2,u}$, then $\mathfrak{hol}_z$ is of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\varphi=0,\phi=0}$; if $\mathfrak{hol}_w(N)$ is of type $\mathfrak{g}^{4,u,k,\psi}$, then $\mathfrak{hol}_z$ is of type $\mathfrak{hol}^{m,u,\psi,k,l}$ for some $l, k \leq l \leq n$. This proves Part IV of the theorem. The proof of Part V follows from the proof of Part III.

The theorem is proved. $\square$

4.3.5 Proof of the statement of Example 4.3.2

Suppose that the metric g_1 has the form $g_1 = f(\hat{y})g_0$, where g_0 does not depend on $\hat{y}$. Let $Y \in E$, $\partial_{\hat{y}}Y = 0$. From (93) and Lemma 4.3.2 it follows that

$$J_E Y = \nabla_Y^E \hat{X} + F Y, \quad (111)$$

where $F = -\hat{y} - (1 + \hat{y}^2) \frac{f'(\hat{y})}{2f(\hat{y})}$. Applying J_E , we get

$$-Y = \nabla_Y^E J_E \hat{X} + F J_E Y, \quad (112)$$

Adding (111) multiplied by F and (112), we get.

$$-(1 + F^2)Y = \nabla_Y^E (F \hat{X} + J_E \hat{X}).$$

Hence,

$$-Y = \nabla_Y^0 \left(\frac{1}{1 + F^2} (F \hat{X} + J_E \hat{X}) \right),$$

where ∇^0 is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g_0 . Since $\partial_{\hat{y}}Y = 0$, we see that

$$\partial_{\hat{y}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + F^2} (F \hat{X} + J_E \hat{X}) \right) = 0. \quad (113)$$

From (102) and Lemma 4.3.2 it follows that

$$\partial_{\hat{y}} \hat{X} = -\frac{f'(\hat{y})}{2f(\hat{y})} \hat{X} + \frac{\hat{y}}{1 + \hat{y}^2} \hat{X} - \frac{1}{1 + \hat{y}^2} J_E \hat{X}.$$

Similarly,

$$\partial_{\hat{y}} J_E \hat{X} = -\frac{f'(\hat{y})}{2f(\hat{y})} J_E \hat{X} + \frac{\hat{y}}{1 + \hat{y}^2} J_E \hat{X} + \frac{1}{1 + \hat{y}^2} \hat{X}.$$

Hence the equality (113) is equivalent to the following two equations

$$-\frac{2F^2 F'}{1 + F^2} + F' - \frac{f'}{2f} + \frac{F \hat{y}}{1 + \hat{y}^2} + \frac{1}{1 + \hat{y}^2} = 0, \quad (114)$$

$$-\frac{2F F'}{1 + F^2} - \frac{F}{1 + \hat{y}^2} - \frac{f'}{2f} + \frac{\hat{y}}{1 + \hat{y}^2} = 0. \quad (115)$$

From (115) and the definition of F it follows that

$$\frac{FF'}{1+F^2} = \frac{\hat{y}}{1+\hat{y}^2}. \quad (116)$$

Hence,

$$1+F^2 = c(1+\hat{y}^2), \quad (117)$$

where c is a constant. From (114) and (116) it follows that $F' = -c$. Hence, $F = -c\hat{y} + c_1$, where c_1 is a constant. From this and (117) it follows that $c = 1$ and $c_1 = 0$. Thus, $f'(\hat{y}) = 0$ and $f(\hat{y}) = \text{const}$. Obviously, the holonomy algebra of (N, g_N) is not weakly-irreducible. Thus $\mathfrak{hol}$ is also not weakly-irreducible. $\square$

References

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky, *Riemannian manifolds with exceptional holonomy groups*, Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen. 2 (2), 1-10, 1968.
- [2] D. V. Alekseevsky, *Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature*, Mat. sb. N1, 93-117, 1975.
- [3] D. V. Alekseevsky, E.B. Vinberg, A.S. Solodovnikov, *Geometry of spaces of constant curvature*, Itogi nauki i tehn. VINITI. Sovr. pr. mat. Fund. napr. 29, 5-146, 1988.
- [4] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, A. S. Galaev, T. Leistner, *Cones over pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and their holonomy*, in preparation.
- [5] W. Ambrose, I. M. Singer *A theorem on holonomy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 79(1953), 428-443.
- [6] V. V. Astrahantsev, *On the holonomy groups of 4-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Matematicheskie zametki, 9, N1(1971), 59-66.
- [7] H. Baum, I. Kath, *Parallel spinors and holonomy groups on pseudo-Riemannian spin manifolds*, SFB 288 Preprint No 276, 1997.
- [8] H. Baum, *Conformal Killing spinors and special geometric structures in Lorentzian geometry – a survey*, Preprint, 2001.
- [9] H. Baum, F. Leitner, *The twistor equation in Lorentzian spin geometry*, Math. Z. 247, No.4, 795-812 (2004).
- [10] Ch. Bär, *Real Killing spinors and holonomy*, Commun. Math. Phys., 154(3), 509-521, 1993.
- [11] L. Berard Bergery, A. Ikemakhen, *On the Holonomy of Lorentzian Manifolds*, Proceeding of symposia in pure math., volume 54, 27 – 40, 1993.
- [12] L. Berard Bergery, A. Ikemakhen, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes de signature (n,n)* , Bull. Soc. Math. France. 1997. T.125, f1, 93–114.
- [13] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.

-
- [14] M. Berger, *Sur les groupers d'holonomie des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279-330.
 - [15] M. Berger, *Les espace symétriques non compacts*, Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup. 1957. V.74. P.85–177.
 - [16] Ch. Bohle, *Killing spinors on Lorentzian manifolds*. J. Geometry and Physics 45 (2003), 285-308.
 - [17] A. Borel, A. Lichnerowicz *Groupes d'holonomie des variétés riemanniennes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 1952. V.234. P.279–300.
 - [18] Ch. Boubel, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes*, PhD thesis, Université Henri Poincaré, Nancy, 2000.
 - [19] Ch. Boubel, *On the holonomy of Lorentzian metrics*, Prépublication de l'ENS Lyon no. 323 (2004).
 - [20] Ch. Boubel, A. Zeghib, *Dynamics of some Lie subgroups of $O(n, 1)$, applications* Prépublication de l'ENS Lyon. 2003. N 315.
 - [21] R. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math. (2) 126 (1987), 525-576.
 - [22] R. Bryant, *Recent advances in the theory of holonomy*, Séminaire Bourbaki 51 ème année. 1998-99. n° 861.
 - [23] R. Bryant, *Classical, exceptional, and exotic holonomies: a status report*, in Actes de la Table Ronde de Géométrie Différentielle en l'Honneur de Marcel e e Berger, So c. Math. France, 1996, 93-166.
 - [24] E. Cartan, *Les groupes projectifs qui laissent invariante aucune multiplicité plane*, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 41, 53-96.(1913) ou Qeuvres complètes t. I, vol.1, P.355-398.
 - [25] E. Cartan, *Les groupes projectifs continus réele qui ne laissent invariante aucune multiplicité plane*, J. Math. pures et applicquées 10, 149-186 (1914) ou Qeuvres complètes t. I, vol.1, P.493-530.
 - [26] E. Cartan, *Les groupes réels simples finis et continus*, Ann. Scient. Ecol. Norm. Sup. 1914. V.31. P.263–355 ou Oeuvres complètes T.III. 659-746 et 799–824.

-
- [27] E. Cartan, *Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann*, Bull. Soc. math. France 1926. V.54. P.214–264, 1927. V.55. P.114–134 ou Oeuvres complètes T.I, V.2. P.587–659.
 - [28] E. Cartan, *Les groupes d'holonomie des espaces généralisés*, Acta. Math. 1926. V. 48. P.1–42 ou Oeuvres complètes T.III. V.2. P.997–1038.
 - [29] Q.-S. Chi, S. Merkulov, L. Schwachhofer *On the existence of infinite series of exotic holonomies*, Inventiones Math. 126 (1996), 391–411.
 - [30] G. DeRham, *Sur la réductibilité d'un espace de Riemann*, Comm. Math. Helv. 1952. V.26. P.328–344.
 - [31] A. J. Di Scala, C. Imos, *The geometry of homogeneous submanifolds of hyperbolic space*, Math. Z. 237, 199 – 209 (2001).
 - [32] A. S. Galaev, *The spaces of curvature tensors for holonomy algebras of Lorentzian manifolds*, Diff. Geom. and its Applications 22, 1–18 (2005).
 - [33] A. S. Galaev, *Isometry groups of Lobachevskian spaces, similarity transformation groups of Euclidean spaces and Lorentzian holonomy groups*, arXiv:math.DG/0404426, 2004.
 - [34] A. S. Galaev, *Remark on holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature $(2, n+2)$* , Arxiv:math.DG/0406397, 2004.
 - [35] A. S. Galaev, *Metrics that realize all Lorentzian holonomy algebras*, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, Vol. 3, Nos. 5&6, p. 1025–1045 (2006).
 - [36] S. Gallot. *Équations différentielles caractéristiques de la sphère*. (French) Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 12 (1979), no. 2, 235–267.
 - [37] W. M. Goldman, *Complex hyperbolic geometry*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1999.
 - [38] J. Hano, H. Ozeki, *On the holonomy group of linear connections*, Nagoya Math. J. 1956. V.10. P.97–100.
 - [39] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, 1978.

-
- [40] A. Ikemakhen, *Examples of indecomposable non-irreducible Lorentzian manifolds*, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec 20(1996), no. 1, 53-66.
 - [41] A. Ikemakhen, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes de signature $(2, 2+n)$* , Publ. Mat. 43, no. 1, 55–84, 1999.
 - [42] D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
 - [43] F. I. Karpelevich, *Transitive surfaces for semisimple subgroups of isometry groups of symmetric spaces*, Dokl Ak. Nauk SSSR, XCIII, N3, 1953.
 - [44] I. Kath, *Killing spinors on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, 1999, Habilitationsschrift, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin.
 - [45] I. Kath, M. Olbrich, *On the structure of pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces*, arXiv:math.DG/0408249, 2004.
 - [46] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, volumes 1,2, Interscience Wiley, New York, 1963, 1967.
 - [47] T. Leistner, *Berger algebras, weak-Berger algebras and Lorentzian holonomy*, sfb 288-preprint no. 567, 2002.
 - [48] T. Leistner, *Towards a classification of Lorentzian holonomy groups*, arXiv:math.DG/0305139, 2003.
 - [49] T. Leistner, *Towards a classification of Lorentzian holonomy groups. Part II: Semisimple, non-simple weak-Berger algebras*, arXiv:math.DG/0309274, 2003.
 - [50] T. Leistner, *Holonomy and parallel spinors in Lorentzian geometry*, PhD thesis, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 2003.
 - [51] T. Leistner, *Conformal holonomy of C-spaces, Ricci-flat, and Lorentzian manifolds*. arXiv:math.DG/0501239.
 - [52] S. Merkulov, L. Schwachhöfer *Classification of irreducible holonomies of torsion-free affine connections*, Ann. Math. 1999. V.150. P.77–149.
 - [53] K. Sfetsos, D. Zoakos, *Supersymmetry and Lorentzian holonomy in various dimensions*, Preprint, 2004.

-
- [54] J. Simons, *On the transitivity of holonomy systems*, Annals of Math. September 1962. V.76(2). P.213–234.
 - [55] R. Schimming, *Riemannsche Räume mit ebenfrontiger und mit ebener Symmetrie*, Mathematische Nachrichten, 59, S.128-162, 1974.
 - [56] L. Schwachhöfer L, *On the classification of holonomy representations*, Habilitationsschrift, Mathematisches Institut der Universität Leipzig, 1999.
 - [57] E. B. Vinberg, A. L. Onishchik, *Seminar on Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Moscow, URSS, 1995.
 - [58] A. G. Walker, *On parallel fields of partially null vector spaces*, Quart. J. of Math. September 1949. V.20. P.135–145.
 - [59] M. Y. Wang, *Parallel spinors and parallel forms*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 1989. V.7(1). P.59–68.
 - [60] H. Wu, *Holonomy groups of indefinite metrics*, Pacific journal of math., 20, 351 – 382, 1967.